### MINUTES OF MEETING

DATE:

January 18, 2011

PLACE:

HSBC Auditorium, 100 Chestnut Street, Rochester, NY

TIME:

2:00 pm - 3:30 pm

RE:

Advisory Committee Meeting, Midtown Redevelopment Project

# **COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

Heidi Zimmer-Meyer, Co-Chair

Malcolm Wolcott, Jr.

David Riedman

Dan DiLoreto

Robert Brown

Joe Floreano

Joy House

Pat Tobin

Cindy Lowenguth

**Bob Tait** 

Pete Otero

Ed Hall

Larry Glazer

James Smith

Tom Wilmot

Jim Costanza

Merideth Andreucci

Matthew Wood Bruce Bender

Dave Fiedler Dennis Mullen Peter Connoy Charles Vita

STAFF, CONSULTANTS & GUESTS PRESENT:

Carlos Carballada (City)

Steve Golding (City)

Jim McIntosh (City)

Paul Way (City)

Bret Garwood (City)

Brian Miller (LaBella)

Richard VenVertloh( LaBella)

Mark Tayrien (LaBella)

Kimberly Michaels (TWLA)

Noah Demarest (TWLA)

## **WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS**

Heidi Zimmer-Meyer opened the meeting. She indicated the purpose of the meeting was to review the preliminary design completed by the city for the Midtown Redevelopment project. The design has been advanced to a 35% complete stage and includes new streets, rehabilitated/reconstructed streets, utilities, underground service tunnel, and parking garage. A PowerPoint presentation was made (attached) which outlines the project status and describes the improvements. Attendees were provided a project brochure as well as a set of colored half-size drawings depicting the project work. Included in the package were color renderings prepared by Trowbridge and Wolf Landscape Architects. It was explained that a public meeting was being held at the HSBC Auditorium immediately following the advisory committee meeting. The same PowerPoint presentation will be given.

Some topics of discussion were as follows:

It was noted that the city has an upcoming project to evaluate the feasibility of converting several oneway arterials (including S. Clinton Ave.) from one-way to two-way travel. It was questioned whether or not the current plans for the Midtown site would be compatible should the city decides to change travel patterns on adjacent streets. It was stated that the Midtown project would be compatible. It was further noted that the conversion of Broad Street from one-way westbound to two-way travel flow is included in the project.

- Regarding the reconstructed truck service tunnel, it was questioned whether there would be a "spur" to
  service parcel #5. It was stated that the tunnel design will include provisions for a loading dock to serve
  development on parcel #5. It was further noted that should parcel #5 be subdivided into multiple lots,
  access to each lot would be provided in the land disposition agreement.
- It was questioned whether public restrooms would be constructed as part of the development. It was stated that no public restrooms are programmed at this time. It was noted that the closing of Midtown has caused problems in the surrounding neighborhood resulting in the placement of portable toilets around the Liberty Pole.
- It was pointed out that the project includes a pedestrian tunnel from the PAETEC site (parcel #1) to the underground parking garage.
- A discussion focused on Historic Elm Street. Several committee members felt that the road option (Alt. A) should be constructed because it would provide better traffic circulation. A suggestion was made to change the one-way flow from northbound to southbound. This would allow inbound traffic from Main Street to enter the site. It was stated that the city is currently in conversation with the Bank of America and they also favor constructing Alternate A.
- H. Zimmer-Meyer noted that the decision by the Rochester Broadway Theatre League to construct a new performing arts center (possibly on parcel #5) may take some time. She acknowledged that constructing Historic Elm as a pedestrian corridor (with a corresponding narrower right-of-way) does maximize the size of parcel #5 which could benefit the performing arts center project. It was questioned how the city will decide which alternate to choose? It was stated that the city will have to make a recommendation in the near future, probably in February or early March, in order to move the project forward. The city is looking for advisory committee input to help with the decision-making process.
- H. Zimmer-Meyer commented on the city's plan to refurbish the sidewalks on Main Street adjacent to the Midtown site. It was her opinion that the city should formalize a vision (plan) for Main Street prior to investing in improvements as part of the Midtown project. For example, will the bus lanes be eliminated thus creating an opportunity for on street parking? What material choices are preferred for a consistent "look and feel" along the corridor?
- H. Zimmer-Meyer questioned whether the underground parking garage will be available for PAETEC
  parking when their building is ready for occupancy? It was stated that the garage should be open for
  parking however there may be some sections cordoned off while work continues.
- A committee member commented that the Midtown Redevelopment project is a huge change for downtown Rochester. He commented that the city must coordinate all of the near term projects being advanced in the downtown area. Some examples included the new transit facility and the two-way street conversions. There is a unique opportunity for the city to transform the downtown area. J. McIntosh stated that the city will be coordinating all the projects and recognizes the need to look at the "big picture."

- It was noted that some of the parcels on the Midtown site may not be developed for some time. It was questioned what the treatment would be in the interim. It was stated that the city is considering temporary restoration which could include topsoil and seed (wild meadow or standard grasses) or other treatments such as gravel or asphalt. J. McIntosh stated that the city has not settled on a treatment yet. A committee member stated that the concept of a "wild meadow" would not "fit" in the downtown area.
- Regarding the Broad Street/Court Street/Chestnut Street capital improvement project, it was stated that James Street will be removed.

# **NEXT STEPS**

The city expects to complete the preliminary design phase in February 2011, at which time design approval will be granted. Before proceeding with final design, the city will decide which alternates to advance. Committee members were encouraged to submit comments to the city ASAP. They will be considered in the decision-making process.

If there are any comments or questions please contact Brian Miller at 295-6235.

Respectfully submitted,

LaBella Associates, PC

Brian R. Will

Brian R. Miller, PE Project Manager

### MINUTES OF MEETING

DATE:

January 18, 2011

PLACE:

HSBC Auditorium, 100 Chestnut Street, Rochester, NY

TIME:

Open House from 4:00 pm – 7:00 pm, Formal Presentation at 6:00 pm

RE:

Public Information Meeting, Midtown Redevelopment Project

# IN ATTENDANCE:

Paul Way	City of Rochester DES	(585) 428-7383
James McIntosh	City of Rochester DES	(585) 428-6677
Bret Garwood	City of Rochester NBD	(585) 428-6150
Rick Papaj	NYS Department of Transportation	(585) 272-3417
Kimberly Michaels	Trowbridge & Wolf Landscape Architects	(607) 277-1400
Noah Demarest	Trowbridge & Wolf Landscape Architects	(607) 277-1400
Richard VenVertloh	LaBella Associates, PC	(585) 295-6226
Brian Miller	LaBella Associates, PC	(585) 295-6235
Steven Metzger	LaBella Associates, PC	(585) 295-6263
Alison Arnold	LaBella Associates, PC	(585) 295-6233

See attached for list of attendees.

The City and LaBella Associates hosted a Public Information meeting to facilitate communication with the public about the infrastructure portions of the redevelopment project. Display boards showed concept plans of the site, the street grid, the alternates, and an open space concept. The City conducted a formal presentation to an audience of approximately 25 people regarding the project. A question and answer session followed the presentation. Comments received during the meeting are listed below:

1. COMMENT: Please review the entrance/exit plan for Euclid Street (Atlas to Chestnut), the garage, and how trucks will use this area.

RESPONSE: Upon reiewing the proposed location of the Service Tunnel Entrance and entrance/exit plan for Euclid Street the following changes have been made: The entrance to the tunnel is relocated to Atlas Street slightly north of the current location; tunnel entrance/exit traffic will utilize Atlas Street and Elm Street to Chestnut Street; Euclid Street from Chestnut Street to Atlas Street will remain one-way westbound.

2. COMMENT (from owner of 50 Chestnut Street): With 1,200 spaces identified for PAETEC, where are the current, long time tenants in the area going to park? There is concern about the availability of parking space on a daily basis for the general public and for long standing tenants.

RESPONSE: The 1,200 PAETEC passes are 1000 passes in Midtown and 200 passes elsewhere. The 1000 PAETEC passes are NOT reserved spaces; spaces will be used only if those passes are being used. So on a given day, if 750 PAETEC employees are parked in Midtown, the remaining spaces will be available to the public. And in the evening, when many of the PAETEC employees

are at home, only spaces occupied those employees with passes will actually be used. This is different than the 400 spaces for the tower. Those spaces will actually be reserved and not for public use.

3. COMMENT: A citizen was disappointed in the dimished height of the PAETEC building, being only 4 floors high on such a high profile corner of the city. The citizen also felt it was unfortunate to have onstreet parking surrounding the open space parcel, as the cars and traffic in the area will ruin the ambiance of the space.

RESPONSE: PAETEC looked at their occupancy and leasing arrangements to develop a tower with more floors, but this plan does not match their business plan. While the project will not be a tall building, the ground floor will have active facades and retail, which is an important aspect of the vision for the Midtown site. The Main Street façade and the south façade near the park are expected to be retail.

On-street parking in the Midtown area is critical in supporting street level retailers. The ratail establishments will help create the desired ambiance. The City is in support of as much on-street parking as possible. The Final Site Plan maximizes on street parking.

4. COMMENT: Will PAETEC have ground level retail?

RESPONSE: Yes, ground level retail is planned to exist on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor along Main Street and the frontage along sounthern façade adjacent to the open space.

5. COMMENT: Will the open space area accommodate concerts or other public events?

RESPONSE: Yes, the open space can be used as a small outdoor venue for the Jazz Festival, etc. Street closures may be possible during these events. The design for the street boardering the north end of the open space will be coordinated with the open space design to maximize the potential for use as flexible space for events.

6. COMMENT (from the owner of Decibel on Euclid): If Parcel #5 is not developed, will Euclid remain a street instead of a pedestrian corridor? Is it possible for Euclid to become a two-way street, or feature a right-turn only lane? Could Alternate A be reversed to become southbound traffic?

RESPONSE: The City investigated the options. Turning movements are awkward into Euclid Street. A decision was made to maintain Euclid as one way westbound as a result.

7. COMMENT: Could the undeveloped parcels be used for parking in the interim?

RESPONSE: The City will consider this for Parcel 7. The remaining parcels will be used for staging during construction and it is not desireable to utilize them for surface parking. A new parking garage is not currently funded, but would be necessary as the remaining parcels are developed.

8. COMMENT: What is the status of the open lot between Euclid Street and East Avenue? This would be a great place for a parking garage.

RESPONSE: This lot is privately owned.

Respectfully submitted,

LABELLA ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Brian R. Mille

Brian R. Miller, PE Project Manager

BRM/aa

cc: All Attendees

y:\rochester, city\210301 - midtown redevelopment\reports\3.final dr\appendices\vii correspondence\2011-1-18 information meeting minutes.doc

(Former Midtown Plaza Site) NYS PIN 4755.25 City of Rochester MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

PHONE #	321/126	232-3420	X OG Z	325 6142	325-724/	262-2511		203-6450	P19 ELh
EMAIL	405th322@yatoocan 3347179	Cotheme. Yorger Q Dountum special Services 232-3420 . org	dustrinarie e LARHPATTERSUNISM 454-7600 X1062		RCS 039 @ HOTWALL	·- ,		hzw Waddoon	Julie e bomundo, com
ADDRESS	Rush, Middle Rosb	120 E. Medrst. Rochester NY14604	186 N.WATER ST ROCH 14604	50 (HESTRU) PLAZA	SOCHESTNUT PLAZA	Coeshill	HIGHTAND PART	100 cheshud 81., Suite 1910	122 East Dup 14604
REPRESENTING	Myself	Dentoun special Sentus Inc	CLARM PATTERSON	MYSELF	MYSELF	Se/f	SWBR	RDDC	Benunzio Uptown muste
NAME/TITLE	"Kevin Yost	Coetheine Yarger	3. DAVIO ASKIMAEL	ACOUT BAHABUN	5. Bob ScHLOSSER	fula; Rack Kremper	TAN GLADING	Hold Zawarers Hay	9. Julie & John Tsornanzio

(Former Midtown Plaza Site) NYS PIN 4755.25 City of Rochester MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

PHONE #	436-3772							
EMAIL								
ADDRESS	Sabad & Lear St.							
REPRESENTING	Susan B. Ballan Veiglor Trædum Wern Breine	0						
NAME/TITLE	10. Barbara Hoffmen		12.	13.	14.	 16.	17.	18.

(Former Midtown Plaza Site) NYS PIN 4755.25 City of Rochester MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

PHONE #			ail.com	180e-ssh	3018. 995	585.230,5338	545-783	\$ <b>\$</b>	
EMAIL			da estshmagamail.com	Criene rodester- City News. Com	Jubbbse gmall.com 566 3108	eric dugle agmail, com			PFORMARO COUNTR
ADDRESS	DEF. RD	Shesh		GODMAN ST.	45 Gadid 51	45 EULLID ST	Sa Chestary of		307 B. MANUST.
REPRESENTING	NYSBOT	L. Besh.	ب	Thy seus	DEEREL	TRANCIE TEN MANGGE MENT	2	30 Croswill	SWEAL TREE
NAME/TITLE	1. PICK IRAS	2. Rich Ven Verthh	3 Congles Tips	MRS FROM	Jesse bulson	6. FRIC NALLE	2 may & Jerma	8. Jamo Hr	S. KA TRANTAG

(Former Midtown Plaza Site) NYS PIN 4755.25 MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT City of Rochester

PHONE #								
EMAIL	MAYASH PRIMPLE GIMALL							
ADDRESS								
REPRESENTING								
NAME/TITLE	19. MAYA SKADEMUR,	 21.	77.	23.	24.	25.	26.	21.

# **COMMENT SHEET**

MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (FORMER MIDTOWN PLAZA SITE) Project ID 10103 NYS PIN 4755.25

# PLEASE SUBMIT COMMENTS ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 25th, 2011

	(PLEASE PRINT)
NAME: ADDRESS:	K.evin t. Kost 1474 Middle Aeas Aush, Wy 14543
REPRESENTING: (if applicable)	
COMMENT:  - Give of the control of t	at least I brick from each of the Plaza building to City Historians affice andlor of this torical Society and pieces of the Slavar 12.92/19 to Midthun as well
- Patend P - Neverse + - Melle Ple	Plazo Drive to Main Street 15 and grown so that part is countyperd for the 15 across Main Street from Liberty Bale 17 Drive the Newton Charles & Euris S
Building 3	and street to broad Street along

PLEASE FOLD AS INDICATED ON BACK, TAPE SHUT (DO NOT STAPLE) AND MAIL

# MINUTES OF UTILITY MEETING No. 1

# CITY OF ROCHESTER MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

DATE:

November 01, 2010

PLACE:

LaBella Associates High Falls Conference Room

TIME:

1:30 pm

RE:

Midtown Redevelopment Project, Utility Meeting #1

# IN ATTENDANCE:

Name	Organization	Phone/Email
Paul Kimball	Rochester District Heating Corp	546-8890
		pkimball@rdhc.org
Mike Bushart	COR Water Bureau	428-7567
		bushartm@cityofrochester.gov
Dave Gavin	COR DES, Permits	428-6044
		dgavin@cityofrochester.gov
Joe Borrelli	COR Street Lighting	428-7979
		brrellij@cityofrochester.gov
Paul Mancini	RG&E (Gas)	771-2130
		pmancini@ddscompanies.com
Richard Sidoti	RG&E (Gas)	359-7540 ext. 230
		RSidoti@ddscompanies.com
John Marcello	TW Telecom	756-1427
	·	john.marcello@twtelecom.com
Rich Bianchi	MCDES, RPWD	753-7614 work, 303-7073 cell
		rbianchi@monroecounty.gov
Jason Outhouse	Frontier Corp.	777-5203
		jason.outhouse@frontiercorp.com
John Bitter	RG&E (Elec)	724-8099
		John_Bitter@rge.com
Tim Raymond	MCDES	753-7565
		traymond@monroecounty.gov
Kevin Quinn	MCDES, RPWD	753-7652
		kquinn@monroecounty.gov
Bill VanDame	COR Permits	428-7121
		bvd@cityofrochester.gov
John Markuse	Verizon Business (MCI)	716-983-2058
		John.Markuse@verizonbusiness.com
Tom Pacia	Verizon Business (MCI)	703-8004
		tom.pacia@verizonbusiness.com
Brian Miller	LaBella Associates	295-6235
		bmiller@labellapc.com

Name	Organization	Phone/Email
Steve Metzger	LaBella Associates	295-6223
		smetzger@labellapc.com
Brendan Bystrak	LaBella Associates	295-6278
		bbystrak@labellapc.com
Kayanne Gsellmeier	LaBella Associates	295-6221
		kgsellmeier@labellap.com
Alison Arnold	LaBella Associates	295-6233
		aarnold@labellapc.com

### **PURPOSE:**

The purpose of the meeting was to review the conceptual level plans for the Midtown Redevelopment project and the potential impacts/conflicts to utilities that have been identified as a result of the redevelopment. The meeting followed a prescribed agenda.

### GENERAL SUMMARY OF ITEMS DISCUSSED:

# 1) Opening Comments

a) S. Metzger opened the meeting with a brief history of the project to date. He introduced the LaBella team.

# 2) Demolition Update and Anticipated Street Redevelopment Schedule (B. Miller)

- a) B. Miller provided a status update on the Midtown demolition progress. He presented a Demolition Phasing Plan to the team.
  - i) Asbestos abatement is generally complete except at the former Citizens, Sheer, Wendy's buildings. Abatement of these buildings is underway.
  - ii) Demolition and backfilling of the entire site is scheduled for completion in November 2011.
    - (1) Citizens, Sheer, and Wendy's buildings will be razed this winter after abatement complete.
    - (2) B. Foreman Building Demolition complete.
    - (3) Seneca Building Top portions of building expected to be removed spring/summer 2011
    - (4) Wegmans Demo started, will go to top of garage
    - (5) Pedestrian Bridges Main St down; Xerox & Chase TBD
    - (6) Midtown Tower Bldg to remain
    - (7) Areas adjacent to Tower Demo expected this winter or spring 2011
    - (8) McCurdy's Demo expected spring 2011
    - (9) Euclid Bldg Demo after McCurdy's, expected fall 2011
- b) B. Miller presented the Base Plan (BP-01) showing the redevelopment parcels and proposed street grid. The plan is close to the alignments described in the SEQR DGEIS document. LaBella has submitted numerous street and tunnel alternatives to the City in a Pre-Draft Design Report (submitted 10/15/2010). LaBella is awaiting preliminary comments. Once comments are received and incorporated a formal Draft Design Report will be submitted for review. A public informational meeting can be scheduled. Briefly, the design schedule for the redevelopment project is as follows:

City of Rochester Midtown Redevelopment Project Minutes Utility Meeting No. 1

- b) cont.
  - i) Final Design Report/Design Approval January 2011
  - ii) Final Design start February 2011 and finish January 2012
- c) Redevelopment Construction The redevelopment project is anticipated to be divided into three separate construction contracts. These include:
  - i) Construct recommended tunnel alignment, complete backfill to subgrade level, and construct underground pedestrian tunnel from PAETEC building to garage (contract let summer 2011, duration into 2012)
  - ii) Complete parking garage rehabilitation and modifications (contract let summer/fall 2011, duration through 2012)
  - iii) Construct streets, utilities, landscaping, etc (contract let mid-2012, duration through 2013)

# 3) Anticipated Utility Impacts from Redevelopment (K. Gsellmeier, B. Bystrak)

- a) K. Gsellmeier gave an overview of the existing utilities throughout the site, including the garage and the service tunnel. The term "existing" refers to the utilities that will be present after demolition is complete.
- b) B. Bystrak discussed the objectives and design challenges of providing service to each parcel efficiently. Indicated utility impacts identified at this level of design development.
  - i) Individual services to Parcels
    - (1) Parcel 3 Land locked and above the garage
    - (2) Parcels 2 and 7 Entirely above the garage, with at least one face towards a street
    - (3) Parcel 6 Portions above the garage and tunnel, limiting service locations.
    - (4) Parcels 2, 3, and 7 require services to be located in the garage.
    - (5) Parcels 1 and 5 Served from existing or new streets.
    - (6) Parcel 4 Does not require utilities (except electric)
  - ii) Initial design assumptions:
    - (1) New dedicated sewers will be combined sewers (not separate storm and sanitary). All sewers in the street rights-of-way will be dedicated. Reline portions of existing private Cortland St sewer to connection at Main St. and dedicate.
    - (2) Separate storm and sanitary laterals (not combined laterals) required for each parcel
    - (3) Separate storm sewers provided for Broad St (not combined with any individual parcel storm sewer as currently exists). Broad St storm sewers need to hang in garage. RPWD will need to operate.
    - (4) Fire System in the garage will service only the garage. Risers to former Midtown facilities above the garage will be terminated. Separate domestic and fire services to each parcel are required.
    - (5) New underground pedestrian tunnel between Parcel 1 and Level B of garage will have approx 8 feet of cover. Utilities in Elm Street can go over the pedestrian tunnel.
  - iii) Street network impacts to services and access
    - (1) Minimal depth of cover above the garage (less than 18")
    - (2) Assume no infrastructure except drainage can be installed in the street rights-of-way above the garage
    - (3) Drainage for streets above garage will require new penetrations into the garage for catch basins. These CB's will be served by hung plumbing.
    - (4) Street grid conflicts with several existing RGE electrical vaults
      - (a) South Clinton Avenue Vaults 81.02 3/16; 81.01A; 81.02AA
      - (b) East Main St Vault 1.44
      - (c) Euclid St Vaults 1049.01A; 1040.01

- iv) Modifications to the garage
  - (1) Area above garage not available for utilities due to lack of cover depth
  - (2) Portion of the garage roof will be removed and a new lower roof provided to permit construction of the street grid above.
    - (a) At northeast corner of garage near Atlas Street the roof above RGE Vault 4 lowered.
    - (b) Roof lowered below and adjacent to the proposed Cortland St Ext. Impacts include:
      - (i) RGE network trays hung in level "A" of the garage between Vaults 3, 5 and 9 need relocations.
      - (ii) Sewers servicing Broad St and the Tower need to be lowered
- v) Tunnel alignments
  - (1) Area above tunnel not available for utilities due to lack of cover depth (2.5 ft +/-)
  - (2) Various alternate alignments being considered.
  - (3) Alternate A.3 (Included on plan sheets provided to attendees) Tunnel exits to Chestnut via Euclid St. Euclid St between Atlas and Chestnut to be closed for tunnel ramp use. Multiple utilities impacted:
    - (a) Conflicts with an additional existing RGE electrical vault 1049.03 and primary network feeds from Chestnut St to Main St and to Vault 4 in garage.
    - (b) RDH steam line requires relocation
    - (c) Verizon network cabling/raceway requires relocation
    - (d) RPWD sewers along Atlas St, need to be redirected southward and then to Chestnut
    - (e) Water Bureau water main needs relocation and reconnection under the tunnel
    - (f) RGE gas main requires relocation
    - (g) All services to buildings along Atlas St and Euclid (between Chestnut and Atlas) need reconnection, including natural gas, electric, communications, water, sanitary, storm etc. Further investigations needed to identify services impacted.
- vi) Unknowns
  - (1) Garage and tunnel ownership to be determined
  - (2) Parcel land use undefined.

### 4) Utility Homework Assignments

a) S. Metzger discussed the request for information and feedback from the utilities. This feedback will provide data to identify needs for private and public utilities to service the redevelopment area. Refer to action items for specific requests. Feedback due by November 19, 2010

## 5) Comments/Questions from Utility Representatives with Initial LaBella Responses:

- a) P. Kimball, RDH Where does the proposed tunnel penetrate the surface?
  - i) Refer to Drawing UT-07: For Alternate A.3 an open air ramp would be proposed between Chestnut St and Atlas St. The ramp would be fully underground just east of Atlas St near Sta EU15+10. Atlas St would still connect to Euclid St for traffic going westerly from Atlas.
- b) M. Bushart, Rochester Water Bureau Can the current parcels be further subdivided?
  - i) It is likely the parcels can be further divided depending on a developers needs. The City is working on guidelines regarding subdivision.
  - ii) Tower is anticipated to be ground floor retail, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor commercial business, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor and up residential.
- c) <u>J. Borrelli, COR Lighting</u> Consider coordinating the lighting design for this project with other adjacent projects.
  - i) Noted

- d) J. Outhouse, Frontier Will PAETEC own the Seneca Building?
  - i) Parcel 1 incorporates the remaining Seneca Building as the core of a new building. It is anticipated that new construction will occur both north and south of the existing Seneca Bldg.
- e) <u>J. Bitter, RG&E Elec</u> Review the vault locations that may be impacted by the redevelopment. How far will the garage roof be lowered?
  - i) Refer to discussion under Item 3 above for impacted vaults currently identified.
  - ii) Garage roof varies near Vault 4 roof to be lowered approx 18-to 24-inches. For Cortland St Ext the roof would be lowered approximately 31-inches.
- f) <u>K. Quinn, RPWD</u> Discuss how the sewers will be redirected on the Alternate A.3 plan. Will sewers in garage require an easement? 40 yr lease with the City is about to expire.
  - i) Atlas St reconstructed to flow southerly and then to Chestnut St. Need to verify inverts.
  - ii) New end manhole installed on existing Euclid St sewer west of tunnel. Further investigation is needed to determine locations of possible sanitary laterals along Euclid between Atlas and Chestnut.
  - iii) Broad St storm sewers will be separate from individual sewer laterals but need to be hung in the garage. These street sewers need to be under jurisdiction of RPWD.
- g) <u>B. VanDame, COR</u> Is the tunnel public or privately owned, (this impacts whether a permit or easement is required)? Are there restrictions on the type of vehicle that can use the tunnel? Consider contacting Dig Safely NY for utility information.
  - i) Ownership of tunnel is unknown.
  - ii) Design vehicle for tunnel is the refuse truck utilized by City for refuse pickup at the Convention Center.
- h) <u>J. Markuse, Verizon</u> Network cable along Euclid St will be impacted with Tunnel A.3. They also serve Bank of America.
  - i) Noted.
- i) R. Sidoti, RGE gas What are the guidelines/assumptions for the loads on the parcels? Is an AutoCAD file of the base plan available for distribution? Gas Main along Euclid St. is impacted.
  - i) Load information would need to be provided by the developers.
  - ii) Refer to the DGEIS for information developed as part of a market feasibility analysis.
  - iii) LaBella will discuss with the City if an AutoCad plan can be provided. Current plans are in Microstation format per City requirements.
- j) All other attendees were asked for initial feedback and indicated they had no comments or questions at this time.

### **ACTION ITEMS:**

- 1) LaBella to discuss with the City if Base Plan CAD file can be distributed.
- 2) Utility Homework Assignment: Due November 19, 2010
  - Identify issues to discuss and coordinate with other Utility planners and designers.
  - If need to service parcels, identify means to service the parcels
  - Identify other potential impacts to Utility's system from the Midtown Redevelopment
  - Identify Utility's projects or initiatives in the project area

For parcel usage information refer to Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement, Midtown Redevelopment Project at web site http://www.cityofrochester.gov/midtown/ Go to Midtown Documents & Data, DGEIS (Chapter 2, Table 2.1 & Figure 2.12)

# **NEXT MEETING:**

No meeting scheduled.

The preceding minutes represent the author's understanding of the issues discussed and decisions reached. If there are any errors or omissions, questions, comments, or corrections regarding these notes, please contact the undersigned at either (585) 295-6221 or kgsellmeier@labellapc.com within three business days of issuance.

Respectfully submitted,

LABELLA ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Kayanne M Geellmein

Kayanne M. Gsellmeier, PE Senior Civil Engineer

KMG/aa

cc:

All Attendees
James McIntosh, COR
Paul Way, COR
Pat Brooks, Time Warner Communications
James Highsmith, Fibertech
Stephen Schwartzmeier, MCDES
Brent Penwarden, MCDES
Sergio Esteban, LaBella

### MINUTES OF MEETING

DATE:

Tuesday, November 23, 2010

PLACE:

City of Rochester

TIME:

10:30 AM

RE:

Midtown Redevelopment - Traffic Issues

### IN ATTENDANCE:

Brent Penwarden Jim Pond Terry Rice Paul Way Rick VenVertloh Tom Miller	MCDOT MCDOT MCDOT City of Rochester LaBella Associates LaBella Associates	585-753-7733 585-753-7755 585-753-7720 585-428-7383 585-295-6226 585-295-6644
Tom Miller	LaBella Associates	383-293-0044

The purpose of the meeting was to 1) review the project status, 2) discuss traffic studies prepared for the project, and 3) discuss Broad Street alignments and traffic issues related to a two-way conversion. The meeting followed a prescribed agenda (attached). Some topics of discussion were as follows:

- The city issued a pre-draft design report in mid-October 2010. The documents were for internal
  circulation and review at the city. Comments to the report and plan are scheduled to be transmitted by
  Thanksgiving. The consultant will update the report and issue a draft design report by mid-December. A
  public meeting is scheduled for mid-January (or sooner if possible).
- A base plan was reviewed which depicts the internal street network within the Midtown superblock. It was stated that Historic Elm St and Cortland St Extension, which are currently shown as streets, will likely be shown as pedestrian corridors when the draft design report is issued in December. If Cortland St Extension is developed as a pedestrian corridor, it would not be necessary to strengthen and/or lower the garage roof slab to accommodate vehicular loading. Developing Historic Elm Street as a pedestrian corridor has the advantage of eliminating an intersection on Main St which is quite close to the East Ave / Franklin St intersection.
- A second drawing was reviewed (Alternate C Performing Arts Center) which depicts slightly different street alignments. The orientation of the open space parcel has been rotated. This alternate has been developed to maximize the size of parcel number 5 which is under consideration for development of a performing arts center. It was stated that the open space parcel will be developed in such a way that it commemorates the former Midtown mall atrium.
- The underground service tunnel was discussed. It was explained that the base plan depicts the service tunnel access where Euclid St intersects Chestnut St. The City and consultant are still reviewing options for service tunnel alignments. It was also stated that the Chestnut St parking garage exit ramp may be removed or relocated as part of the Midtown project.
- A discussion focused on Broad St between Chestnut St and South Ave. The section from Chestnut St to Stone St is planned to be converted from one-way westbound to two-way traffic.

- MCDOT was under the impression that there would be two westbound through lanes on Broad St throughout this corridor. The County believes that there are two westbound through lanes on Broad St being planned as part of the Chestnut/Court/Broad project.
- MCDOT stated that Court St will remain one-way between Clinton Ave and Chestnut St.
- T. Rice stated that the County has accepted the conversion of Broad Street from one-way to two-way. At issue is what the lane configurations will be (i.e. will there be one westbound lane or two westbound lanes). The number of westbound through lanes is dependent on the traffic that is predicted to use the street, given that Court St will remain one-way.
- T. Rice stated that the SRF analysis for the Broad St two-way conversion had been reviewed by MCDOT and comments were provided to Clark Patterson Lee. The study has not yet been resubmitted or approved.
- A meeting will be set up with Clark Patterson Lee, LaBella, the City, and MCDOT project manager to better understand the predicted traffic conditions on Broad St and the preferred lane configuration.
- MCDOT cautioned that there needs to be enough room for northbound vehicles on Clinton Ave to turn left onto Broad St. A dual left turn is anticipated to remain at this location.
- A discussion focused on Main St in the vicinity of proposed Cortland St. P. Way confirmed that the existing brick pavers currently delineating the Main St crosswalk will be removed as part of the street rehabilitation associated with the Midtown project. T. Rice stated that the existing traffic signal equipment at this location could be removed since there will be no need for pedestrians to cross at this location until such a time when the new intersection is developed for Cortland St.
- T. Rice questioned whether there will be a County share associated with the traffic work. P. Way stated
  that there would be.
- The Broad/Court/Chestnut project will include traffic signal work at the Elm St / Chestnut St and Broad St / Chestnut St intersections.
- A discussion focused on the budget for the project. It was stated that the city currently does not have enough money to build the project. T. Rice questioned the need to include 5% expanded award amount as part of the budget sheet.
- A discussion focused on the LaBella handout entitled, "Summary of Parameters for Midtown Traffic Analysis". It was stated that LaBella modeled the Main & Clinton intersection with the existing turn restrictions in place. This is in accordance with direction from MCDOT at the September 15, 2010 meeting. It was stated that the traffic analysis prepared for the Renaissance Square project analyzed the Main & Clinton intersection with eastbound left turns allowed. It was suggested that if this intersection was already modeled for future conditions as part of the Renaissance Square project, then we could theoretically eliminate it from the Midtown design report (i.e. just reference the work that was done for the Renaissance Square project).
- The section of Broad Street from Clinton Ave to South Ave was discussed.
  - The city would like to minimize construction work within this block. A drawing was reviewed which showed a possible lane configuration in which the curb lines would remain.
  - MCDOT pointed out that the opposing left turn lanes at Stone St create a negative offset and are a
    concern because of the restricted line of sight. It would be advantageous to have left turn lanes lined
    up without offset.
  - It was pointed out that the eastbound U-turn at Stone Street is fairly important for vehicles wishing to enter the South Avenue garage. It would be advantageous to shift the lanes to the south thereby allowing enough room to maintain the U-turn. Some parking may be lost adjacent to the library. Some street widening and curb realignment along the south side of Broad St may be required between Bausch & Lomb Place and Clinton Ave. It was stated that it is possible to enter the South Ave garage from Stone St; however, the Stone St and Broad St entrances / exits are at different levels within the garage, and the garage levels do not line up very well.

- T. Rice stated that perhaps the Midtown project should implement the Broad St two-way conversion only between Chestnut St and Clinton Ave (i.e. no improvements between Clinton and South Avenue).
- LaBella will revise the alignment to address some of the comments mentioned.
- P. Way instructed LaBella to only include the section of Broad St between Chestnut St and Clinton Ave
  in the base contract for the Midtown Redevelopment project. The remaining portion of Broad St (from
  Clinton Ave to South Ave) will be included as an alternate.

If there are any errors or significant omissions, please contact me at 585-295-6226.

Respectfully submitted,

LABELLA ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Richard T. VenVertloh, P.E.

RV/aa

cc: All Attendees

Y:\Rochester, City\210301 - Midtown Redevelopment\Meetings\Meeting Minutes\_MCDOT\_11-23-10.DOC