
# Predevelopment Subsurface Conditions Analysis Investigation Report

Site Location: Orchard Whitney Site



Prepared for:
City of Rochester
Department of Environmental Services
Division of Environmental Quality
30 Church Street Room 300B
Rochester, NY 14614

Prepared by: Lu Engineers 175 Sullys Trail, Suite 202 Pittsford, NY 14534





# **Table of Contents**

1.0	ln <sup>-</sup>	troduction	1
2.0	Sit	te Background and History	1
3.0	Su	ımmary of Previous Environmental Reports	2
3.	1	Lu Engineers Investigations and Interim Remedial Measures	4
3.	2	Development Considerations	8
	3.2.1	L Environmental	8
	3.2.2	2 Geotechnical	8
4.0	Ge	eophysical Survey Results	8
4.	3	Development Considerations	9
	4.3.1	L Environmental	9
	4.3.2	2 Geotechnical	9
5.0	Te	est Pitting Investigation	9
5.	4	Findings and Development Considerations	10
	5.4.1	L Environmental	10
	5.4.2	2 Geotechnical	10
6.0	Com	bined Geotechnical and Environmental Drill Rig Soil Borings and Groundwater	
	Mon	itoring Wells	10
6.	5	Findings and Development Considerations	11
7.0	Fil	ll Management	11
7.	6	Development Considerations	11
	7.6.1	L Environmental	11
	7.6.2	2 Geotechnical	12
8.0	Fo	oundation Recommendations	12
8.	7	Development Considerations	13
	8.7.1	L Environmental	13
	8.7.2	2 Geotechnical	13
9.0	Ex	risting Utility Summary	13
10.0	Sit	te Survey	14
11.0	Co	onclusions and Recommendation	15

#### 1.0 Introduction

Lu Engineers was retained by the City of Rochester to conduct a Predevelopment Subsurface Conditions Analysis Investigation Report at the Orchard Whitney Brownfield Site ("Site") which has been targeted for redevelopment. The property is located immediately south of Lyell Avenue in the LYLAKS Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA). The property was historically residential until the early 1900s when it was developed into an industrial facility covering both the 415 Orchard Street parcel and the 354 Whitney Street parcel.

The Site is a 3.9-acre site located at 415 Orchard Street and 354 Whitney Street in the City of Rochester (Figure 1). The Site includes mixed commercial and industrial uses. A seven-story structure is the only remaining building present at 415 Orchard Street (Orchard Street parcel). Structures previously occupying 354 Whitney Street (Whitney Street parcel) were demolished due to the unsafe condition of the buildings.

The Site is a fenced vacant lot covered mainly with concrete slabs and building demolition debris. A large berm of brick, concrete, and debris is located on the southern and western edge of the Site. The Site is bordered by Orchard Street to the east, a former railroad right-of-way to the south, Whitney Street to the west, and commercial buildings to the north. Figure 2 is a Site Plan showing current conditions at the Site.

The development considerations discussed in this report are:

- Environmental
- Geotechnical
- Utility Service access.

Each of these considerations is addressed in detail in the sections that follow.

# 2.0 Site Background and History

According to previous environmental reports for the Site, the area was originally developed with residential housing in the late 1800's. Railroad spurs were extended through the southern adjacent properties circa 1875 and the tracks were used for coal and materials delivery and shipping as the Site developed into manufacturing and industrial uses in the early 1900s.

The Delco Appliance Division of General Motors occupied the Site from 1930 to 1967 and had several processes including the manufacture of electrical equipment, various metal finishing operations, coal storage, boiler operation, power generation, petroleum storage and small scale automotive service.

The facility was expanded to its pre-demolition Site size and configuration by 1935. The plant closed in 1967 and the property continued to be used for metal finishing, synthetic foam production, printing, plastics manufacturing, electronics manufacturing, and warehousing until 1990 when the Site was abandoned.



Site conditions continued to decline after 1990 and in 2003, a large portion of the structure at the Whitney Street parcel was damaged during an arson fire. The City partially demolished the structure in 2005 to reduce the risk of collapse and to eliminate other hazardous conditions at the Site. The City foreclosed on the Whitney Street parcel in August 2006. Figure 3 provides an overlay of the Orchard Whitney site comparing existing conditions to historical buildings and operations.

Due to deteriorating and unsafe conditions of the structure at 354 Whitney Street, the City completed asbestos abatement and demolition of the structure in order to safely complete Remedial Investigation (RI) activities. The remaining building structure on the Whitney Street Site was demolished as an interim remedial measure (IRM) during this investigation in April and May 2008. The northern portion of 415 Orchard ("Low Rise") was demolished in 2010.

IRM activities are summarized in a report titled *Interim Remedial Measures Report* (Lu Engineers, May 2010). A summary of the hazardous and non-hazardous wastes as well as friable and non-friable asbestos waste disposed of from the Site includes the following:

- ➤ The investigation included surface soil sampling, soil borings, test excavations and groundwater monitoring well installation and sampling to determine the extent of contaminated soil and groundwater.
- The primary occurrence of contamination was related to metals contaminated soil and groundwater as a result of past metal finishing operations, hydraulic lift, former gasoline storage and pumps, and underground petroleum storage. Semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), in particular polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have also been detected on the southeastern portion of the Site, in the vicinity of former plating operations and underground petroleum storage tanks. Sample analytical results show that all compounds detected in soil are below NYSDEC Residential Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (6 New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations (NYCRR) Part 375-6b).
- One (1), seven (7) story brick/stone structure of approximately 371, 600 square feet (ft) remains on the Orchard Street parcel ("High Rise"). An adjacent, heavily dilapidated single-story structure ("Low-Rise") was demolished by the City in December 2010. Crushed masonry and building materials generated during the demolition process are staged on-Site for future use during redevelopment.

Figures 4 thru 7 contain plans showing locations of soil and groundwater testing, a groundwater flow interpretation, bedrock contours, and site Geology cross sections.

# 3.0 Summary of Previous Environmental Reports

A summary of previous environmental work completed at the Site, shows that the following investigation actions have been performed at the Orchard-Whitney Site:

- > Draft Center City Industrial Park Facility Assessment, Flint, Allen, White & Radley, April 1999;
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Hazardous Substance Removal Action, 1999



- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA): 354 Whitney Street and 367, 370, 406, and 415 Orchard Streets, DAY Environmental, Inc. December 2000;
- Pre-Demolition Asbestos Inspection of 354 Whitney Street Bldg 1A, ENSR International, August 2003;
- Pre-Demolition Asbestos Inspection of 354 Whitney Street Bldg 2/2A/ Brick Mill, ENSR International, August 2003;
- Pre-Demolition Asbestos Survey 415 Orchard Street High Rise and Low Rise Structures, Lu Engineers, August 2006; and
- > Orchard-Whitney Targeted Site Assessment Report, NYSDEC Region 8, December 2006.
- 2006 Hazardous Materials Investigation and IRMs, Lu Engineers
- ➤ 2008 Remedial Investigation Activities and IRMs, Lu Engineers
- ➤ 2011 Remedial Investigation Activities and IRMs, Lu Engineers
- ➤ 2012 Remedial Investigation Activities and IRMs, Lu Engineers

# Draft Center City Industrial Park Facility Assessment, 1999

The Flint, Allen, White & Radley Draft Center City Industrial Park Facility Assessment consisted of visual inspection and analysis of general structural and Site conditions including interior and exterior roof conditions, floor loading potential and an estimated cost for rehabilitation and/or demolition. The results indicated rehabilitation costs, not including hazardous materials or asbestos abatement could exceed \$5.8 million dollars.

# USEPA Hazardous Substance Removal Action, 1999

Numerous drums containing suspected hazardous wastes were found in the abandoned 354 Whitney Street building during an inspection conducted by the City and NYSDEC. NYSDEC requested that the USEPA characterize and remove the abandoned wastes to mitigate the significant environmental and human health hazard posed by these substances. USEPA removed and disposed of over 700 drums of various sizes during this removal action. This building was later gutted by fire in 2003 and subsequently demolished by the City in 2006.

# Phase I ESA, 2000

A Day Environmental, Inc. Phase I ESA completed in 2000 identified several Recognized Environmental Conditions including:

- The presence or former presence of petroleum or chemical underground storage tanks (USTs), the locations and removal of which could not be confirmed. Laboratory analysis of samples from pre-existing monitoring wells at the Site indicated that petroleum, RCRA metals and chlorinated solvent contaminants were present in groundwater above regulatory guidance values;
- ➤ The presence of suspected and confirmed asbestos containing materials (ACM) throughout all structures at the Site;
- ➤ Historical uses of the properties and adjacent properties suggestive of use, storage and generation of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous wastes such as: oil and lead based paints, lubricants, flammable liquids, heavy metals, and polychlorinated biphenyl



- (PCB) oils. In addition, the Site is known to have at least two (2) documented NYSDEC spill incidents;
- Visual evidence of additional spills in locations where numerous drums of unknown materials were being staged;
- The presence of several transformers, hydraulic lifts, and other motorized equipment commonly associated with PCB contaminated oils; and
- The presence of floor drains and/or sumps throughout the buildings containing unknown liquids, chemicals and residues. The discharge points of the drains and sumps could not be confirmed.

# Asbestos Pre-demolition Surveys, 2003

The ENSR International, Inc. Pre-Demolition Asbestos surveys of the structures on the Whitney Street parcel conducted in 2003 indicated that friable and non-friable asbestos was present throughout all Site buildings including: roofing and flooring materials, window glazing, pipe insulation, wall board and insulation. Portions of the Site were already in decline, and friable asbestos was present where roofing materials had collapsed, windows were vandalized and pipe and wall insulation was damaged.

# NYSDEC Investigation, 2006

The NYSDEC conducted a Targeted Site Assessment in the Fall of 2006 to evaluate the 354 Whitney Street Site for potential registry as an Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Site (IHWDS). The assessment consisted of:

- A geophysical survey to determine the location of buried metallic anomalies such as USTs or utilities:
- A utility survey to locate major utility right-of-ways and to identify potential contaminant pathways;
- Installation of soil borings and 6 groundwater monitoring wells to assess subsurface soil and groundwater quality and flow direction;
- Collection of surface soil samples to determine the potential for direct contact exposure to contaminants; and
- Collection of basement standing water samples to determine whether it could be a source of contamination to groundwater.

The results of the NYSDEC investigation indicated surface soil samples were contaminated with PAHs and PCBs, as well as metals. However, the investigation was inconclusive as to the source, nature and extent of any subsurface soil or groundwater contamination at the Site. The Site was not listed on the IHWDS registry; however, further investigation was recommended to fully evaluate conditions at the Site.

# 3.1 Lu Engineers Investigations and Interim Remedial Measures

A variety of RI efforts and IRMs have been completed at various times since Lu Engineers was retained by the City in July 2006. This iterative approach was necessary due to the fact that RI and IRM work needed to be coordinated with the demolition of 354 Whitney Street in 2008 and the "Low-Rise" portion of 415 Orchard Street in 2010. IRMs were required to allow demolition in certain cases and to facilitate



access to areas of the Site requiring additional RI work. IRM and RI efforts to date have been summarized as they were completed in memoranda and correspondence provided to the City, NYSDEC and NYSDOH, as necessary.

It is noted that the RI work completed to date has not included an evaluation of conditions beneath the 415 Orchard Street "High Rise" due to the presence of friable asbestos throughout the building. Evaluation of this portion of the Site will be conducted at a future date once the building has been demolished. To facilitate closure of the remaining portions of the Site under the NYSDEC ERP, the footprint of the 415 Orchard Street is being subdivided from the remaining parcels making up the Site.

Lu Engineers is currently working toward completion of the final RI and Construction Completion Reports (CCR), which should be completed in October 2013. A Site Management Plan will also be prepared once the RI and CCR are reviewed and approved by the NYSDEC and a Record of Decision (ROD) has been drafted.

The main components of the RI and IRM efforts completed to date include the following:

# 2006 Hazardous Materials Investigation and IRMs

Lu Engineers conducted a detailed inspection of the structures located on the Site at that time including the 415 Orchard Street "High" and "Low-Rise" as well as the various contiguous structures remaining at 354 Whitney Street. This investigation was conducted in order to locate and characterize the presence of hazardous or otherwise contaminated materials other than asbestos that required removal prior to demolition. Small amounts of abandoned waste paints, oils and boiler chemicals were disposed of at that time. Other materials were characterized for removal during demolition by the demolition contractor.

Three non-PCB-containing transformers located on the outer wall of the 6<sup>th</sup> floor of 415 Orchard Street were also removed and disposed of to prevent them from potentially falling during demolition of the adjacent structure. Vandalism required cleanup of spilled non-PCB oils from the ground surface as part of this process. After demolition of the 354 Whitney structures, a total of 218 tons of arsenic hazardous waste ash from the boiler house chimney was transported and disposed of off-site.

Masonry demolition debris was crushed to approximately 4-6 inches in diameter and staged on Site above the existing pile of demolition debris left after demolition of the westernmost portions of the 354 Whitney Street complex in 2003. Crushed masonry demolition debris was also staged along the western perimeter of the Site along Whitney Street at that time.

# 2008 RI and IRMs

Once the remaining 354 Whitney structures were demolished, the majority of the Site was accessible facilitating a more comprehensive investigation, which included:

Installation and sampling of a total of 16 monitoring wells (MW-07 through MW-22)



# Predevelopment Subsurface Conditions Analysis Investigation Report

- Drilling of a total of 6 soil borings (SB-01, 03, 05, 07, 19 and 20 (intervening numbers were completed as wells))
- Excavation of a total of 18 test pits (TP-01 through 18)
- ➤ Manual excavation of 4 surface soil samples (SS-01 through 04)

As test pits were installed in the central and southern portion of the Site, elevated screening levels and indications of waste materials were observed present in clay tile crocks associated with the former drainage features present on the ground floor of the former buildings. One drum of non-hazardous, solvent contaminated sludge was removed and disposed of off Site as an IRM during this process.

The findings of the 2008 RI indicated the presence of abandoned USTs and elevated subsurface chromium concentrations adjacent to the western wall of 415 Orchard Street. These locations were designated as Areas of Concern (AOCs) 1 and 2, respectively. An abandoned hydraulic lift was identified (AOC-3) in the north/central portion of the Site. Elevated screening data and petroleum odors were found in the northern portion of the western area of the Site warranting designation as AOC-4.

Surface soils from the immediate vicinity of the Site were found to contain relatively low levels of metals and SVOCs indicative of typical urban background conditions. With the exception of the elevated chromium levels found at AOC2, subsurface soil and groundwater were not observed to be significantly impacted within the study area.

Data gaps remaining after completion of the 2008 RI included the presence of a large tunnel aligned east/west located in the center of the Site with smaller tunnels branching off to the north and south apparently associated with utilities as discussed elsewhere herein. The nature and extent of contamination associated with AOCs 1 through 4 and potential presence of contamination not accessible beneath 415 Orchard Street at that time were also considered to be data gaps requiring additional investigation.

# 2011 RI and IRMs

RI and IRM efforts were conducted concurrently during 2011 to minimize the mobilization and demobilization of equipment to and from the Site. IRM work focused on the closure of a total of 9 petroleum USTs located within AOC-1. During this process, a total of 14,250 gallons of petroleum and petroleum-contaminated water was removed and disposed of off Site. A total of 11,500 gallons of petroleum-contaminated water were treated on-Site and discharged under permit to the Monroe County sewer line on the western side of Orchard Street. This process also included the removal and proper disposal of 265 tons of petroleum and metals impacted soils. The concrete vaults surrounding the tanks were backfilled with flowable fill to a depth of approximately 5 feet below grade to prevent infiltration of contaminated groundwater from the adjacent AOC-2 (Former Plating Area). The remainder of the backfill was completed with clean imported fill and crushed demolition debris from the materials staged on Site.



# Predevelopment Subsurface Conditions Analysis Investigation Report

The demolition of the 415 "Low Rise" in late 2010 allowed access to the building's former footprint. Other locations including, but not limited to the petroleum–contaminated soils identified as AOC-4 also required additional investigation to determine whether additional IRMs were warranted. Lu Engineers also focused on obtaining detailed data on the nature and extent of soil and groundwater contamination associated with AOC-2 for development of an IRM strategy. RI efforts completed in 2011 concurrently with the AOC-1 IRM included the following:

- Installation of 3 groundwater monitoring wells (MW-23 through 25)
- Installation of 16 temporary monitoring wells within and adjacent to AOC-2 (PA-01 through 16)
- Installation of four additional soil borings (PA-17, PA-18, SB-26A and SB-26B)
- Excavation of 23 additional test pits (TP-19 through 39, TP-7A and TP-7E)

Soil and groundwater conditions within the 415 Orchard "Low Rise" footprint and within the area of AOC-4 were found to be consistent with background levels of VOCs, SVOCs and metals elsewhere on the Site and no additional IRMs were proposed. Detailed data was also obtained from AOC-2 allowing 3-dimensional modeling of the area and development of a coherent plan for remediation of the chromium soil and groundwater contamination observed in this location.

# 2012 RI and IRMs

The additional RI work conducted in 2012 was limited to re-sampling of all Site groundwater wells once the IRMs were completed with respect to AOC-2 and AOC-3 as well as a more detailed review of the nature and extent of the tunnel systems present on the Site. A subcontracted utility scanning company was brought to the Site to televise accessible portions of the remaining drainage features and tunnels.

The large east/west oriented tunnel was also entered to determine whether hazardous materials were present and to verify that its steel reinforced concrete roof could bear the load trucks and other equipment to be mobilized for remediation of the adjacent AOC-2. Lu Engineers determined that the roof of the tunnel was capable of bearing the weight of all proposed activities above. Inspection of the tunnel also revealed the presence of friable asbestos pipe covering within and approximately 5 feet of standing water (determined previously to be uncontaminated). The tunnel floor and walls are concrete and it is approximately 12 feet deep. Other branching potions of the tunnel system could not be accessed within the Site and are assumed to be primarily associated with utilities which served manufacturing operations in the past. A portion of a closed tunnel was accessed from a commercial property (Turner Bellows, Inc.) to the west of the Site. However this tunnel terminates at the Site's western perimeter.

IRM effort during 2012 focused on remediation of hazardous levels of chromium (hexavalent chromium) and other RCRA metals identified in soil and groundwater within the former plating area (AOC-2). A total of approximately 500 tons of hazardous and non-hazardous soils contaminated with chromium, arsenic and cadmium were removed from AOC-2 and disposed of off Site. Lu Engineers used a portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) meter to assist in the differentiation of soil contaminant levels during both the AOC-2 RI and IRM process.



Careful planning around seasonal weather and groundwater variations allowed removal of the affected soils without requiring groundwater removal during this process. Hazardous groundwater was treated in-situ during and after backfilling with clean imported crushed stone and select fill. A total of 300 gallons of a molasses and water mixture was fed by gravity into the subsurface. Subsequent groundwater sampling indicated that no hazardous conditions remained. Limited occurrences of elevated, but not hazardous levels of chromium, arsenic and chromium remain after completion of this IRM due to limited access during the excavation process.

AOC-3 was also remediated concurrently with the AOC-2 effort. A small amount (less than 1 ton) of petroleum contaminated soil as well as the hydraulic lift itself were removed and disposed of during the 2012 IRM work.

# 3.2 Development Considerations

Based on previously completed environmental investigations and cleanup, the following items should be considered for future development of the site.

#### 3.2.1 Environmental

A visual summary of findings from the environmental investigation can be found in Appendix 1. Findings from environmental work completed to date show that groundwater at the site has several compounds that exceed NYSDEC groundwater standards. Most of these compounds are within the former plating area with trace levels of several compounds (chloroform and lead) found in MW-21 and MW-11 respectively.

With the exception of the former plating area and two isolated areas along the southern property boundary, surface soil results do not exceed NYSDEC standards for commercial use. This is also true for subsurface soils with the plating area being the only sampled location showing levels of several metals above NYSDEC commercial use standards.

Unless additional environmental contamination requiring remediation is found during subsurface investigation after the building at 415 Orchard Street is demolished, it is not expected that the NYSDEC will require additional investigation and/or remediation at the site. Continued groundwater monitoring will likely be recommended. Institutional controls such as deed restrictions on future use and perhaps measures for vapor mitigation during building construction are also expected.

#### 3.2.2 Geotechnical

Foundation Design, PC was present during the environmental borings and also reviewed all test pit and boring logs from the investigation. Their interpretation and recommendations for future fill management and foundation design are provided in the sections that follow.

# 4.0 Geophysical Survey Results

A geophysical survey (Appendix 2) was completed by GeoMatrix on the 354 Whitney Street parcel in August of 2005. The purpose of the survey was to determine the location of large underground conduits



that may act as preferential pathways for environmental concerns. The survey was completed using frequency domain (EM31) and time domain (EM61) electromagnetic techniques. Both technologies are capable of identifying potential buried metallic objects such as tanks and containers as well as utility piping.

The results of the survey were inconclusive with significant interference from reinforced concrete (rebar) and other common anomalies at industrial sites. Graphical representations from the survey do show the presence of tunnels located on the property.

# 4.3 Development Considerations

The following items should be considered for future development of the site.

#### 4.3.1 Environmental

The 2005 geophysical survey was inconclusive for the presence of tanks and/or containers. Subsequent investigations and remedial measures completed by Lu Engineers from 2008 to present have addressed areas of environmental concern that needed corrective action. A detailed description of corrective actions taken will be found in Lu Engineers Construction Completion Report which will be completed in October 2013.

#### 4.3.2 Geotechnical

There are sub-surface features identified in the geophysical survey and subsequent environmental investigations that could impact future development. These include utility tunnels, and utility lines. Specific impacts should be evaluated as future development options (building loads, proposed subsurface features, and locations) are considered.

# 5.0 Test Pitting Investigation

Lu Engineers completed 45 test excavations (Test Pits) as part of the remedial investigations and cleanup at the site (2008 to present). Test locations were selected based on previous environmental reports, historical maps and suspected areas of environmental concern. Test locations are shown on Figure 6. All test pit logs were provided to our Geotechnical Engineer (Foundation Design) for review. A discussion of our environmental findings and feedback regarding foundation recommendations is provided below.

Test pits were completed to evaluate potential contamination and to evaluate fill conditions. Test pits were excavated in October 2008, using an excavator equipped with a jack-hammer to investigate subslab features. Additional test pits were excavated in March 2011 using a JD 200C IC excavator. Excavation depth varied from 1 to 20 feet (ft) below ground surface (bgs) depending on location, intent, soil characteristics, and depth to bedrock. Excavated material was returned to the appropriate test pit after field screening and sampling was completed.

Soil samples were collected from each test pit and visual observations, characterization of subsurface materials, and field measurements of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) for initial were recorded on test pit logs. Samples were sent to a NYS certified laboratory for analysis as appropriated.



Test excavations show fill materials throughout the site at an average depth of 6 to 8 feet bgs. These depths are consistent with the findings of test borings completed during RI activities. Fill consists of reworked native soil and/or debris. Fill depths vary from 0 to 8 feet (former house basement at TP-34 to 13.7 feet (near the smoke stack foundation). Previous slabs were likely placed over areas of former residences and these areas contain poor quality fills. These areas are shown on the subsurface structure sketch provided in Foundation Design's Pre-Development Geotechnical Assessment, November 2011 (Appendix 3).

Test Pit logs are provided in Appendix 4.

# 5.4 Findings and Development Considerations

The following items should be considered for future development of the site.

#### 5.4.1 Environmental

Analytical sampling from site test pits indicated the presence of several compounds at levels above NYSDEC cleanup standards for commercial development. Unless otherwise noted, all analytical samples were obtained from the vadose zone located approximately 6-8 feet below grade. Follow-up corrective actions have taken place since the test pits were completed and the site generally meets cleanup standards for commercial use. A detailed description of corrective actions can be found in Lu Engineers Construction Completion Report dated May 2013.

#### 5.4.2 Geotechnical

Based on historical records showing residences along with their estimated fill depths and the type of materials present in the test pits, Foundation Design has concluded that the soil may not acceptable for supporting new structures or floor slabs. Depending on their location, new structures and slabs would be subject to settling over time with new loads. Fill would need to be removed and replaced throughout most of the site prior to new development. This subject is expanded upon in the sections that follow.

# 6.0 Combined Geotechnical and Environmental Drill Rig Soil Borings and Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Lu Engineers began a RI of the Orchard Whitney site in 2008. During this investigation 50 soil borings were completed. Of these 50 borings 23 were converted to permanent groundwater wells (conventional hollow stem augering methods) and 16 (in the former plating area) were converted to micro wells (geoprobe borings). All of these locations are shown on Figure 6. Soil borings which were not converted to wells are designated as SB points. MW designates monitoring wells. PA-0 thru PA-16 are micro wells and PA-17 and 18 are geoprobe borings.

Boring logs were recorded including soil characteristics, headspace concentrations, water table depth, sample recovery, blow counts and other pertinent information. Boring logs are provided in Appendix 5.

Monitoring well locations were selected to provide representative data relative to conditions throughout the Site. The wells were installed in areas of known former petroleum or chemical storage



and handing, locations of reported surface spills or staining, floor drains, sumps or trench drains, areas containing electrical equipment or hydraulic lifts, and areas of concern identified or incompletely characterized during trenching or building demolition.

Overburden drilling (SB points) was conducted using 4.25-inch ID hollow-stem augers. Continuous split spoon soil samples were collected in accordance with ASTM Method D-1586 at each boring, except for TB-19 located in the former plating area, and characterized using the Burmeister Soil Classification System. All split-spoon samples were logged by a geologist and recorded for reference. Field headspace measurements of VOCs from split-spoon soil samples were recorded using a MiniRae 2000 portable PID meter. Samples were collected using a standard two-inch outer diameter (OD) split-spoon driven by a 140-pound drill rig hammer. Blow counts were recorded for each split-spoon sample and recorded on well/soil boring logs provided in Appendix 4.

Soil was continuously sampled at each PA location using four (4) foot macrocore samplers with acetate sleeves (Geoprobe tooling) and driven by a geoprobehammer. Blow counts were recorded for each four (4)-foot macro-core sample and recorded on well/soil boring logs.

All wells, except MW-24 and MW-25, were completed flush to grade and completed with locking, protective steel casings set in concrete drainage pads. MW-24 and MW-25 were completed with stick-up style protective casings.

## 6.5 Findings and Development Considerations

Development considerations based on environmental findings at the site were previously discussed in Section 4.2. Maps and drawings showing the findings of the investigations and cleanup work can be found in Appendix 1.

### 7.0 Fill Management

Test pits, soil borings and monitoring wells completed during the remedial investigation generally show the presence of fill ranging from 0 to 3 feet in most locations. These depths are considerably deeper in the area surrounding the former house basement (8 feet at TP-34) and as much as 13 feet near the former smoke stack location (PA-06). There is also a large area of fill/construction debris located along the southwest corner and western perimeter of the property.

# 7.6 Development Considerations

The following items should be considered for future development of the site.

### 7.6.1 Environmental

Based on the proposed location of future structures and/or subsurface site features the management of existing fill will need to be further evaluated for development. Foundation Design has developed a cost estimate to remove and replace existing fill to support new structures. The numbers are conservative based on the fact that former residence basements on the property were likely filled with poor quality materials and the fact that several areas have shown fill at depths of between 8 and 13 feet.



It is our professional opinion that certain areas of the site are more suitable to development than others. Once the City and/or developer have a conceptual future use plan in place, fill management options and costs can be provided with much more accuracy. This is also true for existing and new utilities as current fill may prove to be corrosive to future lines and hookups. It is also noted that the extensive foundations remain in place throughout much of the Site that once supported massive multistory masonry industrial structures. The precise location of all remaining foundations has not been completely identified but much of these structures are visible at the surface.

# 7.6.2 Geotechnical

Foundation Design has stressed in their report (Appendix 3) that debris laden fill is not acceptable for support of new structures. They conclude that although it suited the needs of the previous owners for floor slab support, the material will likely settle and compress with time and new loads. Additional work such as test pitting that is based on a proposed site development plan could serve to reduce the level of uncertainty with fill management.

The best way to quantify fill management is to overlay previous development areas with new construction limits and assume an expected removal depth. Foundation Design recommends that for planning they assume that the older, pre-AC Delco development areas will require up to 8 feet of fill removal and replacement. Development near the former stack will require removal of as much as 15 feet. Other AC Delco subsurface features such as the utility tunnel, utility lines or basement areas will require appropriate depths of removal.

Based on existing information Foundation Design prepared a cost estimate for fill management and this is provided in Appendix 3.

#### 8.0 Foundation Recommendations

Although there are fill considerations, soils below the fill are firm native silt/clay and underlain by dense glacial till resting on bedrock at an average depth of approximately 12 bgs. This upper firm material should be sufficient for modest structural loads. The deeper glacial till can support more significant loads such as the existing structure. Bedrock depths should be deep enough to facilitate near-surface construction. Deep basements and or utilities may require bedrock removal. Groundwater appears to be able to be handled using typical construction practices for near surface structures but will pose challenges for deeper permanent structures.

Foundation Design projects that at this stage in planning it is expected that a spread footing foundation system will be utilized. For near surface structures (bear at frost depth) it is expected that footings will bear at low to moderate pressures on the order of 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf). Deeper structures will bear at pressures that that may approach 6,000 psf.

If new construction consists of very heavy loads (greater than 400 kips) deep foundation systems should be considered. In this case a drilled shaft system bearing at a depth of fifteen to twenty feet below grade at a bearing pressure of seven to ten tons per square foot (tsf) should be considered.



Where asphalt and concrete pavements or sidewalks are placed over in-place fill, developers should expect less than typical time before cracking, waviness, and/or potholes form. This risk appears to be acceptable compared to the cost of removing the fill. For estimating purposes budgeting for a slightly thicker than normal pavement, such as .5 inches of asphalt top, 2.5 inches of binder, and 15 inches of crusher-run subbase. Pavement slopes of at least 2.0 percent should be planned for and weeps should be installed at low points to facilitate drainage into the storm system.

Foundation Design also incorporates seismic considerations into their report and their recommendations can be viewed in Appendix 3.

# 8.7 Development Considerations

Based on the foundation recommendations, the following items should be considered for future development of the site.

#### 8.7.1 Environmental

A soils management plan will be required in order to identify steps to be taken during future excavation and/or construction work. This plan should detail specific procedures to be taken with regard to fill management. As plans are developed for future construction, the soils management plan can be updated to match given areas of the site that will be impacted.

#### 8.7.2 Geotechnical

Discussed in Section 9.0.

# 9.0 Existing Utility Summary

The site is currently serviced by numerous utilities, the majority of which are under paved roadways, including Whitney Street, Orchard Street, and Lyell Avenue (Figures 8-12 with additional drawings included). The known utilities include:

- Monroe County Pure Water systems storm and sanitary
- City of Rochester systems Water & Street Lighting
- Rochester Gas & Electric Gas & Electric
- Frontier Communications Communications
- Time Warner Cable- Communications

The City of Rochester New York Developers Guide should be consulted for guidance regarding required permits, and is included in Appendix 6 of this report.

In addition to utility-specific permits, additional connection or installation permits may also be required. These may include a Street Operating Permit and or Excavation Permit, which can be obtained through the City's Department of Environmental Services Permits Office, City Hall, 30 Church Street.



# Predevelopment Subsurface Conditions Analysis Investigation Report

Based on fill materials and native soils present at the site, it is likely that these may be considered corrosive to ductile iron pipe. Polyethylene encasement is recommended for ductile iron pipe installation. In shallow fill, trench improvement may be accomplished by undercutting utility trenches to remove fill and backfilling with sub-base/stone for support. Wrapping the pipe and stone in a geogrid is recommended to span small irregularities that may form under the pipe in areas of deeper fill.

If development results in additional storm or sanitary discharge a Rochester Pure Waters District Permit must be obtained from Monroe County Pure Waters for new connections to sewers. The depth and size of new/relocated storm or sanitary utilities is expected to be similar to the existing. MCPW connections and lines are shown on Figure 8 and sewer as-built drawings are included on Figures 12-1 and 12-2.

Any water service connections must be approved by the City of Rochester Water Bureau. The depth and size of new/relocated water utilities is expected to be similar to the existing. Water service capabilities are shown on Figure 8 with services and connections running along Whitney Street, Lyell Avenue, and Orchard Street.

Other Utilities including Frontier Communications and Rochester Gas and Electric must be contacted separately for evaluation of existing capacity of their utility. If additional utility capacity and infrastructure are necessary, based on specific demands of the propose development, each utility will provide cost estimates for upgrades. If the capacity of the existing utility is adequate, then arrangements should be made with each utility company to connect to existing services.

Service for Frontier Communications is shown on Figure 9. Figure 10 shows RG&E Service areas on Orchard and Whitney Streets and their as-built drawings are also included.

# 10.0 Site Survey

A Lu Engineers' NYS Licensed Surveyor conducted a Site survey to identify property boundaries, existing site features, structures, and monitoring wells. This information was used to create a base map of the Site using the NAD 83 UTM Zone 18 (NYTM) coordinate system to present these features and the locations of sample points.

The Site survey, completed on October 8, 2008 and updated in June 2013 subsequent to the Whitney Street parcel structure demolition and installation of the groundwater monitoring wells, included the locations and elevations of installed groundwater monitoring wells, and all property boundaries, topographic features, landmarks and known utility corridors and tunnels. All other data collection points, including test pits, and surface sample locations were located using a hand held Global Positioning System (GPS) unit and plotted on the survey map using NAD 1983 State Plane New York West coordinates.

Monitoring well locations were surveyed and the top of casing determined to 0.010 foot accuracy to mean sea level by Lu's survey department. Groundwater depths, laboratory analytical data, Site survey



data and GPS data was used to prepare a groundwater flow models, depth to groundwater and local hydraulic gradient diagrams as well as to prepare contaminant concentration plume maps.

The Site Survey Map completed for the project is included in Appendix 7.

#### 11.0 Conclusions and Recommendation

Lu Engineers was retained by the City of Rochester to conduct a Predevelopment Investigation at the Orchard Whitney Brownfield Site which has been targeted for redevelopment. Details of any proposed development are currently not in place or not available. The development considerations discussed in this report are 1) Environmental; 2) Geotechnical: and 3) Utility Service access. Each of these considerations is discussed below.

#### **Environmental Considerations**

A detailed description of the site's history and all associated environmental investigation and cleanup reports is provided in Section 4 of this report.

A visual summary of findings from the environmental investigation can be found in Appendix 1. Findings from environmental work completed to date show that groundwater at the site has several compounds that exceed NYSDEC groundwater standards. Most of these compounds are within the former plating area with trace levels of several compounds (chloroform and lead) found in MW-21 and MW-11 respectively.

With the exception of the former plating area and two isolated areas along the southern property boundary, surface soil results do not exceed NYSDEC standards for commercial use. This is also true for subsurface soils with the plating area being the only sampled location showing levels of several metals above NYSDEC commercial use standards.

Unless additional environmental contamination requiring remediation is found during subsurface investigation after the building at 415 Orchard Street is demolished, it is not expected that the NYSDEC will require additional investigation and/or remediation at the site. Continued groundwater monitoring will likely be recommended. Institutional controls such as deed restrictions on future use and perhaps measures for vapor mitigation during building construction are also expected.

The current environmental conditions at the site are typical of older industrial facilities located within urban locations. Based on previous investigations and cleanup efforts completed to date, there are not expected to be significant environmental issues with commercial development. Proposed development options should be evaluated as they are produced to determine if the presence of fill materials or remaining residual chemical compounds may impact construction or future occupancy. At this time the City plans to conduct additional environmental investigation of the 415 Orchard Street "High Rise" once demolition is completed. It is also recommended that a soils management plan be developed for the site and modified as necessary based on development plans.



## **Geotechnical Considerations**

Foundation Design PC provided insight and opinions for geotechnical considerations at the site. Their professional opinion and associated cost estimates were based on observations during soil boring work and a review of site boring logs and test pit records. Based on their reports and our knowledge of the site, there are 3 areas of consideration related to geotechnical aspects of development.

1. Fill materials present at the site represent a load concern depending on building location, load considerations and slab support. Foundation Design has stressed in their report (Appendix 3) that debris laden fill is not acceptable for support of new structures. They conclude that although it suited the needs of the previous owners for floor slab support, the material will likely settle and compress with time and new loads. Additional work such as test pitting that is based on a proposed site development plan could serve to reduce the level of certainty with fill management.

The best way to quantify fill management is to overlay previous development areas with new construction limits and assume an expected removal depth. Foundation Design recommends that for planning they assume that the older, pre-AC Delco development areas will require up to 8 feet of fill removal and replacement. Development near the former stack will require removal of as much as 15 feet. Other AC Delco subsurface features such as the utility tunnel, utility lines or basement areas will require appropriate depths of removal.

It is our professional opinion that certain areas of the site are more suitable to development than others. Once the City and/or developer have a conceptual future use plan in place, fill management options and costs can be provided with much more accuracy. This is also true for existing and new utilities as current fill may prove to be corrosive to future lines and hookups.

Based on existing information Foundation Design prepared a cost estimate for fill management which is provided in Appendix 3.

2. Foundation design requirements - Although there are fill considerations, soils below the fill are firm native silt/clay and then dense glacial till. This upper firm material should be sufficient for modest structural loads. The deeper glacial till can support more significant loads such as the existing structure. Bedrock depths should be deep enough to facilitate near-surface construction. Deep basements and or utilities may conflict with bedrock and would require fracturing. Groundwater appears to be able to be handled using typical construction practices for near surface structures but will pose challenges for deeper permanent structures.

Foundation Design projects that at this stage in planning it is expected that a spread footing foundation system will be utilized. For near surface structures (bear at frost depth) it is expected that footings will bear at low to moderate pressures on the order of 2,000 psf. Deeper structures will bear at pressures that that may approach 6,000 psf.



If new construction consists of very heavy loads (greater than 400 kips) deep foundation systems should be considered. In this case a drilled shaft system bearing at a depth of fifteen to twenty feet below grade at a bearing pressure of seven to ten tsf should be considered.

Where asphalt and concrete pavements or sidewalks are placed over in-place fill, developers should expect less than typical time before cracking, waviness, and/or potholes form. This risk appears to be acceptable compared to the cost of removing the fill. For estimating purposes budgeting for a slightly thicker than normal pavement, such as .5 inches of asphalt top, 2.5 inches of binder, and 15 inches of crusher-run subbase. Pavement slopes of at least 2.0 percent should be planned for and weeps should be installed at low points to facilitate drainage into the storm system.

Foundation Design also incorporates seismic considerations into their report and their recommendations can be viewed in Appendix 3.

3. Existing utility tunnels – Figure 11 of this report shows the location of an existing utility tunnel/passage way that currently exists at the site. This tunnel along with remaining subsurface basement structures will pose a load concern to future development if buildings are constructed in their vicinity. Foundation Design's report estimates that these tunnels and any identified void spaces will be filled as part of any future development. Appendix 3 contains estimated costs for filling these areas. We recommend that a Beneficial Use Determination be made for existing fill/debris that is located on site to determine if these materials may be used for fill. We understand that the City may also have materials in other areas of the City that may be suitable for fill.

# **Utility Service Access**

The site is currently serviced by numerous utilities, the majority of which are under paved roadways, including Whitney Street, Orchard Street, and Lyell Avenue (Figures 8-12 with additional drawings included). The known utilities include:

- Monroe County Pure Water systems storm and sanitary
- City of Rochester systems Water & Street Lighting
- Rochester Gas & Electric Gas & Electric
- Frontier Communications Communications
- > Time Warner Cable- Communications

The City of Rochester New York Developers Guide should be consulted for guidance regarding required permits, and is included in Appendix 6 of this report.

Section 10 provides detail on special permits and access considerations that may be established for future development.



# Figures









FIGURE 1 SITE LOCATION PLAN ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY 415 ORCHARD STREET/354 WHITNEY STREET ROCHESTER, NY

SCALE: 1 INCH = 1000 FEET

DRAWN/CHECKED: SMK/GLA

DATA SOURCE: NYS DOT RASTER QUADRANGLES: ROCHESTER E/W, MONROE COUNTY, NY DOT EDITION DATE: 1978 USGS CONTOUR DATE: 1954



SCALE: 1 Inch = 60 Feet

DRAWN/CHECKED: SMK/GLA

DATA SOURCE: PICTOMETRY




FIGURE 2


SITE PLAN

ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT S

415 ORCHARD STREET/354 WHITNEY ST







DATE: SEPTEMBER 2013

SCALE: 1 Inch = 60 Feet

DRAWN/CHECKED: SMK/GLA

DATA SOURCE: PICTOMETRY




FIGURE 4

GROUNDWATER CONTOUR

ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY
415 ORCHARD STREET/354 WHITNEY STREET
ROCHESTER, NY

LU Engineers



SCALE: 1 Inch = 60 Feet

DRAWN/CHECKED: SMK/GLA

DATA SOURCE: PICTOMETRY




FIGURE 5

BEDROCK CONTOUR

ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY
415 ORCHARD STREET/334 WHITNEY STREET

ROCHESTER, NY

LU Engineer's



DATE: SEPTEMBER 2013

SCALE: 1 Inch = 60 Feet


DRAWN/CHECKED: SIMK/GLA


DATA SOURCE: PICTOMETRY





SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION
ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY
415, ORCHARD STREET/354 WHITNEY STREET

LU Engineer's









DATE: SEPTEMBER 2013 CALE: 1 Inch = 80 Feet DRAWN/CHECKED: GLA/SMK



FIGURE 8
MONROE COUNTY AND CITY OF ROCHESTER UTILITIES
ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY
415 ORCHARD STREET/354 WHITNEY STREET
ROCHESTER, NY

Engineers

7

....




FIGURE 9
FRONTIER UTILITIES
ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY
415 ORCHARD STREET/354 WHITNEY STREET
ROCHESTER, NY








FIGURE 10

GAS AND ELECTRIC SERVICE

ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY
415 ORCHARD STREET/334 WHITNEY STREET

ROCHESTER, NY






I.E. SEP LEMBER 2013
ALE: 1 Inch = 60 Feet
AWN/CHECKED: SMK/GLA
A SOURCE: PICTOMETRY



SURFACE MATERIAL
ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY
415 ORCHARD STREET/354 WHITNEY STREET
ROCHESTER NY





DATE: SEPTEMBER 2013
SCALE: 1 Inch = 60 Feet
DRAWN/CHECKED: SMK/GLA
DATA SOURCE: PICTOMETRY




FIGURE 12.1

SEWER AS BUILT DRAWING

ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUINTY PREDEVELOPMENT STUINTY PREDEVELOPMENT STREET

Lu Engineer's



SCALE: 1 Inch = 60 Feet
DRAWN/CHECKED: SMK/
DATA SOURCE: PICTOMETRY DATE: SEPTEMBER 2013




ORCHARD WHITNEY PREDEVELOPMENT STUDY 415 ORCHARD STREET/354 WHITNEY STREET ROCHESTER, NY FIGURE 12.2 SEWER AS BUILT DRAWING

Lu Engineers ....


WHITNEY ST.

577.04



自主持等事情要是概念的概念的概念的自己的 以自身和基本的概念的自己的自己的概念的

WHITNEY ST. 577.05 REVISED - 5/1/2001



( SPECIALIZED WAREHOUSE) #350 WHITNEY ST. (STA. \$703) SEE MAP: #5.04A

H.H.s 250 Deep




YOUR ATTENTION IS SPECIFICALLY TO THE FACT THAT BY SUBMITTING THESE DRAWINGS: THE ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES GUARANTEES: THE ACCURACY OF THE LOCATIONS OF GAS OR ELECTRIC SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IT IS ALSO IMPERATIVE THAT ANY MAPS PREPARED FROM THIS INFORMATION MUST CONTAIN A SPECIFIC NOTATION STATING THAT ALL LOCATIONS OF THIS COPORATION'S FACILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE: AND MUST BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BEFORE ANY DIGGING COMMENCES.

JAMES ALEXANDER (585) 774-4877

LYELL AVE. 5.04B
REVISED
7-27-09

①



SCALE 1"=30! 3/20/86




YOUR ATTENTION IS SPECIFICALLY TO THE FACT THAT BY SUBMITTING THESE DRAWINGS. THE ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES GUARANTEES THE ACCURACY OF THE LOCATIONS OF GAS OR ELECTRIC, SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IT IS ALSO IMPERATIVE THAT ANY MAPS PREPARED FROM THIS INFORMATION MUST CONTAIN A SPECIFIC NOTATION STATING THAT ALL LOCATIONS OF THIS COPPORATION'S FACILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE AND MUST BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BEFORE ANY DIGGING COMMENCES.

JAMES ALEXANDER (585) 771-4877

LYELL AVE. 5.04A
REVISED
3/16/10

597.05 SEC. 5.20% 2-P-L-DE PK-D. 44. ST. SEC. 5.25/16 ORCHARD SEC. 5.2014 2-4 FYC-D. 48. Sec. 5.21 3/8 2-M'R. & B" NC-D. 44. 301 \*579 4.0 TE-0 134 HEEBE NO. D 5.23% 5.204 1-5 byc-0 5.20% 5.21% 5.04 5.23 14 5.23 14 5.23 10 LYELL 15-PVC-0 AVE. 5.22 5.22 5.23 5.23 5.23 5.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 6.23 5.21 -23. Bros 84.-9 - 18.'- FIB.-Q 13 8 W. 8 5 8 19 15 SEC. 5.28 1/4 3-4" KOR. 4 PVC-D 57 SEC. 5.20
5-ME-0
3-012
6-ME-44"FIB-E
2013
95.1 SEC. 5.21 G-MCR.-D BON2 B-MCR.-D 2004 122. Ş SEC. 5.23 6-MCR.-D. 30012 8-MCR.-D. 2004 88. 'DELCO' Sec. 5.22 6-M2 - 0. 30N2 8-M2 - 0. 20N4 41.' 48.' 649.01 NOTE: SVC. TO FARO INDUS. SEE MAP # 77.01

> SCALE 1"= 30." 3/20/86





YOUR ATTENTION IS SPECIFICALLY TO THE FACT THAT BY SUBMITTING THESE DRAWINGS. THE ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES GUARANTEES THE ACCURACY OF THE LOCATIONS OF GAS OR ELECTRIC, SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS: IT IS ALSO IMPERATIVE THAT ANY MAPS PREPARED FROM THIS INFORMATION MUST CONTAIN A SPECIFIC INOTATION STATING THAT ALL LOCATIONS OF THIS COPORATION'S FACILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE AND MUST BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BEFORE ANY DIGGING COMMENCES. ORCHARD ST 569.01 751.01 못 ST 569. 0 D. ORCHARD 57. 598.24 597.27 598.23 0 592.284 JAOSTHORD 598.29 1-3"NCFO 509.06/2 10 LIME 569.061/2 569.06 5 50 6 cm · 204 SEC.597.29 1116.001 1118.001 569.01 SEC.597.23 SEC. 5 97.24 SEC 597.25 3-P-L P 8 7.4 0 SEC 597.27 9 0 . 5 0 9 2 . 5 0 SEC. 597.28A 2-P-L-P 8 6.4 0' (13 9.00' (51.00) 89.40 88.40 Note - ALLHHA 2.50 DEEP UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN


ReviséD - 1-3-2000


# Appendix 1 Visual Summary of Environmental Findings













ROCHESTER, NY



### Appendix 2 Geophysical Report (2005)





90B John Muir Drive, Suite 104 Amherst, New York 14228 (716) 565-0624 • Fax (716) 565-0625



August 5, 2005

Charles Guzzetta Empire Geo-Services, Inc. 535 Summit Point Drive Henrietta, New York 14467

Subject:

Geophysical Survey Results, 354 Whitney St, Rochester, NY

Dear Mr. Guzzetta

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This letter report presents the results of the geophysical investigation performed for SJB Services in support of their environmental investigation of portions of a former GE facility at 354 Whitney St. located in Rochester, NY.

The geophysical investigation was designed to geophysically characterize the subsurface and focus a follow-up intrusive investigation. The information provided herein is intended to assist SJB with their assessment of potential environmental concerns at the Site. The specific objective of the investigation was to explore for large underground conduits that may act as preferential pathways for contaminant migration.

A geophysical investigation was performed at the Site utilizing frequency domain (EM31), and time-domain (EM61) electromagnetic techniques. Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. (Geomatrix) performed data acquisition on July 14, 2005.

### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

The following sections present the geophysical methodology utilized for this investigation.

#### 2.1 Reference Grid

A reference grid was installed by Geomatrix personnel to facilitate data acquisition along lines spaced 5 feet apart for the EM61 and 10 feet apart for the EM31. Reference grids utilized separate and distinct coordinate systems. The EM61 survey was performed using a local




### Page 2

coordinate system established for the site. The EM31 survey utilized a differential GPS system and the coordinates are based on the WGS 84 datum.

### 2.2 Electromagnetic EM31 Survey Methodology

Α Geonics EM31 Terrain Conductivity meter was used to measure and record the quadrature component (ground conductivity) and the inphase component of the EM field along the survey lines. The quadrature component of the EM field is a measurement of the apparent ground conductivity. The inphase component of the EM field is sensitive to metallic objects. Comparison of the quadrature component of the EM field data (expressed in units of milliSiemens per meter (mS/m)) and the inphase component data (expressed in units



EM31 in use (photo not from this site)

of parts per thousand (ppt)) results in increased anomaly definition. The character of the EM response, low or high, is partially dependent on the orientation of the buried target relative to the orientation of the EM31 device during data acquisition, and the survey direction. A buried metal pipe, for example, will exhibit a high valued response when the trend of the pipe is parallel to the survey direction. Alternatively, when a survey line crosses a buried metal pipe whose trend is perpendicular to the survey direction, it is characterized by a low response. Similarly, other complex buried metal anomalies are indicated by a coupling of a high and low response.

All readings were taken with the instrument oriented parallel to the direction of travel, in the vertical dipole mode and with the instrument at waist height. The depth of penetration with the instrument in this configuration is approximately 12 to 15 feet below ground surface. Data were collected and stored in a solid state memory data logger during the survey. The data logger was interfaced to a portable computer and the data were transferred to a floppy disk for subsequent processing and interpretation. A survey base station was established on-site and




### Page 3

was revisited throughout the survey to check for instrument drift and malfunction. No significant drift or malfunction was observed.

The terrain conductivity and inphase data were initially edited and then plotted as profile lines for interpretation. Contour maps of the data were then constructed and utilized for final interpretation. The geophysical data are presented in final form as a series of color contour maps. The color maps allow for an illustration of detected anomalies that are associated with conductive materials such as buried metals, wastes, fill, utilities, and changes in soil texture and/or moisture content.

### 2.3 Electromagnetic EM61 Survey Methodology

**Portions** of both sites were geophysically surveyed using the Geonics EM61. The EM61 unit is a high sensitivity, high resolution time electromagnetic (TDEM) metal detector that can detect both ferrous and nonferrous metallic objects. It has an approximate investigation depth of 10 feet. processing console is contained in a backpack worn by the operator which is interfaced to a digital data logger. The transmitter and two receiver coils are located on a two-wheeled cart that is pulled by the operator.



EM61 in use (photo not from this site)

The device's transmitter coil generates

a pulsed primary EM field at a rate of 150 pulses per second, inducing eddy currents into the subsurface. The decay rates of these eddy currents are measured by two, 3.28 foot by 1.64 foot (1 meter by ½ meter) rectangular receiver coils. By taking the measurements at a relatively long time frame after termination of the primary pulse, the response is practically independent of the survey area's terrain conductivity. Specifically, the decay rates of the eddy currents are much longer for metals than for normal soils allowing the discrimination of the two.



### Page 4

Data are collected from the EM61's two receiver coils. One of the receiver coils is located coincident to the transmitter coil. The other receiver coil is located 1.31 feet (0.4 meters) above the transmitter coil. Data from the top receiver coil are stored on Channel 1 of a digital data logger. Data from the bottom receiver coil are stored on Channel 2 of the data logger. Channel 1 and Channel 2 data are simultaneously recorded at each station location. The instrument responses are recorded in units of milliVolts (mV). Data were recorded digitally by a data logger at a rate of approximately 2 measurements per foot along the survey lines which were spaced 5 feet apart.

### 3.0 RESULTS

The following sections present the results from the geophysical investigation.

The geophysical conductivity and inphase data from the EM31 and EM61 surveys are presented as a series of color contour maps in Figures 1 through 3. Actual data measurement points are superimposed on the maps and are shown as closely spaced tick marks.

#### 3.1 EM31 Results

Terrain Conductivity data for the site is shown in Figure 1. Conductivity values at the site were observed to range from below 0 mS/m to over 100 mS/m. This variation in conductivity may be related to any one or combination of the following conditions:

- A change in soil/fill type. For example, an increase in relative clay content may increase the measured conductivity;
- A change in soil moisture. Moisture content would be expected to increase in areas of low topographic elevation as more saturated sediments lie within the depth of investigation of the EM instrument;
- A change in pore fluid specific conductance. For example, the presence of salt-impacted water within the pore space of the shallow soil will increase the measured conductivity primarily due to the presence of chloride ions; or
- Interference from surface metallic anthropogenic features such as powerlines, fences, pipes, reinforced concrete and other metallic structures.



Page 5

The EM-31 inphase data for the site is shown in Figure 2. The inphase component of the electromagnetic field, measured by the EM-31, is sensitive to buried metals. Rapid fluctuations in inphase readings over relatively short lateral distances are usually indicative of buried metal objects.

### 3.2 EM61 Results

The EM61 data for the site are shown in Figure 3. The color bar to the right of the map indicates the colors associated with the respective measured values. Areas suspected to be free of buried metals are shown as color shades of blue. All areas exhibiting a response greater than background (0 to 30 mVolts) likely contain buried metals. These areas are depicted in shades of dark blue through yellow on the figures.

The targets of the survey, underground conduits, were not uniquely detected by the geophysical equipment. A portion of a conduit was observed through a break in the ground surface. This area is identified on the figures with the text "vault". A careful examination of this "vault" revealed subsurface conduit running north-south. There was however no corresponding north-south trending linear anomaly coincident with this known subsurface conduit. The EM61 did detect some subsurface utility lines and these are shown with a solid gray line on Figure 3. These linear anomalies do not appear to be the target of this investigation.

The overall response from both the EM31 and EM61 are typical of an area with reinforced concrete. The presence of metallic reinforcement within the subsurface masks other features of interest, including our target.

### 4.0 LIMITATIONS

The geophysical methods used during this survey are established, indirect techniques for non-destructive subsurface reconnaissance exploration. As these instruments utilize indirect methods, they are subject to inherent limitations and ambiguities. Metallic surface features (electrical wires, scrap metal, etc.) preclude reliable non-invasive data/results beneath, and in the immediate vicinity of, the surface features. Targets such as buried drums, buried tanks, conduits, etc. are detectable only if they produce recognizable anomalies or patterns against the background geophysical data collected. As with any remote sensing technique, the anomalies identified during a geophysical survey should be further investigated by other techniques such as historical aerial photography, test pit excavation and/or test boring, if warranted.



Page 6


Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require additional information.


Sincerely yours,


GEOMATRIX CONSULTANTS, INC.

John Luttinger

Senior Geophysicist







### Appendix 3 Predevelopment Geotechnical Assessment Report





## 415 ORCHARD STREET ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

## PRE-DEVELOPMENT GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT NOVEMBER 18 2011 DRAFT REPORT

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report outlines our Pre-Development Assessment for the 415 Orchard Street parcel in Rochester, New York. We base this evaluation on our review of U.S.G.S. and N.Y.S.D.O.T. topographic mapping; historic mapping; old building plans made available for our review, test boring and test pit exploration done as part of the environmental assessment; and consultation with the design team. We intend this report for the use exclusively in assessing geotechnical cost impacts on developing the parcel and conceptual layout of new building(s) on the parcel. A more detailed geotechnical evaluation is required for specific building layouts, designs, and loadings. This study is limited to the geotechnical aspects of the site development; the geo-environmental aspects are being addressed by others.

Lu Engineers retained Foundation Design, P.C. as part of their contract with the City of Rochester to provide the services outlined in our October 17, 2011 *Geotechnical Services Proposal, P2876.0R*. Our services included reviewing the existing information; spot-checking portions of the environmental drilling and reviewing the soil samples; evaluating the results; and developing a list of geotechnical impacts that could be considered a premium cost associated with developing this parcel as compared to a 'green' site. We agreed to submit this report outlining our findings and conclusions.

For this assessment, we have assumed that the future buildings will be less than 5-stories (60 feet) high. The structures envisioned for this parcel consist of three to four story wood-DRAFT



framed residential housing, or steel-framed residential, office, manufacturing or commercial buildings. We have also assessed the possibility of installing a basement/below-grade parking.

Attached to the end of this text is an ASFE paper entitled *Important Information about Your Geotechnical Engineering Report* that you should read. It describes how we intend this report to be used and discusses risks and risk allocation. We will continue to work cooperatively with you and other interested parties to achieve win/win solutions.

### 2.0 SITE CONDITIONS/HISTORY

415 Orchard Street lies in the western portion of downtown Rochester, New York. This is an older portion of the City whose development is multi-dimensional and dates back to at least the Erie Canal era. The parcel is roughly delineated by Orchard Street to the east, Whitney Street to the west, a railroad corridor and other industrial structure to the south, and industrial/retail structures and then Lyell Avenue to the north. The parcel contains the remains/debris from a demolished AC Delco plant. This includes some slab(s) on grade, tunnels crossing and connecting the former structures, and mechanical/utility space such as coal storage bins and a smokestack foundation. A multi-story brick structure remains in the southeast corner of the parcel. Opposite this is a large pile of brick and block rubble along with some debris. This material was reportedly generated from previous demolish activities including some undertaken as post-fire cleanup and site stabilization.

Historic mapping dating back to the late 1880's shows that development of this parcel was generally residential. Early in the 1900's the Rochester Lift Company occupied the southwest end of the site. By 1935 (AC) Delco has taken over the site, incorporating the Rochester Lift Company structure into their facility and adding other structures.

### 3.0 EXPLORATION AND TESTING

As part of this study, we observed portions of the environmental investigation test borings, examined associated samples, and reviewed the (provided) test boring and test pit logs. Outlined



below are the test hole logs we reviewed. Their locations are plotted on the (Lu Engineers test boring location plan) and logs are included in (the appropriate Lu Engineering reports.)

- Oct/Nov 2008 Test Borings and Monitoring Wells done by Paragon.
- Oct 2008 Test Pits number TP-01 through TP-17 logged by Lu Engineers.
- March, 2011 Test Pits number TP-19 through TP-37 logged by Lu Engineers.
- July 2011 Plating Area borings PA-01 through PA-18 and other soil boring/monitoring well logs performed at this time by Nothnagle Drilling for Lu Engineers.

We point out that environmental exploration and sampling is undertaken to investigate and define potential contamination, not to define load-bearing capabilities of soil and bedrock strata. Sampling points are often focused around environmental areas of interest such as tanks, etc. that may occupy a small percentage of the overall site area. The sampling points may not include areas without suspected contamination but still relevant to the geotechnical classification of the site. Therefore environmental sampling protocols and procurement methods differ as well as the type of information recorded on test pit and soil boring logs. This evaluation is based on the environmental test hole data. While we have strived to interpret the soil strata descriptions in terms of their relevant geotechnical engineering properties there is still a fair amount of interpretation that introduces a higher degree of unknowns into the inferred soil profile. Again, this is a planning-level study, not a detailed geotechnical evaluation.

### 4.0 SOIL, BEDROCK, AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

The following interpretations of the soil, bedrock, and groundwater conditions are based on the available data and our conclusions are subject to the limitations thereof. Variations from the inferred subsurface profile are possible, especially on this historically developed site. Call us immediately if such variations are found so we may evaluate the impact on our conceptual findings.

Soil conditions are somewhat variable and dependant upon previous development within the area under consideration. Fills consist of reworked native soil and/or debris. Fill depths vary between none to eight feet (former house basement at TP-34) to 13.7 feet (smoke stack foundation at PA-DRAFT

Pre-Development Geotechnical Assessment 415 Orchard Street, Rochester, NY



06). As was customary, we expect that the AC Delco floor slabs were constructed over the previous construction such that areas of former houses likely contain poor quality fills. See the attached *Historical Usage Schematic Plan*.

Test holes indicate that beneath the fill is firm native silt/clay and then dense glacial till. The till was generally encountered between six and eight feet below grade. While the soil borings do not contain typical SPT N-values some of them show hammer blows to drive the sampler each four foot increment. This data generally classifies the upper silt/clay as 'firm' and the lower till as 'very dense'. The soil interface between the two native materials is loose/wet due to water perching above the dense glacial till soil. This condition is likely to fluctuate seasonally. Water will also perch within the fills or available void spaces.

Depth to bedrock varies with greater depth towards the southern portion of the site. Bedrock elevations appeared to be between elevation 505 and 500 in the northwest quadrant of the sit, generally near 500 across the middle/diagonal of the site, and below 500 near the plating area. We do not know if this lower depth was a natural condition or if they blasted/fractured the rock to install the tanks. Bedrock is thickly to massively bedded dolomite with few shaley inclusions. The upper foot of the bedrock is weathered, the rock is hard and competent below this. Rock Quality, RQD, is typically 50 percent to percent.

### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

We judge that the debris-laden soil is not acceptable for support of new structures or floor slabs. While it appears to have served AC Delco's needs for floor slab support we conclude that this material will likely settle with time and new loads. Quantifying the amount of this material will be difficult with the multiple generations of previous development, additional test pit exploration could serve to reduce the level of uncertainty.

The upper firm and wet natural soil should be sufficient for support of modest structural loads.

DRAFT
Pre-Development Geotechnical Assessment
415 Orchard Street, Rochester, NY



The deeper dense glacial till soil can support more significant loads from multi-story, heavy structures such as the existing facility.

Bedrock depths should be deep enough to facilitate typical near-surface construction. Deep utilities or a basement may conflict with the bedrock and require mechanical fracturing. Likewise, the groundwater appears to be able to be handled using typical construction practices for near surface construction but may pose challenges for deeper work or permanent structures.

Based on these findings, we draw the following general conclusions/recommendations:

### 5.1 Site Preparation: Removal and Replacement

It is our opinion that the in-place fill material is not suitable to support new construction. The in-place fill contains debris and sporadic areas where highly compressible ash and cinders have been deposited. This material would consolidate and compress under new structural loads, leading to unacceptable settlement of the structure and floor slabs. Expect to remove in-place fill from within any future building footprint. The extent of this removal is expected to coincide with the previous areas/types of previous construction. The best way to quantify this is to overlay previous development areas with new construction limits and then assume an expected removal depth. For planning purposes we suggest assuming that the older, pre-AC Delco development areas will require eight feet of removal and replacement. Near the AC Delco smokestack removal depth should be less than fifteen feet. Other AC Delco sub-slab features such as the utility tunnel, utility lines, or basement areas will require appropriate depths of removal. A review of either AC Delco plans or detailed historic mapping such as EDR/Sanborn Fire Insurance mapping may help better define these potential work items.

Backfill for these over-excavations should be a granular material such as sand and gravel or appropriately graded recycled concrete/bricks. The existing rubble pile contains debris from previous fires and site stabilization. Although using this material will require hand-



sorting to remove wood, etc. we believe that it will still yield a workable product. Newly created rubble from controlled demolition of the existing structure and slab, etc. is likely to be a more consistent and higher-quality product.

Utilizing a basement or partial basement could limit the quantity of structural backfill required but could also result in bedrock conflicts. Limit basement/foundation depths to less than seven feet in general or as specific boring logs indicate.

### **5.2 Foundation System: Spread Footings**

As this stage in project planning we expect that you will to utilize a spread footing foundation system. For near-surface footings (bear at typical frost depth) we expect that the footings will bear at low to moderate bearing pressures on the order of 2,000 psf. For deeper footings bearing at least eight feet  $\pm$  below grade, the design pressure could easily be double or triple that value.

If the new construction consists of very heavy loads (greater than 400 kips) then consideration should be given to a deep foundation system. Plan for a drilled shaft system bearing at a depth of fifteen to twenty feet below grade at a bearing pressure of seven to ten tons per square foot. Again, this system would only be utilized to reduce the size of the near-surface foundations for project economics.

### 5.3: Seismic Considerations

According to the 2010 NYS Building Code seismic hazard mapping for a Site Classification of B, structures in Rochester, New York may experience short dynamic period spectral accelerations ( $S_{\rm S}$ ) of 0.164g and 1-second period spectral response accelerations ( $S_{\rm 1}$ ) of 0.060g. We recommend assuming a seismic site classification of C (dense soil) in your conceptual estimating. Slab/basement and bedrock elevations could improve this to a site classification of A (hard rock).



### 5.4 Pavement/Sidewalk Measures

Where asphalt and concrete pavements or sidewalks are placed over the in-place fill, owners and developers should expect less-than-typical time before cracking, waviness, 'bird-baths', and potholes start to form and maintenance is required. Due to the potential exorbitant costs of removing and replacing this material, we recommend that developers/future owners accept these risks.

For your preliminary estimating, we suggest budgeting for a slightly thicker than "normal" pavement, say 1.5 inches of asphalt top, 2.5 inches of asphalt binder, and 15 inches of crusher-run stone subbase. To extend the life and improve expected pavement performance, budget to install a geogrid similar, to Mirafi BXG-12, under the pavement and sidewalk subbase layers. Some undercutting and/or reworking of unsuitable fill will be required to remove the large debris from within the top 24 inches of the pavement subgrade; plan to backfill areas undercut with suitable on-site soil.

Plan for pavement slopes of at least 2.0 percent. Install weeps at low points in the pavement to facilitate drainage out of the granular subbase and into the stormwater system. Plan for higher maintenance costs associated with these pavements.

### 5.5 Premium Cost Items

The following is a list of premium cost items for redevelopment of this parcel as compared to construction on a 'green' site.

### Structural/Design Costs

Removal/hoe-ramming of existing structure, slab, and buried foundations
Off-site disposal of excavated materials (foundations/utility trenches/debris-laden fill)
Processing of rubble/import of structural fill for undercut backfill
Thicker pavement and sidewalk sections including geogrid

### **Geotechnical Construction Oversight Costs**


Full-time site presence during fill removal/replacement
Periodic site visits during the pavement/sidewalk subgrade preparation work

DRAFT
Pre-Development Geotechnical Assessment
415 Orchard Street, Rochester, NY



### 5.0 CLOSURE

The conclusions outlined in this Pre-Development Geotechnical Assessment are provided with our limited information on the final uses of this parcel. We point out that additional geotechnical exploration, testing, and/or engineering analysis will be required after the building locations, sizes, design loads, and site grading have been established. Call if you have questions regarding our interpretations of the soil, bedrock, and groundwater conditions as you develop concepts to develop this parcel. We look forward to hearing from you again as potential developers assess options for developing this parcel.





## Foundation Design, P.C.

335 Colfax Street Rochester, New York 14606 Phone (585) 458-0824 FAX (585) 458-3323

### Pre-Development 415 Orchard Street

415 Orchard Street, Rochester, New York

Historical Usage Schematic Plan Adapted from: Lu Engineers "Boundary Survey Map"

1888 and 1910 City of Rochester Plat Mapping overlay added by Foundation Design

CHECKED BY: JMB

DATE: 11-18-11

DRAWN BY:

JAG

Scale NA

JOB NO.: 3546.0



December 22, 2011

Lu Engineers 175 Sully's Trail Corporate Crossings Office park Pittsford, New York 14534

Attention:

Greg Andrus, CHMM

**Environmental Division Director** 

Reference:

415 Orchard Street, Rochester, New York

Pre-development Investigation

DRAFT Earthwork Cost Estimate, 3546.0

Dear Mr. Andrus:

This letter is our formal submission of our *Opinion of Probable Earthwork Costs* for the 415 Orchard Street parcel. This *Opinion of Probable Earthwork Costs* is based on remediation of the entire parcel. In estimating these costs, we have made the following assumptions:

- The proposed grades will be near existing.
- Shallow unsuitable fill was identified intermittently in the environment test logs. We estimate that the amount of unsuitable material averages 6-inches (0.5 feet) across the entire site.
- Tunnels and old house basements have been filled with unsuitable material that will be removed from the site. (see the attached sketch)
- Proposed buildings will be supported on shallow spread foundations with slab-on-grade floors.

Other clean up costs that were not included in our estimate include the following:

- Demolition of the existing building.
- Environmental clean up.
- Removal and/or processing of remaining stockpiled rubble



### **Opinion of Earthwork Cost for 415 Orchard Street**

### **Undercut areas**

Orchard St. Basements:

234 ft x 40 ft x 6 ft deep = 56,000 cubic ft

2,080 cubic yards

East-West Tunnel:

290 ft x 15 ft x 8 ft deep = 34,800 cubic ft

1,289 cubic yards

North-South Tunnel:

 $150 \text{ ft} \times 35 \text{ ft} \times 8 \text{ ft deep} = 42,000 \text{ cubic ft}$ 

1,556 cubic yards

Vaults and Tanks:

3 @ 20 ft x 20 ft x 12 ft deep = 14,000 cubic ft

533 cubic yards

General Shallow Fills:

 $300 \text{ ft} \times 500 \text{ ft} \times 0.5 \text{ ft deep} = 75,000 \text{ cubic ft}$ 

2,778 cubic yards

Total Yards of Removal = 8,236 cubic yards

Cost for Removal of unsuitable fill est: \$22/cubic yard

Total cost of Removal = \$181,192

### **Concrete Processing**

Concrete Slabs

(32,125 ft²+27,500ft²+11,000ft²+25,800ft²+15,300ft²) x 0.5 ft thick

= 55,887 cubic ft

Concrete Foundations (exterior)

(730 + 900 + 1075) lineal feet x 6 ft<sup>2</sup> (4 foot wall with 3 foot foundation)

= 16,230 cubic ft

Concrete Foundations (interior)

290 est. foundations averaging 9 ft $^3$  = 2,610 cubic ft

Total Yards of Removal = 2,768 cubic yards



Cost for concrete processing and placement est: \$25/cubic yard

**Total cost of Concrete Processing = \$149,472** 

### Rubble Processing (for excavation backfill)

Balance of backfill necessary after concrete processing 8,236 + 698 (foundation removal excavations) - 2,768

= 6,167 cubic yards


Cost for processing and placement of rubble est: \$9 cubic yard

**Total Cost of Rubble Processing = \$55,503** 

### **Oversite and Inspection**

Four week of engineering and soils/lab testing = \$20,000

Total Earthwork Cost: \$406,149





## Foundation Design, P.C.

335 Colfax Street Rochester, New York 14606 Phone (585) 458-0824 FAX (585) 458-3323

415 Orchard Street, Rochester, New York

### Subsurface Structure Sketch

Adapted from: Lu Engineers "Boundary Survey Map"

Dated: 8-12-11

CHECKED BY: **JMB** 

DRAWN BY: **SCA** 

DATE: 12/23/11 Scale NA

JOB NO.: 3546.0

# Appendix 4 Test Pit Logs





**Test Pit Log** 

Page 1 of 1

Test Pit: TP-01

Project Name: Orchard / Whitney RI/IRM Project No.: 4216

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 20'x6'x10' Date Started: 10/01/08

Completed: 10/01/08 Weather: Sunny, 50° Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.: ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

Site Photos

No Photo Available

Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks

PID (ppm)

Depth of Sample

Sample Number

Surface Below Depth

Et.)

Q

0

sludge with strong odor - clean pipe attached oriented southward Clay tile drainage "crock" found at 1' bgs containing black sandy 3-6.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist w/ building demo fill. Groundwater observed - no sheen or odor 0-3' Concrete and sub base material Terminated test pit at 6.5' bgs 5 0 0 0 0 **TP-01A** (waste) **TP-01B** (soil) 9 4 9 12 ω

No Photo Available

Remarks: Waste materials from crock staged in 55-gallon drum and secured pending characeterization and disposal. Bedrock not encountered.



Test Pit: TP-02

Page 1 of 1

Project Name: Orchard //Whitney RI/IRM

Project No.: 4216

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 20'x6'x10'

Date Started: 10/01/08

Depth

Completed: 10/01/08 Weather: Sunny, 50° Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.:ERD/GLA

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu Oper.: Nate

Site Photos

No Photo Available

3-5.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist w/ building demo fill. Clay tile drainage "crock" found at 2' bgs, empty with no odor Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks 0-2' Loose asphalt underlain by thin gravel layer clean pipe attached oriented southward Groundwater not observed - no odor Terminated test pit at 5.5' bgs PID (ppm) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Depth of Sample Number Sample TP-02 (soil) Surface Below E. 10 12 Q 4 ω 9

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock not encountered.



Test Pit: TP-03

Page 1 of 1

Project Name: Orchard / Whitney RI/IRM

Project No.: 4216

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 20'x9'x10'

Date Started: 10/01/08

Weather: Sunny, 50° Completed: 10/01/08 Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.: ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

Site Photos

No Photo Available

Sand and gravel soil stained and exhibits petroleum/solvent odor Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks Soils wet at 7.5' bgs, odor and slight sheen noted. Concrete and sub base to approximately 2.0' bgs Location looks like an abandoned hydraulic lift Brown sand and gravel to 7.0' bgs Terminated test pit at 9.0' bgs PID (ppm) 0 5 0 0 0 0 Depth of Sample Sample Number TP-03B (oily mtl.) **TP-03A** (Soil) Surface Below Depth Œ. 2 72 Q 4 9 ω

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock not encountered.



Test Pit: TP-04

Project No.: 4216 Project Name: Orchard //Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 20'x9'x10' Date Started: 10/01/08

Weather: Sunny, 50° Completed: 10/01/08 Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

Tech.:ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Site Photos

No Photo Available

Native soils below floor slab, saturated brown cmf sand and gravel Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks Water present in concrete pit (former basement) at approximately 5.0' bgs, no odor, no sheen Terminated TP at approximately 11.0' bgs Concrete and gravel to approx 9.0' bgs Concrete floor of former engine room PID (ppm) 15 0 0 0 0 0 Depth of Sample Sample Number TP-04 (soil) Depth Below Surface (F. 10 Q 72 4 9 ω

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock not encountered.



**Test Pit Log** 

Test Pit: TP-05

Project No.: 4216 Project Name: Orchard /Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester

Dimensions: 20'x9.5'x10' Date Started: 10/01/08 Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Depth

Completed: 10/01/08 Weather: Sunny, 50°

Tech.: ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

Site Photos

No Photo Available

Clay tile drainage "crock" found at 1' bgs containing black sandy 3-6.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist w/ building demo fill. sludge with strong odor - pipe attached containing sludge removed all piping and containerized with TP-01 waste Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks Groundwater observed - no sheen or odor Terminated boring on bedrock at 9.5' bgs 0-3' Concrete and sub base material Depth of Sample PID (ppm) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sample Number (soil) Below Surface 9 Q 9 ω 7 4

No Photo Available

Remarks: Waste materials from crock and pipe staged in 55-gallon drum and secured pending characterization and disposal.



**Test Pit Log** 

Site Photos

Test Pit: TP-06

Page 1 of 1
Project Name: Orchard /Whitney RI/IRM
Client: City of Rochester

Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental Dimensions: 20'x9.5'x10' Date Started: 10/01/08

Depth Below

Weather: Sunny, 50° Completed: 10/01/08

Tech.:ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

No Photo Available

	<u> </u>					
Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks		0-3' Concrete and sub base material Moist fill material mixed with native sand and gravel soils Strong solvent odor noted at approximately 4.0' bgs	No piping or crock observed, odor dissipated quickly.	3-6.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist w/ building demo fill. Groundwater observed - no sheen or odor	Native brown sand and gravel soils underlain by thin till layer to 9.5' bgs Terminated boring on bedrock at 9.5' bgs	
PID (ppm)	0	28.5	0	0	0	0
Depth of Sample						
Sample Number		TP-06				
Surface (Ft.)	2	4	ဖ		8 C	12

No Photo Available



Test Pit: TP-07

Page 1 of 1

Project Name: Orchard / Whitney RI/IRM Project No.: 4216

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 20'x9.5'x10'

Date Started: 10/01/08

Completed: 10/01/08 Weather: Sunny, 50° Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.:ERD/GLA

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu Oper.: Nate

Site Photos

No Photo Available

Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks

PID (ppm)

Depth of Sample

Sample Number

Surface Depth Below

(Ft.)

Q

0

No Photo Available

3-8.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils and fill.

Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs

0-3' weathered asphalt and sub base material

400

TP-07 (soil)

4

9

ω

0

Groundwater observed - no sheen, odor present in soils

0

Terminated boring at 8.5' bgs 0 0 9 12



Test Pit: TP-08

Project No.: 4216 Project Name: Orchard //Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester

Dimensions: 20'x9.0'x10' Date Started: 10/02/08

Weather: Sunny, 50° Completed: 10/02/08 Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.:ERD/GLA

Oper.: Nate Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

Site Photos

No Photo Available

		<u>;</u>		Į							
Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks			0-3' weathered asphalt and sub base material, some masonry Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs			3-8.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils and fill. Groundwater observed - no sheen, no odor present in soils		8.5 - 9.0' bgs grey till	refillitated boring at 9.0 bgs on begroon		
PID (ppm)	0		0	0		0		0	=	0	
Depth of Sample				A		11		Ī		Ī	
Sample Number						TP-08 (Soil)					
Depth Below Surface (Ft.)		2	4	Ш	9	E F	8		10		12

No Photo Available



Test Pit: TP-09

Project No.: 4216 Project Name: Orchard /Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 15'x9.0'x10'

Date Started: 10/02/08

Completed: 10/02/08 Weather: Sunny, 50° Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.:ERD/GLA

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu Oper.: Nate

Site Photos

No Photo Available

3-8.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils and fill. 0-3' weathered asphalt and sub base material, some masonry Groundwater observed - no sheen, no odor present in soils Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs Terminated boring at 9.0' bgs on bedrock 8.5 - 9.0' bgs grey till PID (ppm) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Depth of Sample Sample Number (Soil) Below Surface Depth (Ft 10 Q ဖ 42 4 ω

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock encountered at 9.0 feet bgs



Page 1 of 1

Project No.: 4216

Test Pit: TP-10

Project Name: Orchard /Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 15'x9.5'x10'

Date Started: 10/02/08

Completed: 10/02/08 Weather: Sunny, 50° Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.: ERD/GLA

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu Oper.: Nate

Site Photos

No Photo Available

3-8.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils and fill. 0-3' weathered asphalt and sub base material, some masonry Groundwater observed - no sheen, no odor present in soils Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs Terminated boring at 9.5' bgs on bedrock 8.5 - 9.5' bgs grey till PID (ppm) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Depth of Sample Sample Number TP-10 (Soil) Depth Below Surface (Ft.) 9 N 12 4 9 ω

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock encountered at 9.5 feet bgs



Test Pit: TP-11

Project Name: Orchard /Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 15'x9.5'x10' Date Started: 10/02/08

Completed: 10/02/08 Weather: Sunny, 50° Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.:ERD/GLA

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu Oper.: Nate

Site Photos

No Photo Available

3-8.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils and fill. 0-3' weathered asphalt and sub base material, some masonry Groundwater observed - no sheen, faint petrol. odor in soils Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs Terminated boring at 9.5' bgs on bedrock 8.5 - 9.5' bgs grey till PID (ppm) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Depth of Sample Sample Number TP-11 (Soil) Depth Below Surface (Ft.) Q 9 4 9 8 7

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock encountered at 9.5 feet bgs



**Test Pit Log** 

Test Pit: TP-12

Project No.: 4216 Project Name: Orchard //Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 15'x3'x10' Date Started: 10/02/08

Weather: Sunny, 50°

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu Completed: 10/02/08 Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.:ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Site Photos

No Photo Available

Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks

PID (ppm)

Depth of Sample

Sample Number

ET.

Q

Depth Below Surface

0

0-3' masonry debris, sand and gravel - no odor, dry Terminated boring at 3.0' bgs on massive concrete

0

4

9

0

No Photo Available

Remarks: Massive concrete slab encountered at 3.0 feet bgs. No sample taken (all fill material)



**Test Pit Log** 

Test Pit: TP-13

Site Photos

Page 1 of 1 Project No.: 4216

Project Name: Orchard /Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester Dimensions: 15'x3'x10' Date Started: 10/02/08

Weather: Sunny, 50° Completed: 10/02/08 Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Oper.: Nate

Tech.:ERD/GLA

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

No Photo Available

Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks

PID (ppm)

Depth of Sample

Number Sample

<u>F</u>

N

Depth Below Surface

0

0

4

9

0

0

0

0

9

Φ

7

No Photo Available 0-3' masonry debris, sand and gravel - no odor, dry Terminated boring at 3.0' bgs on massive concrete

Remarks: Massive concrete slab encountered at 3.0 feet bgs. No sample taken (all fill material)



**Test Pit Log** 

Page 1 of 1

Test Pit: TP-14

Project Name: Orchard ///whitney RI/IRM Project No.: 4216

Dimensions: 15'x9.0'x10' Client: City of Rochester

Date Started: 10/02/08

Completed: 10/02/08 Weather: Sunny, 50° Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.: ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

Site Photos

No Photo Available

3-8.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils and fill. 0-3' weathered concrete and sub base material, some masonry Groundwater observed - no sheen, no odor present in soils Terminated boring at 9.0' bgs. Bedrock not encountered Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs TP is adjacent to 4' diam steel caisson 8.5 - 9.0' bgs grey till PID (ppm) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Depth of Sample Number Sample FP-14 (Soil) Surface Below Depth (Ft.) 9 Q 12 4 9 ω

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock not encountered at 9.0 feet bgs



**Test Pit Log** 

Project Name: Orchard // Whitney RI/IRM

Client: City of Rochester

Dimensions: 15'x10.5'x10' Date Started: 10/02/08

Weather: Sunny, 50° Completed: 10/02/08 Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.:ERD/GLA

Test Pit: TP-15

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu Oper.: Nate

Site Photos

No Photo Available

										-		
Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks			0-3' weathered concrete and sub base material, some masonry	Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs	4.0-7.0' bgs grey clay and cmf sand layer Solvent odor - dissipates quickly		7.0-9.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils . Groundwater observed - no sheen, no odor present in soils		9.5 - 10.5' bgs grey till		Terminated boring at 10.5' bgs. Bedrock encountered	
PID (ppm)	0			0	950		0		0		0	
Depth of Sample	111					I	la Ca		=			
Sample Number					TP-15	(Soil)						
Depth Below Surface (Ft.)		2		4		9		00		10	-	12

No Photo Available



**Test Pit Log** 

Test Pit: TP-16

Project No.: 4216 Project Name: Orchard // Whitney RI/IRM

Dimensions: 15'x10.0'x10' Client: City of Rochester

Date Started: 10/02/08

Weather: Sunny, 50° Completed: 10/02/08 Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental

Tech.:ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

Site Photos

No Photo Available

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_								
Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks		0-3' weathered concrete and sub base material, some masonry	Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs	Drainage tile (empty, no odor)		7.0-9.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils . Groundwater observed - no sheen, no odor present in soils		9.5 - 10.0' bgs grey till		Terminated boring at 10.0' bgs. Bedrock encountered	
PID (ppm)	0	C	>	0		0		0		0	
Depth of Sample						301					
Sample						П		8		_	
Depth Below Surface (Ft.)		8	4		9		8		10		12

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock encountered at 10.0 feet bgs. No sample obtained due to proximity of MW-12.



Project No.: 4216 Project Name: Orchard // Whitney RI/IRM

Weather: Sunny, 50° Completed: 10/02/08 Dimensions: 15'x10.0'x10' Client: City of Rochester

Sub-Contractor: Paragon Environmental Date Started: 10/02/08

Test Pit: TP-17

Tech.:ERD/GLA Oper.: Nate

Equipment: 200 Series Komatsu

Site Photos

No Photo Available

Soil & Rock Classifications/Remarks			0-3' weathered concrete and sub base material, some masonry	Brown mf sand, silt and cmf gravel below 3.0' bgs			7.0-9.5' bgs brown f-m sand and silt, moist mixed native soils . Groundwater observed - no sheen, no odor present in soils		9.0 - 10.5' bgs grey till		Terminated boring at 10.5' bgs. Bedrock encountered	
PID (ppm)	0			0	0		0		0		0	
Depth of Sample	13 13								i ,			
Sample Number									TP-17 (Soil)			
Depth Below Surface (Ft.)		2		4		9		80		10		12

No Photo Available

Remarks: Bedrock encountered at 10.5 feet bgs.

## Appendix 5 Boring Logs



DOB # 4216   CHAN BY:   CHAN BY				present .	,		PROJECT			BORING SB2	23 PA-01						
CONTRACTOR: Notiniagle Drilling SDRILER Kevin DRILER KEVILDATA  THE DRILER KEVILDATA  DRITE TIME WATER LOADIN REMAKS  DRIVER VARIEN ADDITE D		盟	Lu		aine	ers	Orchard Whitney				2						
CONTRACTOR: Notinegle Dilling REPLER Keyn BORLE: Report REPORT REPLEB BORLE: REPURD ARE REPORT REPORT REPURD ARE REPORT REPO			ENVINC	VIMENTAL •	SANSPOR AN	OK • Dayles											
PRILLER, Kerin	CON	TRACTOR	: Nothr	nagle Drillin	O .		BORING LOCATION:	SEE DI AI	1	CHKD. BY:							
START DATE 7891   START DATE	DRIL	LER: Kevir	1							DAT	IM-N/A						
TIPE OF POILL FILE 'BASE (CMBS)  CASHNS SIZE AND 'PTS':  OVERBULDEN SAMP LIND METHOD: Autohammer, 4' makrocore band  ON SAMP LE DATA  SAMPLE DATA  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  PD  SAMPLE DATA  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  PD  1	JCL F	PERSONN	EL: ED/	GLA			START DATE: 7/5/11				OIVI. IV/A						
DATE TIME WATER CASING REMARKS    CASING PRILLING METHOD: Autohammer, 4' malvocore band											EVEL DATA						
OVERBURDEN SAMPLING METHOD: NA CONDITION (19)  SAMPLE DATA  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  BLOW NO DEPTH N-VALUE RECOVERY H NA SON (1) NA SON (					85)			DATE	TIME			REMARKS					
SAMPLE DATA SAMPLE DATA SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID (ppm) SAMPLE DATA (ppm) SAMPLE DATA SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID (ppm) SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID (ppm) SAMPLE DATA SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID (ppm) PID (					ADD: Autobom												
SAMPLE DATA   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   PID   RECOVERY   PID   PI	ROC	K DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: NA	IOD. AUIOI IAII	inter, 4 maivoco	ore band										
P											l	<u> </u>					
Total Color	Е			SAMPLE	E DATA												
NA	Р							SA	MPLF	DESCRIPT	ION		5.5				
35   1   NA   50%   Grey-brown slit with cmf sand some cmf gravel, dry   0-4:0			NO.			RECOVERY	1				.011		I				
1	Н.			(FT.)									(ppin)				
2			_		NA		Grey-brown silt with	n cmf san	d some	cmf gravel,	dry		0-4': 0				
3	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		·	<u> </u>											
3	ا					ļ	1										
4	2		-				@ 2'; medium brov	wn cmf sa	ind with	silt; some c	mf gravel; m	noist	İ				
4	,						4										
48:0	ا		-				4						1				
48:0	1			40		<del> </del>	- All										
Section   Sect	1 7		2	4.0		459/	ا ﴿ 4°; pushed thro	ugh conci	ete (2")				4-8': 0				
6	5	-	_	<b></b>			1										
8 8.0 87; wet  8 8.0 88; saturated, medium brown silt; little mf sand; little cmf gravel  9	ا ا		<del>  *</del> -			<del>                                     </del>	1										
8 8.0 87; wet  8 8.0 88; saturated, medium brown silt; little mf sand; little cmf gravel  9	6			-			@ 6': wet										
8   8.0   9   4   4   4   4   10%   12*; grey silt with f sand; some cmf gravel (rounded); moist-dry 12-14.7°; 0   12*   14.7   15*   14.7   16*   375   5   9   9   9   9   9   95%   17*   18*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*   19*	ľ						W 6; wei										
8 8.0 9 4 4 4 4 10% 6 12'; grey silt with f sand; some cmf gravel (rounded); moist-dry 12-14.7'; of a 14.7 1 14 14.7 15 15 14.7 1 16 375 5 1 95% 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	7																
8-12: 0  9							- wei										
8-12: 0  9	8			8.0			@8'- saturated m	adium bro	wan nilte	little mf eem	-l.  :44]£						
9	1		3			50%	GO, Saldialed, III	ediditi bic	wii Siit,	iillie iiii san	a; iittie cmi (	gravei	8-12': 0				
10	9		$\downarrow$				1										
11							1										
11	10						@ 10'(+/-) grev silt	t: moist (t	illY								
12	1						- · · · ( / g. · · ) · · · ·	., (1	,								
13	11						1										
13							1										
13	12			12			@ 12'; grey silt wit	h f sand;	some cr	nf aravel (ro	ounded): ma	ist-dry	10 14 75 (				
14			_			10%				J (		,	12-14.7 ; (				
16 375 5	13		$\downarrow$			$\downarrow$											
16 375 5			<del> </del>														
16 375 5	14		<u> </u>														
16 375 5																	
16-20: 0  17  18  19  20  LEGEND S. SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U. UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE U. UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	15	<u> </u>	├	14.7			1										
16-20: 0  17  18  19  20  LEGEND S. SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U. UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE U. UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	1.0	275	-			ļ	4_										
17 18 19 20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES: 1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	16					050/	☐ <sup>@</sup> 16'; grey-brown	f sand w	ith silt aı	nd cmf roun	ded gravel;	dense; moist	16-20': 0				
Spoon refusal; no elevated PID readings/odors noted  LEGEND S. SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	17		+	-			-										
Spoon refusal; no elevated PID readings/odors noted  S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	Ι ''	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	-										
Spoon refusal; no elevated PID readings/odors noted  S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	10	<b></b>	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>	4										
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	'°		-	-		<del> </del>	-										
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	10	<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>				-										
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface			<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		1	4										
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	20			† <u>'</u>		<del> </del>	┪						-				
S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual. 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface	_ <del></del>		LEGEN	ID.		<u> </u>	Spoon refusal: no clo	vated DID	readings	ladara ==t==			@ 20': 0.1				
C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.  2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring.  bgs = below ground surface		S-		-	L SAMPLE		opoon rolusal, no ele	valou FID	reaumys/	odors noted							
GENERAL NOTES:  1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.  2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring.  bgs = below ground surface		U-	UNDIS	TURBED SC	OIL SAMPLE												
<ol> <li>Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.</li> <li>PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring.</li> <li>bgs = below ground surface</li> </ol>	<u> </u>				PLE												
<ol> <li>PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring.</li> <li>bgs ≈ below ground surface</li> </ol>																	
bgs = below ground surface	1	1)	Stratif	ication Line	s represent ar	proximate bour	dary between soil type	s; transitio	ns may b	e gradual.							
	1	2)	hoe -	adings wer	e taken directi	y on exposed so	oil in disposable sleeve,	, immediat	ely followi	ng retrieval fr	om boring.						
BORING # SB23 PA-01											0.00/110						
			Phill -	Perio hoi I		<del></del>					IBORING #	SB23 PA-01					

١.,		_				PROJECT			BORING PA	-n1		 
1	115	Lii	Fn	gine	OKO	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 2 OF			
	B	LU	L	Sil ie	010	1			JOB #: 4216	-		
		PANIEG	게까면서 수도 •	MARSHUR AH	CN • CIVIL				CHKD. BY:			
CON	RACTOR	: Nothr	nagle Drillin	9		BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAI	V	JOHNED, DT.			 
DRILL	ER: Kevir	1				GROUND SURFACE			DATE	UM: N/A		
JCL F	ERSONN	EL: ED	/GLA			START DATE: 7/5/11			ATE: 7/6/11	OIVI. IV/A		
						211 E 1707 T	r	CIAD DI		EVEL DATA		 
TYPE	OF DRILL	RIG: E	3k81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME	WATER		DEMARKS	
CASII	ING SIZE AND TYPE: 2"						DAIL	THATE	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVEF	ERBURDEN SAMPLING METHOD: Autohammer, 4' malve					re hand			<del></del>			
ROCI	CK DRILLING METHOD: Autohammer, 4' malv					oro barra		-	<del> </del>			
D						I	ļ		<u> </u>		L	
E			SAMPLE	DATA								
Р							0.4		25222			
Т	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	4	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
Ĥ	/6"	''`	(FT.)	/RQD(%)								(ppm)
	370	6	20	/HQD(%)	(%)							   "' '
			20		75%	@ 20' similar soils	but with	cmf san	d; saturated	@ 20.5; mo	ist	20': 0
21		1			<u> </u>	@ 20.5'; moist						21': 0.1
					<u></u>							-1.0.1
22		<u></u>										001 0 0
						1						22': 0.2
23						1						
						1						23': 0

Läd	DLUVV	NO.		N-VALUE	RECOVERY	Į	(ppm)
Н	/6"	<u> </u>	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)		(PPIII)
	370	6	20		75%	@ 20' similar soils but with cmf sand; saturated @ 20.5; moist	20': 0
21		₩			<b>↓</b>	@ 20.5'; moist	21': 0.1
		ļ.,					21.0.1
22							22': 0.2
		<u> </u>					22.0.2
23							001.0
							23': 0
24					183		24': 0
	280	7			80%		
25		$\downarrow$			<b>V</b>	@ 25.5'; saturated; mf gravel lense with silt	24-26.5': 0
						1	1
26						1	
			26.5		T. T. T.		
27						Augered from 24 to 28' (through boulder) no sample from 26.5 to 28'	
						to a sample from 20.5 to 26	
28					50%	@ 28'; grey mf sand; little to no silt; saturated	1
	160	8	28		4	a 201 g. by his daria; itilib to no sin, saturated	28-32': 0
29		1				1	
						1	'
30						п	
						1	
31						1	
						1	
32			32		<del>                                     </del>		
		9			90%		32-35': 0
33		J			J J J		
		Ť			<del>                                     </del>		
34				<del> </del>		@ 24! grove and around with met and that	
						@ 34'; grey cmf gravel with mf sand; little silt; saturated	
35		-	35		<del> </del>		
			<del>                                     </del>		0	1	
36			-	<del>                                     </del>	<u>↓</u>	-	
"		-			+ · · · · · · · · ·	T.D. with we have	
37						T.D. with macrocove= 36' bgs, Augers to 36'	
"		-				-	
38		-					
30		-	<del> </del>				
39		-	-				
39		<del> </del>		<del> </del>			]
1 40		<del>                                     </del>		ļ	<del> </del>	4	
40		LEGE	L	L	<u> </u>		
1		LEGEN		LCAMPIT		Build microwell: screen 36-26; sand 36-24, bentonite 24-22'	•
			SPOON SOL	L SAMPLE DIL SAMPLE		Cuttings to grade	
1			CORE SAM				

C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:

- 1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
  2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring.

  bgs = below ground surface

  ppm = parts per million

  BORING #

Ι.		_				PROJECT			BORING PA	-02		 
	121 172		ı En	gine	ers	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF			
	1		Ministration .	* PAICSPORT AT					JOB #: 4216-	03		
CONT			nagle Drillin		ON TENNES				CHKD. BY:			
	LER: Kevir		nagie Drillin	g		BORING LOCATION:						
	PERSONN					GROUND SURFACE				UM: N/A		
1	2,100141	<u> </u>				START DATE: 7/6/11		END D	ATE: 7/6/11			 
TYPE	OF DRIL	RIG: I	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME		EVEL DATA		
CASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2"				DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
				HOD: Autoham	mer, 4' malvoco	ore hand						 
ROCI	K DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: NA			no bang	<u> </u>					 
D								L		L	L	 
E			SAMPLE	E DATA								
Р							2.4	MOLE	DESCRIPT	ION		ŀ
Т	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1	37		DESCRIPT	ION		PID
н	/6°	1	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)
	22	1	1		50%	medium brown silt	wib mf a a					
1	<del></del>	<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>			Titlediam brown sik	wm mi sa	na ana	cmt gravel; r	noist		0-4: 0
'		<del>                                     </del>			<u> </u>	4						
١,		-				1						
2		<del> </del>	<u> </u>									
		<u> </u>										
3						@ 3'; 3" clay lense	•					
	L	$L^{-}$				1						
4			4.0		1	1						
	28	2	1		40%	†						4-8: 0
5	<u></u>	<del>  -</del>				-						
J	<del></del>	<del> </del>			<b>↓</b>	4						1
		-				_[						
6		-										
1												
7		$\bot$										
1						@ 7.5' (+/-); mediu	ım brown	silt and	l cmf sand: e	ome omf ar	wol: wot	
8			8.0			1		0,,,,	om oana, s	one chi gi	avei, wei	
1	260	3			75%	@ 8.5'; medium bi	roum foor	سماهان ام	:14			8-12: 0
9					10,0	@C': gross valle	dia salaas	iu withs	iit, some cm	r gravei, mo	St	
	<u> </u>	_			<del></del>	@9'; green yellow	discolora	tion (2")	)			
10		_	-		<del> </del>	٠						1
10		<del> </del>		<b>-</b>		@ 10'; rose-grey t	ill as abov	re				1
	<b></b>	<del>                                     </del>	ļ			_						1
11												1
1						]						1
12			12.0			1						
1	305	4			85%	@ 12.5'; grey silt;	little f can	d: mois	t-dnr little re	unded mf a	es and	12-16: 0
13	$\downarrow$				<b>→</b>	1 0 12.0, 910, 3111,	iittie i saii	u, mois	it-dry, little fo	unaea mr g	avei	1
1					<del>                                     </del>	1						1
14		1	-	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	-						1
'*	<del></del>				-	4						
1		+		<b>——</b>		1						
15		<b>—</b>			L							
16	L	$\bot$	16.0			@ 16': wet						40.4==
	250	5			1	@ 16.5'; rose-grey	,					16-17.7: 0
17					T	1	•					
Ι΄΄		1	<del>                                     </del>			1						
18		1	17.7	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	4						
۱ '	<del></del>	+	1/./	<del> </del>	<del></del>	4						
l		+-	<del> </del>	<del></del>		1						
19		<del>                                                                          _     _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _</del>				_						
1												
_20						7						
		LEGE	ND			Collected soil sample	@Q' (disc	oloration				 <u> </u>
	s		SPOON SO	IL SAMPLE		Collected soil sample			''			
				OIL SAMPLE		Spoon refusal @ 17.						
1			CORE SAM						andnest 40	) = 7 =		
	GENERAL					Set well @ 18.5	W IU SC	een, S	апираск 18	.o-/.o ben	ionite /.5-5.5	 
				es represent ar	oproximate hour	dary between soil type	e: transiti-	no m				
1	2	) PID re	eadings we	re taken directi	v on exposed ea	oil in disposable sleeve	immodict	ns may l	be gradual.			
1	_	bas =	below arou	ind surface	, on onposeu st	diahosanie sieeve	, mmediati	SIY TOIIOV	ving retrieval fr	om boring.		
L		-	= parts per							PODING ***	34.00	 
		1 10000	, p p s							BORING #	-A-02	

		poses	1		
\$12 FE3	Lu	Ln	ain	00	ers
	ENVIRONI		The same of the sa		

 PROJECT
 BORING PA-03

 Orchard Whitney
 SHEET 1 OF 1

 JOB #: 4216-03

CONTRACTOR: Nothnagle Drilling

DRILLER: Kevin JCL PERSONNEL: ED

D

BORING LOCATION: SEE PLAN

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: START DATE: 7/6/11 END DATUM: N/A

TYPE OF DRILL RIG: Bk81 (CME85)

CASING SIZE AND TYPE: 2"

OVERBURDEN SAMPLING METHOD: Autohammer, 4' malvocore band ROCK DRILLING METHOD: NA

END DATE: 7/7/11

WATER LEVEL DATA

CHKD. BY:

DATE TIME WATER CASING REMARKS

E P			SAMPLE	E DATA		CAMPLE DECORPTION	
T H	BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	PiD (ppm)
	120	1			30%	Grey-brown silt and mf sand and cmf gravel fill; dry	0': 0
1		4			<u> </u>		1': 0.2
2							2': 0.6
3						- -	3': 0.1
4	- 12		4			@ 4'; medium brown cmf sand with silt; cmf gravel; moist	4': 0
_	42	2			44%		4-8': 0
5		4			<u> </u>	@ 5' (+/-); 3" clay lense; moist	
6						@ 5.75' (+/-); 2" clay lense; moist	
7							
						@ 7.5'; saturated	
8		-	8.0			@8'; grey brown silt with f sand; little mf gravel; moist	8-12': 0
^	<del></del>	3			88%		
9	380	<u> </u>			₩		}
10						@ 9.5'; grey f sand with silt; some cmf gravel; dry-moist (Till)	
11		-					
12	<u> </u>	-	12.0				12-16': 0
13		4			75%	@ 12.5'; wet	
13	375	+ *	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	@ 12.75'; moist	
14		<del>                                     </del>				@ 15.0': weathered rock, wet	
						@ 15.25'; grey silt with f sand; some mf rounded gravel; moist	
15		-					
16			16.0				16-17.6':
47	320	5			100%		10 11.01.
17	<u> </u>	1			<u> </u>	-	
18			17.6				
19	<u> </u>	-				-	
00							
_20	L	LEGE	I	L	<u></u>	Spoon refusal≔ 17.6'; Augers refusal @ 18.3'	
		- SPLIT	SPOON SON STURBED SO			Sandpack 18.3-7.3'; Bentonite 7.3-5.3' cuttings to grade	
			CORE SAME				
						<u></u>	

## GENERAL NOTES:

- 1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
- PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface

ppm = parts per million

						PROJECT			BORING PA-	04						
	101 101	Lu	En	gine	ers	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF	1						
_		ENAIRO.	MMEMIET	SANSTUL AL	ald Hilleria				JOB #: 4216- CHKD. BY:	03						
	RACTOR	: Nothr	nagle Drillin			BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAN	V	ICHKD. BY:							
RILL	ER: Kevir	1				GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATIO	ON:	DATI	JM: N/A						
JL P	ERSONN	tL: ED			<del></del>	START DATE: 7/711			ATE: 7/7/11							
YPE	OF DRILL	. RIG: E	3k81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME		VEL DATA	DE1118140					
ASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2"				DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS					
VEF	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING METH	IOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band										
D I	DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: NA			<del></del>										
E			SAMPLE	DATA												
Р			O7 E2				SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION						
Т	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY		0,1	1411	DEGOTAL	1014		PID				
н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)				
1	24	1	-		25%	Brown silt with cmf	sand; sor	ne cmf	gravel; mois	t		0-4': 0				
'					<del>                                     </del>	-										
2			<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>	-										
						1										
3					1	@ 3.8'; brown cmf	sand with	n silt: litt	le mf gravel:	moist						
- 1						]	*****		g.uvci,	orat						
4	45		4									4-8': 0				
ا۔	45	2			40%	@4.5'; olive-browr	ı silt; little	mf san	d; trace clay;	little cmf gr	avel; moist-wet	1 40.0				
5					<b>↓</b>	4										
6						-	(Perched water over till)									
Ĭ						-										
7						1										
						@ 7.5'; brown mf	sand with	silt and	d cmf gravel	wet						
8			8					o, c	a on in graver,	WOL		8-12': 0				
	308	3			72%	@8.2'; light brown	f sand wi	th silt; s	ome cmf rou	nded gravel		0-12:0				
9					<u> </u>	(grades to rose/lig	(grades to rose/light brown to grey @ 9.5' (+/-)); moist									
10		-	-			-										
10			<del>  </del>			-										
11					<del>                                     </del>	1										
						†										
12			12			]						12-16': (				
	375	4			80%	@ 12.2'; grey f sa	nd with sil	t; little d	mf gravel; m	oist		12-10.0				
13	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	4										
14						-										
'-7		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>			1										
15			<b> </b>			1										
					1	@ 15.75'; grey silt	with f sar	nd: trace	e mf rounder	I gravel moi	ict					
16			16			]		,	Junuet	. graver, 11101						
	27.5	5			100%	]										
17					. ↓	1										
18		-	170			4										
10		-	17.9			-										
19						-										
-		-				1										
20						1										
		LEGEN		·	<u> </u>	Spoon refusal= 17.9	Auger to 1	8'; set n	niniwell							
			SPOON SOIL			screen 18.8' sandpad	k 18.6' ber	ntonite 6	-4' with cutting	s to grade						
			TURBED SO CORE SAME			1										
	GENERAL			LC		I				<del></del>						
	1)	Stratif	ication Line	s represent ap	proximate boun	dary between soil type	s; transitio	ns may t	e gradual.							
	2)	PID re	eadings wer	e taken directl	y on exposed so	oil in disposable sleeve	, immediate	ely follow	ring retrieval fr	om boring.						
			below groui parts per n													
		- 1111dd	ו וסח מיוים	HINOTI						BORING # F	PA-04					

_						PROJECT			BORING PA-	-05		
	מ		En	gine	Oro	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF			
	201	LU		GILIE	ers	O Torial a Williams			JOB #: 4216-			
		ENTING:	hit: "AL .	SEANEPOR AT	CA . DW				CHKD. BY:	03		
CONT	RACTOR	Nothr	agle Drillin	g		BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAN		CHRD. BT.			
	ER: Kevin		•	•		GROUND SURFACE			DATI	JM: N/A		
JCL PE	RSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/7/1			ATE: 7/7/11	SIAIP LANGE		
										VEL DATA		
			k81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
	G SIZE A										112.00	
OVER	BURDEN	SAMP	ING METH	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band						
	DRILLIN	G METI	HOD: NA									
D											·	
E			SAMPLE	E DATA		1						
P						1	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	7		_				(ppm)
н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)
L	44	1			55%	@ 0'; medium bro	wn mf san	d; trace	silt; trace or	nf gravel, g	ravel	0.45.0
1	$\downarrow$				<b>\</b>	7		,	,	g o., g		0-4': 0
					1	@ 1.5'; brown silt	and cmf s	and with	n cmf gravel	moiet		
2						1,		with Alli	. Silli gravel,	1110131		
, F				<del>                                     </del>		┪						
3		-				<del>- </del>						1
i "H					<del> </del>	┥						
4			4.0									
4-		-	4.0			@ 3.9'; olive-brow	vn silt with	clay; sc	me cmf grav	el, moist		4-8': 0
l ₋⊢	57	2			23%	@4.5'; trace clay;	wet					
5						_]						
1 L												
6												
						7						
7						1						
ΙГ						1						
8			8.0			H @ 8 5': grav-brow	m cilt with	Foods	little and annu		421*	1
	390	3		<del>                                     </del>	90%	@ 8.5'; grey-brow	in Sije Wien	sand;	iittie cmi gra	vei; wet; Fe	mottling	8-12': 0
ا و	<del>- 1</del>	<del>  ~</del>				4						-
⊢"⊦		-		-	<b>↓</b>	-l						
. <sub>.</sub> .		-			-	@ 9.4'; grey f san	id, some s	ilt and c	mf gravel; m	oist		
10		<u> </u>		<del> </del>		4						
		-		ļ		_						
11		L			1	_						
						]						
12			12.0			7						10.40
	400	4			90%							12-16':
13	<b>+</b>				1	7						
				<del>                                     </del>	† <del>* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *</del>	┪						1
14				<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	-						1
		-		<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	-{						1
15		-		<del> </del>	-	4						]
'3 -		-				4 _						
}		-		<del></del>		@15.5'; grey silt;	little f sand	d; trace	cmf gravel;	noist		
16	465	-	ļ	<u> </u>		_						16-16.9':
	122	5				@ 16.2'; push thr	ough rock	(doloste	one)			10,0.3
17		$\downarrow$	16.9		<u></u>	@ 16.0'; grey f sa	and; some	silt and	cmf gravel:	moist		
						7			3.4.01,			
18						7						
Ιſ						7						
19					<del>                                     </del>	┥						
, '~L			5			1						I

C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:

LEGEND

S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE

ppm = parts per million

 Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
 PlD readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface

water downhole

Spoon refusal @ 16.9'; Auger refusal @ 17.3';

Not enough water on roch to install well, plug boring from 16.9- 11' with bentonite to not let perched shallow

			promove			PROJECT			BORING PA	-06		
	E2 163	LL	I En	gine	ers	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF	1		
		ENVIRG	WARRING AC.	19AKSPURICAN	OV • IDBAIL				JOB #: 4216-			
CON	TRACTOR	R: Noth	nagle Drillin	no		BORING LOCATION	OFF DI A		CHKD. BY:			
DRIL	_LER: Kevi	n		-5		GROUND SURFACE	SEE PLAI	N N	DATE			
JCL	PERSONN	IEL: ED				START DATE: 7/7/11	LLLVAIR		DATI ATE: 7/7/11	UM: N/A		
TVD	E OE DDII	I DIO	Bk81 (CME							EVEL DATA	<del></del>	
CAS	ING SIZE	AND TV	DKOI (CIVIE	:85)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING METI	HOD: Autohan	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore hand						
HUC	K DRILLIN	IG MET	HOD: NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	miler, 4 maivoci	ore band						
P								<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
E			SAMPLI	E DATA								
P	BLOW	NO.	DEDTIL	Laurane		_	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		, no
H	/6"	INO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY							PID (ppm)
	18	1	(1.1.)	/HQD(%)	(%) 55%	0.051	1 11					(ppiii)
1					J 33 /°	0-0.5' concrete/asp	halt					0-4': 0
					<del>                                     </del>	@ 0.5'; light brown	mi sand	and cm	f gravel; little	silt; moist f	ill; brick to 1'	
2						@ 2'; grey brown s	til with fo					i
1						2 -, groy brown s	om with 1.2	anu, so	me cmr grav	el; moist		
3	·					1						
						@4'; trace clay						
4		L	4.0			]						
١.	28	2			30%	]						
5	<del></del>				<u> </u>							-
6												5': 0.6
°						1						6-8': 0
7						<u> </u>						0-0:0
'					<del></del>	@7'; medium brow	'n					
8		_	8.0			-						
1	34		0.0		5%	@8'; saturated mf	sand with	silt; not	enough reco	overy for an	alytical sample	
9	$\downarrow$				J/0	1						
					·	1						
10						1						1
												İ
11						1						
1						]						
12												
13	32 ↓	-			0%	]						12-13.7':
'3	<del></del>											
14						@13.7'; wood on to	p of conc	rete in s	shoe			
'						(likely wood from ch	nimney foo	oter form	n and concre	te footer sit	s on top of rock;	
15						no til observed, like	ly excava	ted to b	edrock)			
1												
16						1						
1												
17												
18												
19												
20												
-20		LEGEN										1
			POON SOIL	SAMPLE								
	U-	UNDIST	URBED SOIL	L SAMPLE								
	C-	ROCK C	ORE SAMPI									
	GENERAL I											
	1)	Stratific	ation Lines	represent app	proximate bound	lary between soil types	transitions	may be	gradual.			
l	-/	. 10 100	elow groun	taken directly	on exposed soil	l in disposable sleeve, i	mmediatel	y followir	g retrieval fro	m boring.		
		J	parts per m	a ourrace					_			
			-						- 13	BORING # PA	06	

						T						
1 1						PROJECT			BORING PA			
	102 106	LU		gine	ers	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF			
		ENVIRO	SIMESTIAL .	an the Application of the	136 POINT				JOB #: 4216-	-03		
CON	FRACTOR	: Noth	nagle Drillin	00	233/61	BORING LOCATION:	OFF DI AL		CHKD. BY:			
DRIL	ER: Kevir	1	_	-5		GROUND SURFACE	SEE PLAN	V 261.	FD 4 1971			
JCL F	PERSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/8/11			DA 11 ATE: 7/8/11	UM: N/A		
L						27.1.2.170711		LIVO DA		EVEL DATA		 
TYPE	OF DRIL	L RIG: I	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	 
CASI	NG SIZE	ND TY	PE: 2"							- O/LOINE	HEMANIA	 
BOCI	C DRILLIN	SAMP	LING METI	HOD: Autohan	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band						
Б	CONILLIN	GIVIEI	HOD: NA									
E			SAMPLI	E DATA								
P			OAM L	LUAIA			-					
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	-	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)
	22	1		11145(75)	25%	0- 0.5'; concrete as	mb alk					
1	+				<u> </u>	O 5's orange brown	pnait					1': 1.5
					<del></del>	0.5'; orange-brown	r sand; tra	ace mr	gravel; with t	orick fragme	ents; moist (fill)	
2					<b></b>	-{						1
					<del> </del>	┥						2-4': 0
3					<del></del>	-{						
					<del> </del>	-{						
4			4.0			┥						
	27	2			35%	-						
5	<b>→</b>	<u> </u>			J 3378	-						
-					<del>                                     </del>	-						5': 0.5
6						-						5.5-8': 0
						┨						
7												
1			-	<del>                                     </del>		@7'; saturated	_:14					
8			8.0	<del></del>		@7.8'; olive-brown	i siit; some	e clay; ı	wet			1
1	100	3	0.0		45%	@0.0½ b						8-12': 0
9	<b>+</b>	Ť			43 /8	@8.3'; grey-brown	t sand wi	th silt; s	ome cmf gra	avel (rounde	ed); moist	
				<del> </del>	- ·	-						
10				<del></del>		-						
						-						
11			-			@ 11 Ok						
						@11.0'; grey silt w	ith i sand;	trace r	nt gravel mo	ist		
12			12.0	-		-						
	280	4	12.0		75%	+						12-16': 0
13					\ \ \ \ \ \	@ 12 11 4	al tale — tale					
					<del>                                     </del>	@ 13.1'; grey f san	a with silt;	little cr	nt gravel; mo	oist		
14				<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	+						1
				<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	4						ļ
15				<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	- 15': wat						
					<del> </del>	@15'; wet						
16			16.0	<b> </b>	<del>                                     </del>	- A16'- DI	de = 42					
	240	5	16.6		100%	@16'; push throug	n weatner	ed bed	rock			16-16.6':
17	<b>+</b>	_	10.0	<del> </del>	100%	-						
				<del></del>	<del></del>	1						
18			<u> </u>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	1						
1						-						
19					<del> </del>	1						
1				<u> </u>	<del> </del>	+						
20					<del>                                     </del>	1						
		LEGEN	ID.	<del></del>	<u> </u>	Spoon refusal @ 40.0	la Augen est	fues! A	10.7			 L
	S-		SPOON SOI	L SAMPLE		Spoon refusal @ 16.6 Set miniwell @ 16.7' (	10' screes	usal@	10./	ntanit- El Ol		
1			TUDDED CO			1-27	3010011)	, sanupa	UN 10.7-5; DE	monite 5'-3'		

## C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:

U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE

- Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
   PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface ppm = parts per million BORING # PA-07

i.		_				PROJECT			BORING PA	00		
	湖流		ıEn	gine	ore	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF			
			4	91110	010				JOB #: 4216-			
CON	TRACTOR	ETVEHEC	nagle Drillin	PAREPORTAT	10V • 0461				CHKD. BY:			
DRILL	ER: Kevi	n. NOIN	nagie Drillin	ng		BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAN	1				
	PERSONN		ı			GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATIO		DATU	JM: N/A		
						START DATE: 7/8/11		END D	ATE: 7/8/11			
TYPE	OF DRIL	L RIG:	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME		VEL DATA		
CASI	NG SIZE /	AND TY	PE: 2"				DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING METI	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoc	ore band		<del>                                     </del>				
D	K DRILLIN	IG MET	HOD: NA									
E			CAMPI								·	17
P			SAMPLI	E DATA								
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	1 NINGELIE		4	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
H	/6"	NO.	1		RECOVERY							(ppm)
H	22	1	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)	0.41						(PP)
1 1	4	<del>  '</del> -		<del></del>	35%	0-1'; stone and bro	wn silt; cm	of grave	el; moist	_		0-4': 0
1 1		-		<b></b>	<b>↓</b>	@ 1': olive-light br	own silt wi	ith cla;	little mf grave	el; moist		
2		-				4						
'						@ 2'; red-brown si	t with mf	sand; s	ome cmf gra	vel; wet		
3		<del> </del> -				4						1
		-				@ 3'; silt with clay	as above	(@ 1')				
4			4.0			@ 4; no clay; cm g	jravel; we	t				
"	30	2	4.0			_						4-8':0
5		1-2	-		5%	_						70.0
"					<u> </u>	_						l
		-				_						
6						1						
_		-										i
7		<del> </del>				_						
						1						
8	380	-	8.0			1						8-12': 0
9					90%	@ 8.5' (+/-); olive-	light brow	n f san	d with silt; litt	le cmf grave	el, Fe mottling, moist	0-12.0
"		-			<u> </u>	_}				•	3,	İ
10		-				@ 9.5'; rose-grey						
10		<del> </del>				1						1
11						1						
''						1						- 1
1 40			10			1						
12	260	├	12			1						12-16': 0
.,	260	-			95%	1						12-10:0
13		-				1						1
1						1						
14						1						
}		<b>-</b>	ļ			@ 14.5'; grey silt; s	some f sai	nd; trac	e mf gravel:	moist		
15						]			- ''			1
}		-				]						
16	400		16.0			@ 16'; saturated						16 10 0
<u> </u>	400				100%	]						16-18.8':
17			<b></b>		<b>→</b>	]						
												1
18						@ 18'; weathered t	edrock					1
						1						I
19			18.8			]						1
	83											
20			20.4			]						- 1
		LEGEN				Spoon refusal @ 18.8	Auger to 2	20'; drive	final spoon to	refusal @ or	0.4'; set nested pair of miniw	-11 12
I	S-	SPLIT S	SPOON SOIL	. SAMPLE		set @ 20 4' (5' screen	from 20.1	Eli anni	nook 20 141 C	Totaladi Ne Zi	, - , set nested pair of miniw	elis; Deep well

set @ 20.4' (5' screen) from 20-15'; sandpack 20-14'; 3' bentonite (14-11)'; Shallow well screen 10.5-5.5' and

C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:

S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE

U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE

- 1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
- 2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface ppm = parts per million BORING # PA-08

sand 11-4'; bentonite 4-2'

	To a second		No.			PROJECT			BORING PA	-ng		
	ESS.		I-n	gine	ers	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF	· 1		
		Proof per	SECTION A	TANERERIA					JOB #: 4216-	03		
CON	TRACTOR	Noth	nagle Drillin	(TAN SEERIA)	ON • UNE	1			CHKD. BY:			
DBILL	-ER: Kevi	. 1401/1	nagie Drillin	ng		BORING LOCATION:	SEE PLAN	1				
JCL F	PERSONN	FI · ED				GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATIO		DAT	JM: N/A		
						START DATE: 7/11/1	1	END D	ATE: 7/11/11			
TYPE	OF DRIL	RIG: I	Bk81 (CME	85)						VEL DATA		
CASI	NG SIZE	ND TY	PF 2"	.03)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMP	ING MET	HOD: Autobar	nmer, 4' malvoc	ana harad						
ROCE	C DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: NA	IOD. Autorian	iner, 4 maivoc	ore band						
D			1.00.147						L			
E			SAMPLI	FDATA		1						
P			O/ 11VII E1	L DAIA								- 1
+	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	NI VALUE	L DECOVERY	4	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
н	/6"	''''	(FT.)	N-VALUE	RECOVERY							(ppm)
	25	1	(1.1.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppin)
1 1	<del></del>	<del>- '-</del>			25%	@ 0.0'; brown silt;	some cmi	sand a	and cmf grave	el; dry		0-4': 0
'					<u> </u>	@ 0.5'; black cinde	ers/slag fil	l		•		0-4.0
						_						
2						7						
1 1						7						
3						@ 3'; brown silt wit	h mf sanc	l· como	om gravali -			1
1 [				-			m m sanc	, 301116	ciii graver, r	noist		
4			4.0			@ 4 O': Olivo arov.	-: Ib/-11					
1 1	21	2	- 7.15		50%	@ 4.0'; olive-grey	silvciay le	nse; m	oist			4-8': 0
5	<u></u>					@ 4.2; brown silt; a	nt sand a	nd som	e cmf gravel	; moist		
1 1					<u> </u>							- 1
6												
1 %		<u> </u>				@ 6.0' (+/-); wet						
_												
7						@ 7.0'; medium br	own f san	d some	silt: little mf	gravel: eet	ratad	- 1
1 1						1		a, 00,,,,	Sont, intile iiii	graver, salt	irated	
8			8.0			@8 3' rose-grouf	cand with	ailte an				
	285	3			90%	@8.3'; rose-grey f	sanu with	SIIT; SO	me cmt round	ded gravel;	moist	8-12': 0
9	$\downarrow$				↓ ↓	-						1
1 1						4						ŀ
10						-						·
1 "						_						ļ
1						_						i
11												i i
1												- 1
12			12.0			1						
1 L	415				100%	1						12-16': 0
13	<b>+</b>			[4]	<b>V</b>	@ 13.0'; grey silt; li	ttle f sens	l. 4				
1 [						g ro.o., grey siit, ii	tile i sand	i; irace	mi gravei			
14						-						1
1 1						-						
15						4						
] '` <b>'</b>			-			1						
1			40.5			1						
16	010		16.0			@ 16.0'; grey f san	d; trace si	lt; satu	rated			40.55
1 .	210				100%	]		,				16-16.9': 0
17					<del>\</del>	1						
1 1						1						
18						1						
[						1						
19						1						
"h						-						
20												] [
- 201		LECE										
		LEGENI				Spoon refusal @ 16.9	auger refu	isal @ 1	7.0'; set miniw	ell @ 17' with	10' screen; sand pack to 5'; 2.5	hontosits
1	5-	SPLITS	POON SOIL	SAMPLE		seal					2.5 30,0001, Sanu pack to 5; 2.5	bentonite
1	U- -	UNDIST	URBED SOI	L SAMPLE								
	C-	HOCK C	ORE SAMP	LE								i
1 '	SENERAL N											
	1)	Stratific	ation Lines	represent app	proximate bound	dary between soil types;	transitions	may be	gradual			l
	-/	1 10 100	uniya were	taken unechy	on exposed soi	il in disposable sleeve, i	mmediateh	following	ng retrieval from	m boring		ſ
1		- go - L	reion groun	iu suriace		•			J 3741 1101	bornig.		
L		ppm =	parts per m	illion					Ī:	BORING # PA	1-09	
											1-00	

						PROJECT			loopius at			
	to the	Li	, En	gine	ore	Orchard Whitney			BORING PA SHEET 1 OF			
	-	Title open	diameter.	TEAMEROF AT	010	1			JOB #: 4216-			
CON	TRACTOR	3: Noth	nagle Drillin	TENEFOR AS	OA CH EL	BODING LOCATION			CHKD. BY:			
DRIL	LER: Kevi	n	_	'9		BORING LOCATION GROUND SURFACE	SEE PLAI	7AI-	DAT	UM: N/A		
JCL F	PERSONN	IEL: ED				START DATE: 7/11/			ATE: 7/11/11	UIVI: IN/A		
TYPE	OF DRII	l BIG-1	Bk81 (CME	:OE\					WATER LI	EVEL DATA		
CASI	NG SIZE	AND TY	PE: 2"	:60)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING MET	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoc	ore band						
ROC	K DRILLIN	IG MET	HOD: NA									
E			SAMPLI	F DATA								
Р			O/ 11111 E1	LUNIA			24	MDI E	DESCRIPT	ION		
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	7	SA.	IVIFE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
Н	/6"	<del>  _</del> -	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)			_				(ppm)
1	21 ↓	1			50%	@ 0.0'; brown silt,	mf sand a	and cmi	gravel; dry f	ill		0-4:0
1		+			<u> </u>	@ 0.5'; black cind	er/slag/as	h layer	(6")			
2		_				@ 1' (+/-) brown f	sana; son	ne silt; s	some cmf gra	avel; moist		
						†						
3						1						
		-				]						
4	44		4.0		50%	@ 4.2'; olive-grey	silt/clay la	yer; mo	ist			4-8: 0
5	<del>- 44</del>	+	-		<b>├</b>	-						10.0
		+				-						
6						@ 5'; orange-brow	ın feand:	little se	ad: aaturataa			ļ
						, orally brom	m i sana,	iittie sai	iu, saluialet	1		ļ
7						@ 7'; medium bro	wn silt witl	n f sand	and cmf gra	vel: saturate	ed	
8		-										
°	220	┼	8.0		770/		_					8-12: 0
9	<u> </u>	_			77% ↓	@ 8.75'; light brow	vn f sand;	little silt	; some cmf (	gravel; wet		
						-						
10						1						
						@ 10.5'; grey, moi	ist					
11												
12		-	12.0			4						
	410	<del>                                     </del>	12.0		100%	-						12-16: 0
13					<u>10078</u>	@ 13.1'; grey silt;	little trace	f cand	moiet			
						]	maso trace	i suiiu,	moist			
14		<b>-</b>										
15		-				_						İ
'3						-						
16		1				@ 16': grov f sand	i little site	0041	n el			
	130					_ @ 16'; grey f sand	, nue siit;	saturat	eu			16-16.9: 0
17			16.9			1						
		<del> </del> -				1						
18						4						
19		-				4						ŀ
		_				-						
20						1						
		LEGEN			<u> </u>	Spoon refusal= 16.9';	set nested	pr. of m	iniwells; Deep:	17 to 12 scre	een:	
			SPOON SOIL			Auger refusal= 17			, 200р.	10 12 0011	5011,	
L			TURBED SO CORE SAMF									
	GENERAL	NOTES:				<u> </u>						
	1)	Stratifi	cation Line	s represent ap	proximate boun	dary between soil types	s; transition	s may be	gradual.			
	2)	rib re	adings were below grour	e taken directly	on exposed so	il in disposable sleeve,	immediate	ly followi	ng retrieval fro	m boring.		
			parts per n						1	BORING # P	A 10	
										DOUING # P	M-10	

						220 1507						
	E 23	1.	. = -	aina	050	PROJECT Orchard Whitney			BORING PA SHEET 1 OF			
	<u> </u>			gine		Oronard Williamy			JOB #: 4216-			
001		ENVIRU		THANSPUR AT	012 • 61 <b>%</b> 15				CHKD. BY:	00		
DRILL	ER: Kevi	i: Noth	nagle Drillir	ng		BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAN	1				
	ERSONN					GROUND SURFACE START DATE:	ELEVATIO			UM: N/A		
						START DATE.		END D		EVEL DATA		
TYPE	OF DRIL	L RIG: I	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
CASI	NG SIZE	AND TY	PE: 2"									
ROCI	C DRILLIN	I SAIVIP IG MFT	HOD: NA	HOD: Autonan	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band						
D			7100.1471				l .			L		
E			SAMPL	E DATA								
P							SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
T	BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH		RECOVERY							(ppm)
<del> </del>	70	1	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)	8.01				<del></del>		
1	<del></del>	+ -	<del> </del>	<b>-</b>	30%	@ 0'; orange-brow	n r sand;	little silt	; moist			0-4': 0
			<b> </b>		<del></del>	1						
2						@ 2'; encounter ro	ck fragme	ents and	1 concrete			
						,	<b>-</b>	on to din	2 001101010			
3		-				]						
		-										1
4		<del>  _</del>	4.0			@ 4.1'; olive-grey	clay with s	silt; moi	st; medium p	olasticity		4-8': 0
5		2	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	55%	<b>-</b>						
ا ا		+		<del> </del>	<b>↓</b>	@ 5'; with mf sand		el				
6		+	-			@ 5.2; same as 4.	.1′					
				<u> </u>		1						
7						1						
						@ 7.9'; saturated						
8			8.0				orown silt	and cla	y; some cmf	gravel: som	ne mf sand; saturated	8-12': 0
	215	3	ļ		40%			,	,,	g ,	ound, outdiding	0-12.0
9	<u> </u>	-	<del> </del>		<u> </u>	1						1
10		-	<del>                                     </del>			4						
'0		-	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>	-						ĺ
11			<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>	1						
						1						
12		4	12.0			@ 12.2'; grey silt v	vith f sand	l: trace	of gravel: sa	turated		1001.10
	410				80%	]		,	or gravor, oa	luidica		12.2': 1.8 12.5': 37
13	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>			$\downarrow$	]						13': 10
1		-	ļ			1						14': 18
14		+	-			@ 14'; grey f sand	with silt;	saturate	ed			
15		1			<del> </del>	@ 45 Ch						
"		_	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		@ 15.6'; weather r	ock; cmf (	gravel (	saturated)			15': 5.7
16			16.0	<del>                                     </del>		1						
												16': 1
17						1						ļ
18		-	ļ									
10		-	-									
19		-	-			4						
20		+	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>	-[						1
- 20		LEGEN	J	<u> </u>	L	12-16'; petrol-type od	or (light do					
	s		SPOON SO	IL SAMPLE		Miniwell screen= 16'-	or (light, de 6': sandpac	graueu) k= 16'-4	. hentonite= 4	' to 2' has		
ŀ				DIL SAMPLE		Auger/spoon refusal	@ 16'		, 50///0////0- 1	to E bgs		
			CORE SAM	PLE								
	GENERAL 1			es renresent ar	nrovimata ha	idani bohilari asil tirri						
	2	) PID re	adings we	re taken directi	Provintate boun V on exposed sc	dary between soil type oil in disposable sleeve,	s, transition immediate	is may b	e gradual.	om hori		
		bgs =	below grou	and surface	,	4.000000000000000000000000000000	suiale	iy ioliow	ing retrieval If	om boring.		
		ppm ≃	parts per	million						BORING # F	PA-11	

						PROJECT			BORING PA-	10			
	W	111	Fn	gine	org	Orchard Whitney		-	SHEET 2 OF				
		ENVIRO	NIZENYA: •	RHANGEBETÁ):					JOB #: 4216-	03			
CON	FRACTOR	: Nothr	nagle Drillin	10	C. C. C. V.	BORING LOCATION	· CEE DI AR		CHKD. BY:			110	
DRILI	LER: Kevir	1		•		GROUND SURFACE			DATI	JM: N/A			
JCL F	PERSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/12/1			ATE: 7/12/11				
TYPE	OF DRILL	- RIG: I	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	Tive	WATER LE	VEL DATA			
CASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2"				DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS		
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING MET	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	re band							
D	C DRILLIN	GINE	HOD: NA				L						
E			SAMPLE	E DATA									
P							SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION			DID
H	BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	]							PID (ppm)
	360	<del> </del>	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%) 80%								(FF)
21	→				J 00 /8	@ 21'; saturated							
						o Er, outulated						1	19-23: 0
22													
23		<del> </del> -											
23	165	-	<del></del>										
24	<del>- 100</del>	24		<del></del>		1							
		-				1							
25						1							
						]							
26													
27		-											
"		_	-			-							
28													
1						i							
9													
10													
'0						-							
11						1							
						1							
12													
10													
13		-											
14		-											
					<del></del>	-							
15													
16		-				1						ļ	
17		-	-			-						ļ	
						1						ļ	
18						1							
						1							
19						]						]	
20		-											
20		LEGEN	I	L		Spoon refuse! G C:	1						
1	s-	SPLIT	SPOON SOL	L SAMPLE		Spoon refusal @ 24.	ı, auger rei	usal= 24	1.2'				
	U-	UNDIS	TURBED SC	DIL SAMPLE									
-	C- GENERAL	ROCK	CORE SAM	PLE						<u></u>	<u>.</u>		
-	1)	Stratif	ication Line	es represent an	proximate hour	dary between soil type	e: trancitie:	ne ma	o gradua!				
	2)	LID LE	adıngs wer	'e taken directi	y on exposed so	il in disposable sleeve	o, uansidol , immediate	is may b	re gradual. Find retrieval fr	om boring			
		uys ≂	below grou	nu sunace				,					
<u> </u>		hhiu =	parts per r	ITHION	<del></del>		<del></del>			BORING # F	A-12		



PROJECT BORING PA-14
Orchard Whitney SHEET 1 OF 1
JOB #: 4316-03
CHKD. BY:

CONTRACTOR: Nothnagle Drilling DRILLER: Kevin JCL PERSONNEL: ED

BORING LOCATION: SEE PLAN GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

DATUM: N/A

START DATE: 7/13/11

END DATE: 7/13/11

TYPE OF DRILL RIG: Bk81 (CME85)

CASING SIZE AND TYPE: 2"

OVERBURDEN SAMPLING METHOD: Autohammer, 4' malvocore band ROCK DRILLING METHOD: NA

WATER LEVEL DATA

DATE TIME WATER CASING REMARKS

D E			SAMPLI	E DATA			
P T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)		(ppm
	24				50%	@ 0': brown cmf sand; little silt; some cmf gravel; moist	
1	$\downarrow$				<b>V</b>	Some of the graver, most	0': 0
							1': 0
2							2':0
3							3': .;
4		-	4.0				į.
	24				50%		4': 1.
5					<b>↓</b>	@5'; red-brown f sand with silt; litlte mf gravel; wet	5': 2.
6						@6'; olive-brown silt; little f sand; little cmf gravel; wet; petroleum odor	6': 0
7						@7'; saturated	7': 28
8		-	0.0		ļ		
٥		-	8.0		750/		8': 17
9		-	-		75%		
9	290	-		ļ	<u> </u>		9': 1 <sup>-</sup>
10		-			<del> </del>	040h	
	<del>- *</del> -	+		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	@10'; grey f sand; some silt; little cmf gravel; moist	10': 5
11		_	<del> </del>				1
				<del> </del>			11': 5
12			12.0	<del></del>			11.5': 1
			12.0	<del>                                     </del>	100%	@ 12 5': grov silt: little f sand: trace of sand:	12': 10
13		_		<del></del>	↓ 100 /8	@ 12.5'; grey silt; little f sand; trace mf gravel; moist	1
_		_		<del> </del>	<del></del>		13': 6
14	455				<del></del>		1
	1						14': 6
15						1	
							15': 8
16			16.0			@ 16.8'; saturated f sand with silt	
	206				100%	o 10.0 ; outstated 1 saile with silt	16': 6
17	$\downarrow$				<b>↓</b>	1	l
			17.6			1	17':
18							17.6':
19							
20		-					
Ť		LEGEN	4D	<del></del>	<u> </u>	Spoon refusal= 17.6'; auger 18'	
	s		SPOON SOI	IL SAMPLE		Screen miniwell from 18-8' with sandpack to 6'	
			TURBED SC			The state of the s	
	_					1	

C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE

ppm = parts per million

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
- PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface

						PROJECT			BORING PA	·-13			
	38	11	ı Eni	gine	ore	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 O				
	協		66 e S A G	911 10	CIS				JOB #: 4216	-03			
CONT	PACTOR	. Maste	nagle Drillin	"HANSPORTATI	OW CHIEF				CHKD. BY:				
DRILL	ER: Kevir	: INOTA	nagie Drillin	g		BORING LOCATION	: SEE PLAN	1					
JCL P	ERSONN	ı Fl·FD				GROUND SURFACE				UM: N/A			
-		CC. CD				START DATE: 7/12/	111	END DA	TE: 7/13/11	EVEL DATA			
TYPE	OF DRIL	L RIG: 1	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME	WATER	EVEL DATA	LDENIADI	·	
CASI	NG SIZE A	AND TY	PE: 2"				DAIL	THVIE	WATER	CASING	REMARK	8	
OVER	BURDEN	SAMP	LING METH	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band					+		
ROCH	DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: NA							<del> </del>			
D													
E			SAMPLE	E DATA		1							
P							SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ΓΙΟΝ			PID
IJ	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY								(ppm)
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)								(PPIII)
	36	-			45%	Brown silt with cmi	sand and	cmf gra	avel; moist				0-4': 0
1		ļ			<u>\</u>								1
		-				@ 1.7'; soil behav	es similar	to asph	alt patch, flo	owing and "s	sticky"; we	t	
2						1				-	•		
		ļ											1
3		ļ											
						@ 3.8'; olive-grey	clay lense	j; moisi	t				
4			4.0				-	•					4-8': 0
1	32				25%	@4.5'; brown cmf	sand with	silt; sor	ne cmf grav	el: wet			4-0.0
5					<b>+</b>				3	,			
6						1							
						1							
7						@ 7'; brown silt; s	ome cmf s	and so	me cmf ara	vel: caturati	ad		
						,		and, o	me om gra	voi, saturati	su		
8			8.0			1							
					75%	@ 8.7'; grey f san	d: some si	lt: som	cmf gravel	· wot			
9					<b>V</b>	@9'; moist	u, 001110 0	ιι, σοιπι	o om graver	, wet			8.5': 24
1 1													9': 18
10	275												9.5': 62
	$\downarrow$					1							10': 17
11						1							
						1							11': 20
12			12.0			1							11.5': 6.
						1							12': 3.4
13						1							İ
	260					1							13': 1.2
14	$\downarrow$					1							
	-	1				1							14': 0.3
15						1							
					†	1							15': 0.2
16					<del>                                     </del>	1							
		1				1							16': 2.4
17	140	1				1							ļ
"	<u> </u>	1			<del>                                     </del>	+							17': 0
18	- *	+-	17.8		<del>                                     </del>	1							17.8': 0
'ĭ		1-	17.0		<del>                                     </del>	4							
19		+-			<del>                                     </del>	-							
'"		-			<del> </del>	4							
20		+	<del>  </del>			4							
20		LEGE	L In	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Davie view 1							
	9.		SPOON SOII	SAMPLE		Boring is west of tan	κο vault (kr	own cor	ntamination fro	om UST remo	ovals))		
1	•	LI	J. 0011 0011	- ALMII FF		Spoon refusal @ 17.	o , Auger re	iusai 🥨	19.5				

C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:

U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE

 Strattification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
 PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface ppm = parts per million

						PROJECT			BORING PA	-15		
	721	1 .	En	aina	Oro	Orchard Whitney			SHEET OF	-15		
	E3		1 L	gine	G12				JOB #: 4216-	03		
001		FMARC	MINEMARY 40	FANCEPON AN	Un ≤4 <b>y</b> i_				CHKD. BY:			
ICON	LER: Kevii	i: Noth	nagle Drillin	g		BORING LOCATION						
1	PERSONN					GROUND SURFACE				JM: N/A		
001	LINGONIA	CL. CD				START DATE: 7/13/1	1	END DA	TE: 7/13/11			
TYPE	OF DRIL	L RIG: I	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME	WATER	EVEL DATA	Lagrange	
CASI	NG SIZE A	AND TY	'PE: 2"				DATE	LIIVIE	WAIEN	CASING	REMARKS	
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING MET	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band						
D	K DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: NA	<del></del>								
E			SAMPLI	E DATA		-						
P			SAMEL	EDATA								
'T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	4	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
H	/6"	'''	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)
	45	1		11.00(70)	12%	@ O' brown silt wi	th clay: litt	lo omf o	and: amf ar		one @ 1-2' (very little recovery);	
1					1270	moist	iii ciay, iiii	ie cilli s	sanu, cini gra	aver, red sto	one @ 1-2" (very little recovery);	0-4': 0
						1						ĺ
2						1						
- 1						1						
3												
		<u> </u>										l
4			4.0			]						4-8': 0
	55	2			18%	]						4-0.0
5		-				@5' (+/-); black-bi	own mf sa	nd and	slag/cinder	fill; dry		
						1				•		
6		-				1						
7		+	<del> </del>									
1 '		<del>                                     </del>	-			@ 7.0'; light browr	n silt with o	mf grav	el; trace f sa	and; saturat	ed	
8		+-	8.0	<del> </del>		-						İ
		3			70%	-						
9					7 0 70	1						
	80					1						9': 15
10						@ 10'; olive-light I	orown silt v	vith f sa	nd: moist			10). 5
						@ 10.7'; grey silt;	some f sa	nd; trac	e mf gravel:	moist		10': 5
11				L				-				11': 0.2
1		-										11.0.2
12	316	+	12.0		7-2	-						12': 0
13		┼─-	-		75%	@ 12.5'; little cmf	rounded g	ravel; n	noist			
'3		+-	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>	<del> </del>	4						
14		1	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	1						1
					<del> </del>	@ 14.75'; saturate	nd (avoy f		.b. =:14\			
15		$\top$				9 14.75, Salurale	eu (grey i s	anu wii	n siit)			İ
-						1						
16						1						1
1						1						
17												]
		1				]						
18		<b>↓</b>	<u> </u>			]						
1			<del>                                     </del>	-		1						
19	<u> </u>	+	<del> </del>			4						
	<del> </del>	+	<del> </del>	ļ		1						

Set nested pair each with 2.5' screen (16.0-13.5' with sand to 13.0; bentonite from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 13 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 15 to 10.5'; 2.5 shallow screen from 1

BORING # PA-15

LEGEND

S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE

C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE


GENERAL NOTES:

1) Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.

2) PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface ppm = parts per million

BORING #

_			-			PROJECT			BORING PA	-12		
	별		I En	gine	ers	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF	2		
		ENVIRO	SINENSA.	PAREPORIA	OK . Store				JOB #: 4216-			
CONT	RACTOR	: Noth	nagle Drillin	0	OV - FARE	PODING LOCATION	00000		CHKD. BY:			
DRILLI	ER: Kevir	1		'9		BORING LOCATION GROUND SURFACE	SEE PLAN	<b>V</b>				
JCL PE	ERSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/12/1			DATI ATE: 7/12/11	JM: N/A		
					•	377117 37712.77127	<u> </u>	LIVU DI	WATERII	VEL DATA		
TYPE	OF DRILL	- RIG: E	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	 
CASIN	G SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2"							O/IOII1G	TILIVIATING	 
ROCK	DBII I IN	SAMPI G MET	LING METI HOD: NA	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band						
DI	DINEENV	G IVIL I	HOD. NA			T			L			
E			SAMPLI	E DATA		1						
P							94	MDIE	DESCRIPT	10N		1
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1	O/	1411 [[	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
H	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)
1 .F	21	1			50%	Brown silt with cmf	sand and	cmf gra	avel; moist			0.41.0
1 1					<b>↓</b>			•	,			0-4': 0
_ -						}						
2 -						_						1
<sub>a</sub>  -												
3						@ 3'; 3" clay lense	; olive-gre	ey, mois	st			1
<sub>.</sub>  -			4.0			1						
⁴ -	25		4.0			1						4-8': 0
5		2			50%	4						1 4-0.0
° -	<u> </u>	_			<b>↓</b>	1						
6						@ 5'; wet						
°⊦						4						
7												
1 1						@ 7; saturated						
8			8.0			-						
T	180		0.0		600/	@ 9; rose-grey f s	and with s	ilt; som	e cmf gravel	; wet, moist		8-12': 0
9	<u>√</u>	3			68%	4						-
▎▔┝		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	Ⅎ						
10		_				A 10						
						@ 10; grey silt; so	me i sand	; true f	gravel; moist	İ		
1 11						1						
ΙΓ						-						
12			12.0			-						
Г	430				100%	@ 12.2: grey-light	brown f o					12-14.6': 0
13	<b>+</b>				4	@ 12.2; grey-light	DIOMILI 26	ana, soi	ne siit; little i	mt gravel; m	ioist; dense	
ΙŒ						1						
14			14.6									}
						1						
15						@ 15'; grey						
	440				95%	]						15-19': 0
16					<b>+</b>	1						
						1						
17						1						
L						]						
18						]						
1						]						
19						]						
1 ⊢	360				80%	]						
20					<del></del>	L						
		LEGEN				Spoon refusal @ 14.6	; auger to	15' conti	nue samplina			L
	S-	SPLIT S	SPOON SOIL	SAMPLE		1	-		r9			-
			TURBED SO									
	ENERAL I		CORE SAMP	'LE		L						ļ
			cation Line	s represent an	proximate boun	dary between soil types						
1	(2	LID (69	adıngs were	e taken directly	On exposed so	il in disposable sleeve,	, wansition	s may be	gradual.			
	·	bgs = b	elow grour	nd surface		dioposable sieeve,	neuiate	y rollowi	ny retrieval fro	m boring.		
L			parts per n						1	BORING # P	A-12	 
											1116	



			<del></del>	<del></del>									
1 1		Ι				PROJECT			BORING PA			·	
100	E)	LU		gine	ers	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 2 OF				
		elware:	이상[문제] 4 #	MAKEPURIAN	as • cive				JOB #: 4216- CHKD. BY:	03			
			nagle Drillin	g		BORING LOCATION:	SEE PLAN		CHRD. BT.				
	ER: Kevir					<b>GROUND SURFACE</b>	ELEVATIO	N:	DATI	JM: N/A			
JCL F	ERSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/13/1	1	END D	ATE: 7/13/11				
TYPE	OF DRILL	BIG: F	3k81 (CME	95\						VEL DATA			
CASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2"	80)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS		
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMPI	LING MET	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band							
ROC	DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: NA										
D											· <del></del>		
E			SAMPLI	= DATA		ŀ							
F	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	NINALIE	L DECOVERY	4	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION			PID
H	/6"	140.	(FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)								(ppm)
	Auger		20.9	71.05(70)	(%)								
21						1							
						1							
22						1							00 041 0
					60%	@ 23.5'; cobble							22-24': 0
23	210					]							1
						]							
24		-	24.0			1							]
1 ~-		<b>-</b>	<u> </u>			4							
25	200	-				4							1
26	200	-			1000/								
~		-	26.6		100%	@ 26'; grey mf sai	nd; little si	lt; satur	ated				26-26.61: 0
27		<del>                                     </del>	20.0			-							
-					<b>-</b>	1							
8						1							İ
						1							
9						1							
1						]							
10		-				]							
11		-				4							
1 '''		-				4							
12						-							1
						-							
13						1							
						1							
14													
		<u> </u>				]							
15													
10		-	<del> </del>			4							
16		-	<del> </del>		-	4							
17		<del>                                     </del>	-		<del> </del>	-							
1 "		_				-							
18						1							
19						1							
						]							
20	L												
	_	LEGEN				Spoon refusal= 26.6';	auger reuf	usal 26.	В				
1			SPOON SOL	L SAMPLE DIL SAMPLE		Encounter natural ga	s pocket wh	nen rem	oving augers				
L			CORE SAM			Oxygen= 19.8 ppm, (	Jardon Mor	ioxide=	oo ppm down	augers/no im	pact in breathing z	one, no well insta	llation
	GENERAL	NOTES:				<u> </u>							
	1	Stratif	ication Line	es represent ap	proximate boun	dary between soil type	s; transitior	ns may b	e gradual.				
	2	) PID re	adings wer	e taken directl	y on exposed so	il in disposable sleeve	, immediate	ly follow	ing retrieval fr	om boring.			
1			parts per i	nd surface million						BORING #	DA 10		
			,							PER PROPERTY AND ADDRESS AND A	EM-ID		

				723		PROJECT			BORING PA	-17		
	<b>E</b>	Lu	En	gine	ers	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF			
	1.0	ENVIRO	NIMENTAL F	T IT AND END OF EACH					JOB #: 4216-	-03		
CON	TRACTOR	: Nothr	nagle Drillin	a		BORING LOCATION:	SEE DI AN		CHKD. BY:			
DRIL	LER: Kevir	1	•			GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATION	ON:	DATI	UM: N/A		102
JCL	PERSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/14/1	1		ATE: 7/14/11	OWI. NVA		
ТУРІ	OF DRILL	DIG: E	3k81 (CME	05)					WATER LI	EVEL DATA		
CAS	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2"	63)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMPI	LING METH	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band		<del>                                     </del>		-		
ROC	K DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: NA									
D			CAMPLE	DATA								 T
P			SAMPLE	= DATA								
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	4	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	TON		PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)
						0-6'; Construction a	and demo	lition ba	ckfill (fill)			-
1						]			(,			
١,	<u> </u>											
2		-				1						
] 3						4						
1		_			<del>                                     </del>	-						
4					-	1						
						1						
5						1						1
1.						]						
6						@ 6'; flowable fill						
7						4						
′		-				-						
8						-						1 1
1												
9												
						1						
10						]						
۱.,												
11					750/							
12					75%	@ 11.5'; vault floor						
"		1			<del>                                     </del>	@ 12'; black conci	rete; no od	dor (1")				12': 0.4
13						@ 12.1'; rose-grey	r i sand wi	ıtn siit; ii	πιe cmt grav	/el; moist-we	t	12.5': 0.5
1	$\triangle$		=			1						13': 0.1
14						]						145.0
	<u> </u>					@ 14.5'; grey silt' s	some f sa	nd; trac	e mf gravel;	moist		14': 0
15		-				1						15': 0
16	<del></del>	<del> </del>	16.0			1						
'	185		10.0			-						16': 0
17												
1			17.6			1						
18						1						
1.						]						
19												
20	<b></b>											
- <del>-</del> 20		LEGEN	D.	L		Augus refus-1 @ 4= 5	1					 
	S-		SPOON SOII	L SAMPLE		Auger refusal @ 17.8	-					
			TURBED SO									1
			CORE SAME	PLE								
1	GENERAL											
	1) 21	PID re	cauon Line adinas wer	s represent ap e taken directh	proximate bound	dary bėtween soil types il in disposable sleeve,	s; transition	s may be	e gradual.			
	-/	ngs = i	below groul	na surrace	on exhosen so	ii iii uisposadie sieėvė,	immediate	ry tollowi	ng retrieval fro	om boring.		1
		ppm =	parts per n	nillion						BORING # P	A-17	 

Ι.						PROJECT			BORING PA1	18		
1 1	166 272		I En	aine	ara	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF			
		ESERVICES	i <del>tool</del> i l Naganzi -	gine	OK • Melli				JOB #: 4216-	03		
CON	RACTOR	: Noth	nagle Drittin	a marchiel	en • cont	BORING LOCATION	CEE DI AL		CHKD. BY:			
DRILL	ER: Kevir	1		9		GROUND SURFACE	SEE PLAN	N	DATI	INA. NUA		
JCL F	ERSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/14/1			DATI TE: 7/14/11	UM: N/A		
							<del>.                                      </del>	CIND DA		EVEL DATA		 
TYPE	OF DRIL	L RIG: I	Bk81 (CME	85)			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
CASI	NG SIZE	AND TY	PE: 2"									
BOCK	DRILLIN	CMET	LING METI	HOD: Autoham	nmer, 4' malvoco	ore band						
D	CONILLIN	GIVIET	HOU: NA		<del></del>							
E			SAMPLI	= ΠΔΤΔ								
P			O'MAII E	LDAIA			0.41	ADI =	DE00010=			}
Т	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)
					` '	Auger to 4' (concre	te/sand/ni	ravel)				
1						1 (00,10,0	itorozi idrgi	aven				0-4': NA
1						1						
2					100	1						
1						1						
3						†						
1 1						1						
4					50%	@ 4'; red-brown m	if eand: ed	ma cilt	some omf o	rovoli maiai		
1 1		1			4	@ 4.75' (+/-); olive	-brown of	av with	some cm y	ravei, moisi		4-8': 0
5						0 1.70 (77 ), 01110	-DIOWII CI	ay with	siit, moist			
1	<b></b>					1						
6	35					†						
	$\downarrow$					1						
7						†						
						1						
8						@ 8'; olive-light br	own cilt wi	ith f ac-				
1	250				90%	o o, onve light by	OWII SIIL WI	uii Sai	u and cmi g	raver; satura	itea	8-12': 0
9	$\overline{}$				4	@9'; f sand with si	il+					
					Ť	30, I Salid Will Si	iii.					
10						@ 10'; rose-grey f	cand: con	na cilt: ı	mf arough m	oint		
						0 10,1000 gicy,	Sana, Son	iie Siit, i	in graver, in	oist		
11						@ 11'; grey silt; lit	lle emf ere	wal: ma	int			
						o iii, gioy siii, iii	ile citti gra	iver, mic	nst			
12						1						1
1					100%	1						12-16': 0
13					4	1						
1	335					1						
14	$\downarrow$					1						1
1						1						1
15						1						
1 1						1						
16						@ 16.5'; grey f saı	nd: some o	silt: trac	e mf gravel			
					100%	1	,	,	- IIII GIQVEI			16-17.3': 0
17	250				<b>V</b>	1						
	$\downarrow$					1						
18						1						]
						1						
19						1						
1						1						
20						1						
		LEGEN				Spoon refusal @ 17.3	B'; Auger re	fusal @	17.4'; no miniv	vell constructi	on	 L
1			SPOON SOI				. 3		,	00.100 000	···	
			TURBED SO									
-			CORE SAME	PLE								
	GENERAL										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1)	Stratifi	ication Line	s represent ap	proximate boun	dary between soil type	s; transition	s may b	e gradual.			
	2)	hae ∽	adıngs wer below grou	e taken directly	y on exposed so	il in disposable sleeve,	immediate	ly followi	ng retrieval fro	om boring.		
			parts per r							nonu:-		
-			F POI 1							BORING # P	A-18	

						PROJECT			BORING MV	V-23		
	578 628	Lu	En	gine	ers	Orchard Whitney RI/I	RM		SHEET 1 OF	1		
		E(TVITE)	SMENSAL •	TRAINSPERITATI	UK + 6(%)				JOB #: 4216-	03		
CONT	RACTOR	Nothr	agle Drillin	g		BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAN	1	CHKD. BY:			
	ER: Kevir					GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATIO			UM: N/A		
						START DATE: 7/15/1	1	END D	ATE: 7/15/11 WATER I	EVEL DATA		
TYPE	OF DRILL	RIG: E	3k81	//·			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVER	RBURDEN	SAMPL	JNG METI	/4.25" HSA HOD: Autoham	mer/continuous	•	<u> </u>					
ROCK	DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: Auge	er to auge refus	sed in rock							
D E			SAMPLE	= DATA			-					T
P			O/ 11411 E.	- DAIA			SA	MPLE	DESCRIPT	ION		
T H	BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1			J	1011		PID (ppm)
	70		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%) 5%	Grey-brown silt; so	mo omi o	nd. ss.		1		()
1	1					Jarcy-brown silt, so	ine cini sa	iriu, soi	ne cmr grave	ei; moist		0-4': 0
2	24					]						
4						-						
3						†						
			4.5									
4	35		4.0		50%	45'. 5						4-8': 0
5	<del>↓</del>				50%	@ 4.5'; brown mf s	and; little	silt; littl	e mf gravel;	moist		
						@ 5.5' (+/-); olive-	grey silt; s	ome cr	nf sand; som	ne cmf grave	el: moist	
6						1				ŭ	,	
7						1						1
						]						
8	160		8.0		750/	@ 8'; saturated						8-12': 0
9	<u>100</u>				75%	-						
						@ 9.2'; grey silt; s	ome f san	d: trace	mf gravel: r	noist		ļ
10								•	J J.			Ì
11						-						
						1						
12			12.0		200/							12-15.1': 0
13		$\vdash$			90%	4						
	330					†						
14						]						
15		$\vdash$				4						
			15.1			†						
16						]						15.2-17': 0 No sample
17	315	$\vdash$	17.0		95	@ 17h gravitas	andala - Ma					- Sample
			.,.0		35	@ 17'; grey f sand	with Silt; I	ιτιe cm	τ gravel; moi	st		17-20': 0
18						1						
19						-						
						1						
20			20.0									
	S-	LEGENI SPLIT S	<u>D</u> SPOON SOII	SAMPLE		TD with augers= 22'	l	471.0.1				
	U-	UNDIST	URBED SO	IL SAMPLE		set interface well from	; auger to 22-12 (10	screen)	spoon refusal : sandpack 22	@ 20'; Auger -11.5': hentor	to 22' (+/-); auger to 2' into bedroo	k and
-	C- GENERAL	ROCK (	CORE SAME	PLE	·	<u> </u>				, 20,1101		
	1)	Stratific	cation Line	s represent ap	proximate boun	dary between soil types	s: transition	s may h	e oraduel			
	2)	PID rea	adıngs wer	e taken directly	on exposed so	il in disposable sleeve,	immediate	ly followi	ng retrieval fro	om boring.		
		bys = t	pelow groui parts per n	na surrace							NALOO	
										BORING # N	IVV-23	

						DDO IFOT							
	1 100	١.,	. = -	aina	~ ~~	PROJECT Orchard Whitney RI/I	DM		BORING MV				
	123	LU		gine	ers	Orchard Whitney Hi/	HIVI		SHEET 1 OF JOB #: 4216-				
		FLANKS.	MARIN AT .	THE AND END PLOATE	Chi • CIVIL				CHKD. BY:	03			
CON	TRACTOR	: Noth	nagle Drillin	ng		BORING LOCATION	: SEE PLAN	v	CHKD. BT.				
DRILI	LER: Kevir	1		_		GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATION	ON:	DATI	JM: N/A			
JCL F	PERSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/15/1	11		ATE: 7/15/11	5141. 14/A			
TVDE									WATER LE	VEL DATA			
CACH	OF DRILL	RIG:	Bk81				DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS		
VEDE	NG SIZE A	ND IY	PE: 2" pvc	/4.25" HSA									
BOCI	K DBILLIN	C MET	HOD: Rolle	OD: Autonamn	ner (140 lb)/conti	inuous @ 22'							
D	· Billelii	G WE	TIOD. Holle	i Dit		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
E			SAMPLI	F DATA								-	
P			O				64	AADI E	DECODIDE	101			Ì
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1	SA	WIPLE	DESCRIPT	ION			PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)								(ppm)
	65	1			50%	@ 0'; Brick, silt, co	ncrete fill	· gravol	dn				
1 1	$\downarrow$				<b>↓</b>	0 0 , 511010, 0110, 01	Jilorete IIII	, gravei	i, ui y				0-4': 0
				1		1							
2						1							
1						1							
3						1							1
						1							
4			4.0			@ 4'; stop samplir	an alroad	, ohara	otorizod Ab	amba Amila 1999			1
						y 4, stop samplii	ig, alleau	y chara	cterizea throt	ign test pitti	ng		
5						1							
						1							1
6					<del> </del>	1							
						1							
7						1							5
		<del>                                     </del>		<b>-</b>		1							
8				<del> </del>		1							
				<del> </del>		1							
9						1							
				<del> </del>		1							
10			<del>                                     </del>	18		1							
						1							
11						1							
						1							
12						1							1
						1							
13						1							1
				1		1							
14				<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	1							[
			<u> </u>			1							
15						1							l
						1							
16						1							
						1							ļ
17						1							1
				<del>                                     </del>		1							1
18		1				1							1
					<del> </del>	1							
19					<del> </del>	1							
				<del>                                     </del>		1							
20					<b></b>	1							
		LEGEN	ND	1	·								L
	S-		SPOON SOI	IL SAMPLE									
	U-	UNDIS	TURBED SC	OIL SAMPLE									
			CORE SAM										
	GENERAL	NOTES	:										
	1)	Stratif	ication Line	es represent ap	proximate boun	dary between soil type	s; transitior	ns may b	e gradual.				
	2)	PID re	adıngs wer	re taken directh	y on exposed so	il in disposable sleeve	, immediate	ly follow	ing retrieval fro	om boring.			
1		bys =	below grou	ma surrace									
		hbw =	parts per i	million						BORING # N	/W-24		

١.						PROJECT			BORING MW	24		
	123	$\Box \Box$	ı En	gine	ers	Orchard Whitney RI/I	RM		SHEET 2 OF			
	L.	ENGLIRES	NISENSAL -	TRANSPERTAGE	1.5 • PRIME				JOB #: 4216-	03		
CON	RACTOR	: Noth	nagle Drillin	O CONTRACTOR OF THE	ON THE	BORING LOCATION	CEE DI 11		CHKD. BY:			
DRIL	ER: Kevir	1		9		GROUND SURFACE	SEE PLAN	JVI∙ N	D.4-	INA. NUA		
	PERSONN					START DATE: 7/15/1			DATI ATE: 7/15/11	JM: N/A		
							·			EVEL DATA		
TYPE	OF DRILL	- RIG: I	Bk81				DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
CASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2" pvc/	4.25" HSA								
ROCI	CDBILLIN	SAIVIP! G MET	HOD: Rolle	HOD: Autoham	mer (140 lb)/co	ntinuous @ 22'						
D	COLUELIN	G WILT	NOD. NONE	ibit		<del></del>		<u> </u>				
E	!		SAMPLE	E DATA								
P							SΔ	MPIE	DESCRIPT	ION		
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1	O/	IVII LL	DESCRIE I	ION		PID
H	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)	<u></u>						(ppm)
1												
21						]						i i
						@ 22-22.9 No rec	overy					
22		2			0%							
		-	00.01									ļ
23			22.9'									
		<del> </del>				4						
24		-			<del> </del>	1						
25		3	-		700/	1						l l
23		3			70%	@ 25; grey-light b	rown silt a	and f sa	nd; little cmf	gravel; satu	rated (or saturated above	25-26': 0
26		├		ļ		and moist 25-29')						1
20	405	-	<u> </u>	<b></b>		1						NO PID hits
27	-400	-	<del>                                     </del>			1						with meter
"						4						in augers
28			<del></del>		<del>                                     </del>	-						
"		_				ł						
29		<del>                                     </del>	29.0		-	@ 20': oneounter	haduaal.					
			20.0			@ 29'; encounter	oearock					
30						†						
			1			1						
31						1						
						1						- 1
32						1						
						1						
33						1						
		L				]						
34						TD= 34' (construct	well @ 33	3')				
		<u> </u>						,				
35						1						
						1						
36						1						
37		<del> </del>			<del> </del>	1						
3/		-				4						
38		-				1						
36		-			<del>                                     </del>	-						
39		1	<del> </del>			4						
			<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	-						
40		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	1						
···		LEGEN	1D	l		@ 25-29' spoon and	drill rod ==	turate - 1	ot loant Fi - 1			
	s-		SPOON SOI	L SAMPLE		Encounter bedrock @	annrovim	urated (	at least 5' of w	rater);		
			TURBED SC			Rollerbit to 34'; const	ruct 33-24	screen.	sand to 23 4'	oai ₩ 30.5°;		
<u></u>	C-	ROCK	CORE SAM									
	GENERAL						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·		
	1)	Stratif	ication Line	s represent ap	proximate boun	dary between soil type	s; transitior	ns may b	e gradual.			
	2)	PID re	eadings wer	e taken directh	y on exposed so	il in disposable sleeve	immediate	ely follow	ing retrieval fro	om boring.		
			below grou parts per r									
		PPIII -	Parts Pel I	THIOTI						BORING # N	1W-24	

						PROJECT			BORING MW	25		
	722	,	En	gine	OVO	Orchard Whitney			SHEET 1 OF			
	耳			91110	G12	oronard Williamoy			JOB #: 4216-			
		ESPARO	9166015 <sub>0</sub> €	TATAORENAP?	IUA + CIVII.				1	03		
CON	TRACTOR	: Noth	nagle Drillin			BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAN	J	CHKD. BY:			
DRIL	LER: Kevir	1		•		GROUND SURFACE	FLEVATIO	NI.	DATI	JM: N/A		
JCL I	PERSONN	EL: ED				START DATE: 7/18/			ATE: 7/19/11	JIVI. IN/A		
							· ·	-110 01		VEL DATA	<del></del>	
	OF DRILL						DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
CASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2" pvc/	/4.25" HSA					77711 (211	UNDING	THEWARKS	
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING METI	HOD: 140 lb. A	Autohammer/con	tinuous @ 8'						
ROC	K DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: Rolle	erbit								
D	1		_								<u> </u>	
E			SAMPLI	E DATA								ŀ
Р							SA	MPI F	DESCRIPT	ION		
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1	571			.014		PID
H	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							(ppm)
1						1						
						1						
2						1						Į.
Ī -				<del> </del>		1						
3						-{						
"	<u> </u>	_				-						
١.	<u> </u>	-				4						
4	<u> </u>	1			0%	No recovery						4-6': 0
١						_						10.0
5	<u> </u>											
1												
6				L								
		L	6.5			1						
7						1						ŀ
						1						
8		2		<u> </u>	50%	medium brown sile	and omf -	rough -				
1		┼╌		<del>                                     </del>	3070	medium brown silt	and citif g	iavei; S	oine cmi sar	ıu; moıst; fil	II .	8-12': 0
9	34		<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>	1						
۱ ۱	<del></del>					-{						
10	<del></del>	-	-	<del> </del>	-	4						
"						@ 10'; concrete, d	cinder/slag					
1	<del></del>	-			<u> </u>	4						
11	<u> </u>					1						
						_						1
12			12.0		25%	@ 12'; dark browr	silt; some	cmf ar	ave; little mf	sand, nativ	e: moist	10 101 0
	26	3				]		9.	-,		-,	12-16': 0
13		L				1						
						1						
14				<u> </u>		1						1
1			<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>	1						
15			<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>		-						
"					<del> </del>	4						1
16	<del>                                     </del>	-	100			-						
'°	<del>                                     </del>	-	16.0	<del>                                     </del>		@ 16'; red-brown	f sand; littl	e silt; m	noist; loose			16-20': 0
	<b> </b>	4	-	<u> </u>	35%	1						1.020.0
17						_						
	50				L.	1						
18						1						
						1						
1 4-			1			4						ı

C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:

LEGEND

20.0

S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE

ppm = parts per million

- Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
   PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface

BORING # MW-25

Concrete 6.5-8'

			Marrie			PROJECT			BORING MV	V 25			
	193	11	Fn	gine	orc	Orchard Whitney RI/I	RM		SHEET 2 OF				
			Name	91110		1			JOB #: 4216-				
CONT	DACTOS	SAMILEON	CMENTA.	PARSPUBLAL	OF SAME				CHKD. BY:				
CONT	RACTOR ER: Kevir	: Nothr	agle Drillin	9		BORING LOCATION							
	ERSONN					GROUND SURFACE				UM: N/A			
JOLI	LINGOININ	CL. EU				START DATE: 7/8/11	E	ND DA	ATE: 7/19/11				 
TYPE	OF DRILL	RIG: E	3k81				DATE	TIME	WATER	EVEL DATA	I DELL	DIVO	
CASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE: 2" pvc/	4.25" HSA			DATE	I IIVIE:	WATER	CASING	REMA	HKS	 
OVEF	RBURDEN	SAMPI	JING METH	HOD: 140 lb. A	lutohammer/con	tinuous @ 8'				- 0	┼──		 
ROCK	DRILLIN	G MET	HOD: Rolle	rbit							+		 
D			_										 Т
E			SAMPLE	E DATA									
P						j	SAM	PLE	DESCRIPT	ION			PID
Ţ	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	]							(ppm)
Н	/6" 200	-	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)								 \ \(\(\pi_{1}\)\\
_,,	200	5			60%	@ 20'; red-brown	f sand; little	silt, lo	ose (native;	saturated			 20-24': 0
21		-				@ 21'; gravel and	c sand; little	e silt;	saturated				
20		<del></del>			<del></del>	@ 22'; medium br	own f sand v	with s	ilt; little mf g	ravel; satura	ated		1
22					ļ								
20					<del> </del>	4							
23		<del> </del>		ļ	<del> </del>	@ 23.1'; olive-bro	wn silt; little	mf gr	avel; saturat	ed			
		<u> </u>	04.0			@ 24.5'; light brov	vn silt; some	fsar	nd; little mf g	ravel; moist			
24	200	6	24.0			_							24-26.7':
	280	-			95%	1							
25		-			<b>_</b>	_							
00			00.7		ļ								
26		-	26.7			_							
0.7		-				1							
27					ļ								
-					<del> </del>	_							
28		-			ļ	4							
20		-				_							İ
29		-	-			4							1
20		-				- <b> _</b>							
30						TD= 30' (rollerbit to	o 31' but cav	/e in t	o 30')				1
24		-				4							1
31		<del> </del>			-	1							
90		-			-	4							
32		-	-			4							
20		-	-		1	4							
33		-			-	4							
94					<del> </del>	4							
34		+	<del></del>		+	4							
0.5					<del> </del>	4							
35		+			+	4							
00		-	-	<b></b>	<del> </del>	4							
36				-	<del> </del>	4							
		-			<u> </u>	4							
37					<del></del>	1							
-		-			<del>                                     </del>	4							
38		-			<del> </del>	4							
		-			<del> </del>	4							
39		-	L		<b>-</b>	4							
		-				4							1
40	l	1	1	1		1							1

LEGEND

S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE

ppm = parts per million

- U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE
- C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE

Spoon refusal= 26.7; auger refusal= 26.8; rollerbit from 26.7 to 31'; set well @ 30' with 15' of screen (30-15') Sandpack 31 to 13.8'; bentonite

#### GENERAL NOTES:

- Stratification Lines represent approximate boundary between soil types; transitions may be gradual.
   PID readings were taken directly on exposed soil in disposable sleeve, immediately following retrieval from boring. bgs = below ground surface

BORING # MW-25

						PROJECTOR Orchard Whitney	T		SHEET 1 OF	26A (between 1	MW-24, MW-25	))	
П	122	u	Enc	gines	ers	Orchard Whitney			JOB #: CHKD. BY:				23
						BORING LOCATIO	N: SEE PLAN	1		UM: N/A			
TRA	ACTOR:	Nothnag	le Drilling			GROUND SURFA START DATE: 7/1	CE ELEVATION	JN:	TF: 7/19/11				
PEF	RSONNEL	.: ED				STAITI STAIL	DATE	TIME	WATER	EVEL DATA CASING	REMARKS		
ΈO	F DRILL	RIG: Bk	81	4 05 P USA			DATE						
EDR	URDEN S	SAMPLI	NG MEIP	4.25" HSA IOD: Autoham	mer/continuou	ıs	-	<del> </del>					
CKI	DRILLING	METH	OD: Roller	IDIC				AMPI F	DESCRIP	TION			PID
,					RECOVER	Y	3.	71411 C-	, D2001				(ppm)
	BLOW /6*	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	(%)								
+	-70					-							
1						コ							
2						{							
					+								
3		+			1	$\exists$							
4					-	-							
		+-		-									
5		+-		est <sub>ill</sub>									
6	3			-	-								
	,		-										
7	<u> </u>			ann -									
	8				-								
	9	-	-										10-14
					89	@ 10'; sto	ne, concrete	, brick (t	oldg. demo f	ill); moist			
1	30		-		1								
	11 1			7W // W									
		-											
	12	-											
	13												14-17
			1/	4.0		@ 14'; as	above						
	14	00				<u>\$%</u> ↓							\
	15	<b>↓</b>				<u>*</u>							1
1	16	-+											
1	"												
- 1	17			7.5									
	18												l
- 1													1
- 1	19												
	20					Spoon re	fusal @ 17.5'	(initially);	auger refusa	@ 17.5'			
ļ			LEGEND	OON SOIL SAME	PLE								
		U-	UNDISTUR	RBED SOIL SAN	IPLE	1							
		С	- ROCK CO	RE SAMPLE				transitie	ons may be or	adual.			
	G	ENERAL 1	NOTES: ) Stratifica	tion Lines repr	esent approxi	mate boundary betweexposed soil in dispo	reen soil types sable sleeve,	immedia	tely following	retrieval from	boring.		
	1	2	√ αιΩ read	lings were take low ground su	an directly on a	exposed son in dispe					ORING # SB26A	λ	
	1		ugs = ue	arts per million									

m #	u Er	ngine	ers	PROJECT Orchard Whitney			ORING SB26 SHEET 1 OF IOB #: 4216-0 CHKD. BY:	1		
ONTRACTOR: N	1110			BORING LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE START DATE: 7/19/1	ELEVATIO	N:	DATU TF: 7/19/11	JM: N/A		
L PERSONNEL	ED			START DATE. THE		TIME	WATER LE	VEL DATA CASING	REMARKS	
YPE OF DRILL F	IG: Bk81			'	DATE	TIIVIE	WATER			
THE PARTY AND	ヽ TVDE・?" r	wc/4.25" HSA FTHOD: Autohai	mmer/continuous							
OCK DRILLING D	METHOD: R	ollerbit			S.	MPI F	DESCRIP	TION		PID
P T BLOW	NO. DEP	TH N-VALUE								(ppm)
Н /6"	(FT	.) /AQD(%)								
1				-						
2				]						
-				-						
3										
4				7						
				-						
5										
6		d all								
				A	Alberta market a regularit at a			a per annotat ( ) deve a securit di di di di di	2 4 4 4 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	A to the same of particle and
7	1-1-									
8							Concre	ete		
9	+									
"			20%				THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS O	and the season there is a description for the	particular and the second state date and	10-14'
10 1	11			medium brown	silt with n	nf grave	l; trace mf s	and; moist		
11 1	十二上									
12	+-+									
13										14-17
		14.0								
14 85			25% ↓							
15 🗸			<del>+</del>				tt lamos	•		
16				@ 16'; as ab	ove with o	mf grav	rei; wet iense	5		
										1
17		17.4								
18										\
19										on: likely a 2nd layer of
20		10		Spoon refusa	1 @ 17.4 au	iger to 1	7.5' (were mal	king headway	but C of R wanted to st	up, linely a zilu layor or
	<u>LEGEN</u> S- SPLIT	SPOON SOIL SAM	IPLE	concrete						
	U- UNDIS	TURBED SOIL SA CORE SAMPLE	MPLE							
GEN	FOAL MOTES			ate boundary between	soil types:	transition	ns may be gra	dual.		
	a) PID re	eadings were tak	en alrectly on exp	ate boundary between posed soil in disposabl	e sleeve, ir	nmediate	ely following re		ooring.	
	bas =	: below ground si	лтасе					ВО	RING # SB26B	
	ppm	= parts per millio	<u> </u>							

CONT	Civil and Er	vironment	2230 PE PENFIEL Paragon Robert	NFIELD ROAD D, NEW YORK	14526	PROJECT Orchard-Whitney ERF 415 Orchard Street at 354 Whitney Street, F BORING LOCATION GROUND SURFACE	nd Rochester, I SEE PLAN ELEVATIO	NY N N ON: N/A				72
JCL G	EOLOGIS	T:	LMS			START DATE: 9/26/	80	END DA	ATE: 9/26/0 WATER LEVEL			<u> </u>
CASII	OF DRILL	ND TY	PE:	Mobile Drill B- 4.25" HAS			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
	RBURDEN K DRILLIN			IOD: Split Spo Tri-cone bit (ro								
D E P	CONCENT	G WE	SAMPLE	DATA			SA	MPLE	DESCRIPTION	N		PID
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY							
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)	Concrete slab						
			1-2		50%	Medium brown SII	T little cr	nf sand	little c-f gravel.	trace clav. no	odor, moist at 2'	0.0
1	2	-	1-2		3073		, 01		,		•	1
2		1	2-4			Medium brown SI	LT, some	cmf san	id, trace gravel, r	noist, no odo	r	0.0
	1		Ш									
3												
	10	<u> </u>	1		000/			dos				0.0
4		┼	4-6	ļ	80%	Same as above-n	ioist, no o	uoi				"
1 5	27	+-	-		+	Medium brown to	arev SILT	. some	f gravel, firm, dry	, no odor		0.
`	47	+	<del> </del>		<del> </del>		J	•				
			6-8	1	80%							
	50/3											
1	7			7		_						
		_	0.10	-	80%	Same as above-v	with more	c-f arac	al maist			0.
'	15	+-	8-10		80%	Same as above-v	with inforc	u-i giao	ci, moist			
	9 27	+	+			7						
	38					$\exists$						
1			10-10.5	5	10%							
	14					Auger refusal at	10.5'					1
1	1 50/2	+										ļ
1.		-				-						
'	2	+		+								
1	3	+										
		$\top$										
1	4											1
- 1												l l
1	5			<del> </del>		_						1
1.		+	-	+								
1	16	+-		1		_						
.	17											
	18											
		+										
	19	-	+	<del></del>		$\dashv$						1
1				1	1							1

GENERAL NOTES:

LEGEND

S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE

U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE

1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL.

2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER

water bearing no significant vertical fractures

MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.

BORING # MW-11

Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, wet,

354 Whitney Street, Rochester, NY CHKD. BY: N/A  CONTRACTOR: Paragon  DRILLER: Robert  GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A DATUM: N/A  START DATE: 9/26/08 END DATE: 9/26/08  TYPE OF DRILL RIG: Mobile Drill B-59  CASING SIZE AND TYPE: 4.25" HAS  DVERBURDEN SAMPLING METHOD: Split Spoon  ROCK DRILLING METHOD: Tri-cone bit (rotary)  D  E  SAMPLE DATA  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	J				ENFIELD ROAD D. NEW YORK		PROJECT Orchard-Whitney ER 415 Orchard Street a	P #E82812	3	BORING MW-1 SHEET 1 OF	1		
BORINS CLOCATION: SEE PLATON: NIA   DATUM: NIA		Oran and L	IIVIIGIRIIGIRA	7 5111 122	D, NEW YORK	14320			NV	JOB#:	4216		
PRILLER   Robert   GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: NA	CONT	RACTOR	: F	Paragon			BORING LOCATION	SEE PLA	N -	CHKD. BY: N/A	<del></del>		
DECEMBER   DATE   9/28/08   SEND DATE   9/28/08   PASS			F	Robert						DATU	M· N/A		
NOC   POINT	ICL G	EOLOGIS	ST: L	MS									
DATE   TIME   WATER   CASING   REMARKS	D/DE												
August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   August   A						-59		DATE	TIME			REMARKS	
SAMPLE DATA   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIP					4.25" HAS								
SAMPLE DATA   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIPTION   SAMPLE DESCRIP	ROCK	DRILLIN	G METH	UD: IMG MEIL	Tri-cone bit (s	oon							
BLOW   NO.   DEPTH   N-VALUE   RECOVERY   (%)   Concrete slab			O MICTI	00.	TH-Colle Dit (1	otary)			<u> </u>	L			
BLOW   NO.   DEPTH   N-VALUE   RECOVERY   (%)   Concrete slab	E		;	SAMPLE	DATA								i
BLEGEND   NO   DEPTH   N-VALUE   RECOVERY   (%)   Concrete slab   Concrete s	Р						Ì	24	MADE E	DECCRIPTIO	N.		1
No	т	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	┥	37	IIVII- LE	DESCRIPTIO	IN		PID
1-2   50%   Medium brown SiLT, little crif sand, little c-f gravel, trace clay, no odor, moist at 2'   2   3   2-4   Medium brown SiLT, some cmf sand, trace gravel, moist, no odor   3   9   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	н	/6"	LI	(FT.)		I							
1						1	Concrete slab						
2 3 2-4	1[			1-2		50%		T. little on	nf sand	little c-f gravel	trace clay no	odor moiet et 21	
1						1	7		Junu,	o-i giavel,	nace clay, 110	oudi, muist at Z	0
1	2	3		2-4			Medium brown SII	T. some	mf ean	d trace gravel :	moiet no ode	,	J
10	Γ	1				1	7	, 001116 (	<b>3</b> 011	u, nace gravel, l	110101, 110 0001	ı	(
4 3.0	3						1						1
6							1						1
6   Medium brown to grey SiLT, some f gravel, firm, dry, no odor  5   27   47   8   80%   500   6-8   80%   5003   9   9   10   9   9   10   9   9   10   10	4	30		4-6		80%	Same as above-m	nist no or	for				1
47							T	0.01, 1.0 01					(
6 50 6-8 80% 50/3	5						Medium brown to	grev SILT	some	gravel firm do	, no odor		
Solid   Same as above-with more c-f gracel, moist   Same as above-with more c-f gracel, moist								groy Oilli	Jonne	graver, min, dry	7, 110 0001		(
Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solving   Solv	6			6-8		80%	7						ł
8		50/3					7						
15	7						7						
15	L						7						
15	8			8-10		80%	Same as above-w	ith more c	-f grace	I. moist			Ι,
38	L		-				7		9	,			(
10 49 10-10.5 10%  14	9												
14	-												
15 50/2	10		$\vdash$	10-10.5		10%							
12			$\vdash$				Auger refusal at 1	0.5'					
13	11	50/2					_						
13	4.		<del>                                     </del>				╛						
14	2		1				4						1
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE  Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing: 13.1'-water bearing: 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	4.2		$\vdash$			<del> </del>	4						l
15 16 17 18 19 20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE  Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	13		<del>                                     </del>				4						
15	14		-				4						:
16	<u>'</u> '}		<del>                                     </del>			<del> </del>	4						
16	15					<del> </del>	-						1
17 18 19 20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures			1 - 1			<del> </del>	-						
17 18 19 20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	16		<del>                                     </del>				-						
18 19 20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	1		1				-						
18 19 20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	17						-						
19 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE  Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	-		$\vdash$			-	+						[
19 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE  Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	18		<del>                                     </del>			<del></del>	$\dashv$						1
20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE  Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	1		<del>                                     </del>			<del> </del>	4						
20 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE  Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	19						$\dashv$						1
LEGEND  Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	1					<del>                                     </del>	+						[
LEGEND  Notes: 12'-very fractured, wet, water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; no significant vertical fractures	20					<u> </u>	7						ĺ
S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE water bearing; 13.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; 10.1'-water bearing; 14.1'-fractured, sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- very fracutured, water bearing; 10.1'-water bea			LEGEN	2		L.,	Notes: 12'-year fracture	ad watet-	s bos-i	42.41	4444		
		S-	- SPLIT S	POON SOII	L SAMPLE		water bearing; no signif	icant vertical	fractures	13.1 -water bearing;	14.1-Hactured, s	sediment, water bearing; 14.8'- ver	ry fracutured, wet,
0- GINDISTORBED SOIL SAMPLE		U-	- UNDIST	URBED SO	IL SAMPLE								

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL.
- 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.

BORING # MW-11

1	LU ENG Civil and E	INEER nvironmen	S 2230 P. tal PENFIE	ENFIELD ROAL LD, NEW YORK	14526	Orchard-Whitney ERF	nd	3	BORING MW-12 SHEET 1 OF JOB #:	1 4216		
DRIL	RACTOR ER: EOLOGIS		Paragon Robert RLF			354 Whitney Street, F BORING LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE START DATE: 9/26/0	SEE PLAI	N: N/A			<u> </u>	
TVDE	0= ===					OTAKT BATE. SIZOR	[	END DA	TE: 9/26/0 WATER LEVEL			
	OF DRILI NG SIZE A		DE.	Mobile Drill B- 4.25" HAS	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVER	BURDEN	SAMP	LING MET	4.25 FAS HOD: Split Spe	oon					- 1		
ROCI	DRILLIN	G MET	HOD:	Tri-cone bit (r						<del> </del>		
D E P			SAMPLI	E DATA				MDIE	DESCRIPTION			
T H	BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)		SA	IVIPLE	DESCRIPTION	1		PID
1						Concrete						<del></del>
'	3 20	-			500/	Fill material	_					
2	27				50%	Medium brown SIL	T, some o	mf sand	l, little c gravel, n	noist, no odo	г	0.0
	26				<del>                                     </del>	Medium brown cmf	SAND at	na SILT	little cmf gravel,	moist, no od	or	0.0
3	34				75%	1						
	45					1						
4	18					Same as above-we	t, no odo	r				
	4					]	•					0.0
5	14	-				]						
6	11 12	_			<b></b>	4_						
"	21	-	-		100%	Same as above-we	t, no odo	r				0.0
7	2				100%							
	37					1						
8	48				0%	No recovery						
1 1	47					]						0.0
9	50/3											
10		-				Auger refusal at 9.3	3'					
''		_	-			-						
11		_		-		-						
						1						
12						1						
13						]						
14		<del> </del>				1						
'"		-				4						
15			<del> </del>		<del> </del>	1						
					<del> </del>	1						
16						1						
						1						
17												
		<b>├</b> ─				]						
18		├	-		ļ	1						
19			<del> </del>	<del></del>		-						
		_				-						
20			<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		1						
		LEGEN	ID .		1	Notes: Cored rock to 13	.8'		·			
			SPOON SOI				50					
				DIL SAMPLE								
-	C- GENERAL		CORE SAME	PLE								
				LINES REPRESI	ENT APPROYIES	TE BOUNDARY BETWEE	N COU					
1	2)	VVAIE	K LEVEL KE	ADINGS HAVE I	BEEN MADE AT T	IMES AND UNCER COND	TR RADITIC	ATED EL	ICTUATIONS OF GO	RADUAL.		
		MAY O	CCUR OUE	TO OTHER FAC	TORS THAN THO	SE PRESENT AT THE T	IME MEASU	REMENT	S WERE MADE	CONDVIATER		
<u> </u>										BORING #	MW-12	

41	LUENC	MEED	C 2220 DI			PROJECT			BORING MW-13			
יעו	Civil and E	INEEK	S ZZJUPI N PENEIEI	ENFIELD ROAD .D, NEW YORK	) : 14526	Orchard-Whitney ERI 415 Orchard Street a		3	SHEET 1 OF	1		
	CIWII BIRI E	INTUINET	ISI FENTIEL	.D, NEW TORK	14920	354 Whitney Street, F		NIV.	JOB#:	4216		
CON	TRACTOR	:	Robert			BORING LOCATION	SEE DI AN	IN T	CHKD. BY: N/A			
DRIL	LER:		Robert			GROUND SURFACE			DATUM	I- NI/A		
JCL (	SEOLOGIS	ST:	LMS			START DATE: 9/29/	08	END DA				
									WATER LEVEL			
TYPE	OF DRIL	RIG:		Mobile Drill B	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
CASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE:	4.25" HAS								
BOCI	K DRILLIN	SAMP		IOD: Split Sp								
D	CONTENIA	GIVIET	nob:	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)	1	L.,,					
E			SAMPLE	ΕΠΑΤΑ							<del></del>	
P			OAWII EL	DAIA				MOLE	DECORIDEIO	-		1
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	-	SA	WIPLE	DESCRIPTION	1		PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							1
	6				1	Fill- Brick, coal slag	sand an	nd arave				
1 1	13					Medium brown red	SILT sor	ne c-f a	ravel little elav t	roon omfoon	d wastat	0.0
	15						0.21,00	110 0 1 g	raver, mile clay, t	iace citii saii	u, moist	0.0
2	23		0-2		60%	Same as above- w	ith more s	and an	t gravel moiet			
	19						iui illore a	anu an	graver, moist			0.0
3	18		il I	1.7		1						
	17		IIII			1						
4	32		0-4		40%	Medium brown SIL	T little on	avel tro	ce clay maint			
	13				1	1	, nue gr	∽ <b>₹</b> 01, 11 d	oo day, moist			0.0
5	50		4-5.5		50%	Medium brown SIL	T: trace o	ravel ti	nht wet			
						Auger refusal at 5.	5 fhas	raver, tr	giit, wet			0.0
6	14					Same as above	o ibgs					
	28	W. II	1									
7	50	-11	5.5-7.5	10 A	50%	1						
	11					Medium brown SIL	T and cm	f SAND	trace gravel tra	ce clay wot		
8	45					1	. · und on	. 0, 10	, trace graver, tra	ce clay, wet		0.0
	50/2		7.5-8.7		20%	Top of rock						
9												0.0
						1						1
10						7						
						1						
11						1						
						]						
12						]						
13												
						]						
14												
		L				]						
15		<del>  -</del>				_						
			ļ			_						
16		<u> </u>	ļ									
		<del> </del>	-									
17		ļ.,,				]						
l						1						-
18						_						
			<b>-</b>			_						
19				ļ		1						
1			<del> </del>		<del> </del>	1						
20		1505	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1							
1	_	LEGEN	_			Notes:						
			SPOON SOI									
			TURBED SC									
<b>-</b>	GENERAL		CORE SAME	PLE								
1				INES REDDES	SENT ADDDOVING	TE BOUNDARY SES:	EN 60" = "	DEC =-	Morrie			
	2	) WATE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE	BEEN MADE AT 1	TE BOUNDARY BETWEI	EN SUIL TYI DITIONS ST	PES, TRA	NOTIONS MAY BE O	RADUAL.		
		MAY C	CCUR DUE	TO OTHER FA	CTORS THAN THE	OSE PRESENT AT THE T	TIME MEASI	JREMENT	S WERE MADE	NOUNDWATER		
										BORING #	MW-13	

ONTRACTO			ENFIELD ROAL LD, NEW YORK		Orchard-Whitney ERI 415 Orchard Street a 354 Whitney Street, F	nd Rochester,	NY	BORING MW-14 SHEET 1 OF JOB #: CHKD. BY: N/A	1 4216		
RILLER: L GEOLOG		Robert GLA			BORING LOCATION GROUND SURFACE START DATE: 9/29/	ELEVATION		DATUM ATE: 9/29/0			
PE OF DRI	LL RIG		Mobile Drill B	-50		DATE		WATER LEVEL			
ASING SIZE	AND T	YPE:	4.25" HAS			DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
ERBURDE	N SAME	LING MET	HOD: Split Spe	oon				- 12	<del>                                     </del>		
OCK DRILLI	NG MET	THOD:	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)							
		SAMPLI	E DATA			67	MDIE	DESCRIPTION			
BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)			MIFLE	DESCRIPTION			PIC
	+				0-0.8'-Concrete sla						+
1-1-	-	<del> </del>			Medium brown SIL	T, some c	mf sand	d and cmf gravel,	moist, no od	lor	
2 1	+	+			-	_	_				
2	+-	+			Medium brown SIL	I, some o	mf grav	el, some cmf sar	nd, trace clay	, moist, no odor	
4					┪						
6					1						
14				75%	1						
8					Medium brown SIL	T and cm	SAND.	some cmf grave	l. trace clav.	moist no odor	
13	-				]			J	.,,,	molet, no eder	1
6 8	-	┼──			1						
7	+	-		50%	-l						
7	_				Medium brown cmf	SAND ar	nd SILT	some cmf grave	l, moist, no c	odor	
5	_	-		40%	-						
7				7078	-						
4					Dark brown Sli T s	ome c-m	sand li	tle cmf gravel lit	tla franct tra	ice clay, moist, no odor	
5					Julia Brown Oler, 8	one c-m	Sanu, II	me om graver, m	ue i sand, tra	ice clay, moist, no odor	
6					1						
4		ļ		10%	Red/orange/brown	cmf GRA	VEL and	d SILT, some cm	f sand, moist	, wet at 11.5' with petroleum	
4	<b>-</b>	-			sheen and odor				,	. South pour learn	
14 26		-			4						
50				<del> </del>	Firm and CU T and	CAND "					
36					Firm red SILT and	I SAND, II	ttie m-t	gravel, little c-m	sand, wet wit	h petroleum odor	
50	TV_				Loose cmf GRAVE	l and SII	T come	cmfeand eatur	atad natrala.	ım odor, slight sheen	
50						L and Oil	1, 001110	cini sanu, satui	ateu petroiet	im odor, siight sheen	
50/.4					]						1
50/.4					]						
	+	<del> </del>		ļ	Same as above-sa	turated					
	+	-			4						1
	+	+			-						
					1						ì
					1						
				1	1						
					]						
·		1			]						
	-	<del> </del>			1						
<u> </u>	LEGE	ND.									
		SPOON SOII	SAMPLE		Notes: screen (14-4'?);	sand 14-3'; b	entonite 2	!-3'; grount/cement 0-:	2'		
		STURBED SO									
		CORE SAME	_								
GENERA	LNOTES	5)			•			·			
	1) STRA	TIFICATION L	JNES REPRESI	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWEE	N SOIL TYP	ES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE G	RADUAL.		
	MAY (	CCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	BEEN MADE AT T	IMES AND UNDER COND	DITIONS ST	ATED, FL	UCTUATIONS OF GR	ROUNDWATER		
			, J J IER FAC	JONG THAN THE	OL FRESENTALIHET	ME MEASU	KEMENT	S WERE MADE	BORING#		

40	D ENG					PROJECT			BORING MW-15			
יעוו				ENFIELD ROAD		Orchard-Whitney ERI	P #E82812	3	SHEET 1 OF	1		
	Civil and E	rivironmen	tal PENFIEL	.D, NEW YORK	14526	415 Orchard Street a			JOB #:	4216		
CON	FRACTOR	:	Paragon			354 Whitney Street, F BORING LOCATION	Cochester,	NY	CHKD. BY: N/A			
DRIL			Robert			GROUND SURFACE	FI FVATIO	N NV N/A	DATUM	. NI/A		
JCL (	EOLOGIS	ST:	D. PECK (	City)		START DATE:		END D		. IN//A		
TVDE	OF DRIL	DIC.							WATER LEVEL	DATA		
CASI	NG SIZE	L KIG. AND TY		Mobile Drill B- 4.25" HAS	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVE	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING MET	10D: Split Spo	oon							
ROCI	C DRILLIN	G MET		Tri-cone bit (r				<del> </del>				
D										<u> </u>		
E			SAMPLE	DATA								
P	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH		T		SA	MPLE	DESCRIPTION			PID
1 #	/6"	140.	(FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY							"
			(1.1.)	//(QD(78)	(%)	6" Asphalt and con						_
1	4					Jo Asphalt and con	rete					(
	4					Brown reworked S	AND and	CII T III	M= ==== 1 (610)			
2	6		0-2'	8	40%	Brown reworked S/	AND and	SILI, IIT	tie gravel (fill), mo	ist		
	4					1						
3	4					1						
	5					1						
4	6		2-4'	9	50%							
	6					]						
5	. 8		-			]						
6	8 10											·
°	9		4-6'	16	30%							
7	15											9
	18											
8	14	_	6-8	33	20%	Brown CLAY and S	ILT, little	gravel (	fill)			
	3				2070	-						
9	9					1						
	8					]						
10	7		8-10	17	30%							1
1	2					Brown c-m SAND,	wet					c
11	1	-	<del>  </del>			4						ľ
12	1	_	10-12	2	400/	3						
-	4		10-12		40%							
13	30		1101									
	50/4		12-13.4		60%	Same as above- f S	SAND to		and for a			
14						Journa do above- 1 c	יאואט, נומי	ce siit, i	ock fragments			0
	17					1						
15	30					1						
	50/3		14-15.3			Same as above- Gr	ey f SAN	D. little	silt, trace rounded	t aravel (ala	cial till)	
16						Augar refusal at 15	.5'		,	graver (gra	Jar unj	°
17		<b>-</b>				4						
"H			<del>  </del>			1						1
18			<del>                                     </del>			1						1
						1						
19						1						
						1						
20						1						
		LEGEN	_			Notes:						
			SPOON SOIL									
			TURBED SOI									
<del></del>	C- GENERAL I		CORE SAMP	LE		<u> </u>						
`			IFICATION I	NES REDRESE	NT ADDDOVILAR	TE BOUNDARY SEE						
1	-,	****	CEAFFICE	DINGS HAVE D	CEN MADE AT I	TE BOUNDARY BETWEE	ITIONIC CT/	TED FLI	ICTUATIONS OF OR	ADUAL.		
		MAY O	CCUR DUE T	O OTHER FAC	TORS THAN THO	SE PRESENT AT THE TI	ME MEASH	REMENT	DUTUATIONS OF GRO SWERE MADE	DUNDWATER		
										BORING #	MW-15	

488						PROJECT			BORING MW-16			
				NFIELD ROAD		Orchard-Whitney ER		3	SHEET 1 OF	2		
	Civil and E	vironmen	al PENFIEL	D, NEW YORK	14526	415 Orchard Street a			JOB#:	4216		
CONT	RACTOR		Paragon			354 Whitney Street, F BORING LOCATION	CEE DI AN	NY	CHKD. BY: N/A			
DRILL			Ribert			GROUND SURFACE	SEE PLAN	741- AL/A	DATUM	. NIZA		
JCL G	EOLOGIS		D. PECK (	City)		START DATE: 9/30/		END D				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					L110 D1	WATER LEVEL			
	OF DRILL			Mobile Drill B	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
CASIN	IG SIZE A	ND TY	PE:	4.25" HAS				esi.				
DOCK	ROKOEN	SAMP	LING METH	IOD: Split Spo	oon							
D	DIVILLIA	3 IVIC I	HOD. TII-CO	one bit (rotary)	1		<u> </u>	L.,	<u> </u>			
E			SAMPLE	DATA								
Р			O, EL				94	MDLE	DESCRIPTION			
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1	O.A.	IVII LL	DESCRIPTION			PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							
										·		
1 1						]						
	1			1								
2			0-2		5%							
	3					Brown silty CLAY a	and GRAV	/EL, da	mp (fill)			0.0
3	4			1011								0.0
	6					_						
4	9		2-4	7	20%							0.0
_}	10					_						
5	12					1						
	9		4.0	0.4	400/	1						
6	7		4-6	21	10%	-						0.0
7	3					-						
'	3											
8	2		6-8	7	30%	-						
۱ ۱	4		0-0		30%	04115 /						
9	10				<u> </u>	c-m SAND, trace g	ravel, little	e clay, v	vet			0.0
	50					-{						
10	50/4		8-10	60	40%	Sama as abaus a		CANID				
"	36		0.10		4070	Same as above- g	rades to r	SAND				0.0
11	50					-						
	50/3		10-11.3		40%	Grey f SAND, little	rounded (	aravol (	rlacial till)			
12	THE W		П		3 11 11		rounded (	graver (	giaciai (iii)			0.0
	36				11	1						
13	50	Ш				1						
	50/4		12-13.4		50%	Same as above- G	rev SILT.	damp				
14						1						0.0
1 1	32											
15	50/3		14-14.8		40%							0.0
						_						0.0
16						_						
	36					_						l l
17	50/3	<del>  -</del>	16-16.8		40%	Same as above- tr	ace grave	I, damp				0.0
1		_	<u> </u>									
18	26		40.40.4									1
19	36 50		18-19.4									0.0
19	50/4	-				4						
20	30/4	-			-	4						
1 201		LEGEN	ID.		.L	Notes						
	9.		<u>ID</u> SPOON SOIL	SAMDIE		Notes:						
			TURBED SO									
			CORE SAME									
	GENERAL											
	1	STRAT	IFICATION L	INES REPRES	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWE	EN SOIL TYI	PES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE G	RADUAI		
1	2	WATE	R LEVEL REA	ADINGS HAVE	BEEN MADE AT 1	TIMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED, FI	UCTUATIONS OF GR	OUNDWATER		
		MAY O	CCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	CTORS THAN TH	OSE PRESENT AT THE 1	TIME MEASL	JREMENT	S WERE MADE			
1										BORING #	10144.40	

						PROJECT			BORING MW-16				
	LU ENG	INEER:	S 2230 PE	ENFIELD ROAL		Orchard-Whitney ERI		3	SHEET 2 OF	2			
<b>Y</b>				.D, NEW YORK		415 Orchard Street a		•	JOB #:	4216			
2211						354 Whitney Street, F	Rochester,	NY	CHKD. BY: N/A	.2.10			
DRILL	RACTOR:		Paragon			BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAN	V					
	EOLOGIS	т.	Robert D. PECK (	City		GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATIO						
-			D. FLOR	City)		START DATE: 9/30/	1	END D					
TYPE	OF DRILL	.RIG:		Mobile Drill B	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER LEVEL WATER	CASING	REMARKS		
CASII	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE:	4.25" HAS				1	TWITE I	CAGIIVG	KEWAKKS		
OVER	BURDEN DRILLING	SAMPI	LING METH	HOD: Split Sp	oon								
D	UKILLIN	3 IVIE I I	HOD:	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)	<u> </u>		<u></u>					
E			SAMPLE	E DATA									
P	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	MAZALUE	Lacation		SA	MPLE	DESCRIPTION				PID
#	/6"	NO.	(FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)	1						1	
	50/4		20-20.4		40%								
21						1							0.0
						]							
22	- 10					]						i	
	18 50/4		00 00 0			SAND and GRAVE	EL, little cla	ay, wet					0.0
23	50/4	-	22-22.9		30%	4						i	
24						4						1	
-	50/4		24-24.4		30%	-							
25					3070	Augar Refusal at 2	4 Q'						
						i lagar i koladar ar z	7.0						0
26						1							
27													
28						4						j	
'°		<u> </u>				4							
29						-						}	
					1	1							
30					<b> </b>	1							
						1							
31						]							
20						1							
32		-				4							
33													
					<del> </del>								
34						1							
35		_										1	
		-	<b> </b>			4						- 1	
36		-				4							
37		_	<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	-							
		_	<u> </u>			+							
38						†							
						1							
39						]						}	
			-			1						ļ	
40		LEGEN	L		<u> </u>								
1	9.		<u>ID</u> SPOON SOII	I SAMDIF		Notes:							
1			TURBED SO										
			CORE SAME										
	GENERAL	NOTES				1					<del></del>		
	1)	STRAT	IFICATION L	LINES REPRES	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWE	EN SOIL TY	PES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE G	RADUAL.			
	2)	WATE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE	BEEN MADE AT T	IMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED FI	LICTUATIONS OF GR	ROUNDWATER			
L			COOK DOE	OUNERFA	OTORO THAN IH	OSE PRESENT AT THE T	IME MEASL	JREMENT	IS WERE MADE.	DODING "	104/10		
									·	BORING #	MVV-16		

	LUENO	WEED		ENFIELD ROAD		PROJECT			BORING MW-17			
レリ						Orchard-Whitney ER	P #E82812	3	SHEET 1 OF	1		
	CIVII and E	nemonivi	a PENFIEI	LD, NEW YORK	14526	415 Orchard Street a		NIN/	JOB#:	4216		
CONT	RACTOR	:	Paragon			354 Whitney Street, F BORING LOCATION	Cochester,	NY	CHKD. BY: N/A			-
DRILL			Robert			GROUND SURFACE	: SEE PLAI	N N N N N	DATUM	. 11/4		
JCL C	EOLOGIS	ST:	D. PECK (	(City)		START DATE:	- CLEVAII	END D	DATUM	: N/A		
									WATER LEVEL	DATA		
	OF DRIL			Mobile Drill B-	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
CASII	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE:	4.25" HAS								
BOCK	DRILLIN	SAMP	LING METI	HOD: Split Spo	oon							
D 1	CONTLLIN	GIVIET	HOD.	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)							
E			SAMPLI	FDATA								
P			O) ((V)) E)	LDATA			C A	MOLE	DESCRIPTION			
Т	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	┥	34	IVIFLE	DESCRIPTION			PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							1
					<del></del>	Excavated to allow	well insta	llation t	hrough slab eimi	lar soil to Mi	N/ 16	
1[								.madoii (	in ough siab, sinn	iai soii to iviv	V-10	1
l i						1						
2						7						
						1						
3						7						
						7						1
4						1						
	4				15%	Red-brown cmf GF	RAVEL an	d SILT	little cmf sand lit	tle clay moir	t no odor	
5	4					1	<u></u>	u OILI,	into om sana, in	ue clay, mos	st, no odoi	
	6					1						1
6	7					1						
	8					similar soil to 7'						
7	12											
	14					Brown-grev cmf G	RAVEL (c	obble) i	ınderlain hy lense	of m sand	orange-brown, moist, no odor	
8	4					7	(-			or in dana,	orange-brown, moist, no odor	
	9					Brown loose SILT	and cmf G	RAVEL	little cmf sand. i	ittle clav we	t/saturated, no odor	
9	4					7			, o our.u, .	intro oray, we	boatarateu, no odoj	
	1											
10	10											
	50					Same as above						
11	50/4											1
12	14	-		11 11								
4.2	14	-										
13	37					Grey f SAND, little	silt, trace	rounde	d gravel, no odor,	, damp		
	50	├──				4						
14	50/4	-		87		-						}
4.5	13				ļ	4						
15	28 50	-			ļ	4						
16	50/2	-		70		4_						1
10	30/2	-	-	78		Same as above						
17		<del></del>				Augar refusal at 16	5'					7
''			<del> </del>	- 17		4						
18		├				4						
'°		<del> </del>				4						
19			<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	4						
'9		-	<del> </del>		-	4						
20		<del> </del>		ļ		4						
20		LEGEN	I.	L	l	<del> </del>						1
	6		IL SPOON SOI	II CAMPIT		Notes: screen 6-16'; sar	nd 4-16-'; ber	ntonite 2-4	; grout/cement 0-2;			
				DIL SAMPLE								
			CORE SAM									
	GENERAL			FLE								
				LINES REPRES	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWE	EN SOU TY	DEC TOA	NOTIONS MAY BE S	DAGUA		
	2	WATE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE	BEEN MADE AT 1	TIMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED EL	UCTUATIONS OF GE	RADUAL.		
		MAY 0	CCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	CTORS THAN THE	OSE PRESENT AT THE 1	TIME MEASL	JREMENT	S WERE MADE.	-SONDWATER		
L										BORING #	MW-17	

1	LUENC	INECD	C 2220 D	ENFIELD ROAD		PROJECT			BORING MW-18			
レ	Civil and Er			D, NEW YORK		Orchard-Whitney ER 415 Orchard Street a	P #E82812: and	3	SHEET 1 OF JOB #:	1		
						354 Whitney Street, I		NY	CHKD. BY: N/A	4216		
	RACTOR	:	Paragon			BORING LOCATION	: SEE PLAN	1				.4 [14
DRILL		- T	Robert	<b></b>		GROUND SURFACE		ON: N/A	DATUM	: N/A		
JOLG	EOLOGIS	) I :	D. PECK (	City)		START DATE: 10/1/	08	END DA				
TYPE	OF DRILL	RIG		Mobile Drill B-	-50		DATE	L TIME	WATER LEVEL			
CASI	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE:	4.25" HAS	-33		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVEF	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING METH	HOD: Split Spo	oon		<u> </u>			<del> </del>		
ROCI	CDRILLIN	G MET	HOD:	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)							
P												
E			SAMPLE	DATA								
P T	BLOW	NO.	L DEDTU I	NIVALUE		4	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPTION			PID
н	/6"	I NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY							
		_	(1.1.)	//(QD(76)	(%)	No recovery						
1	1				<del></del>	1 Tho recovery						
	1					1						
2	3		0.5-2	2	0%	1						
H	4					-						
3	8					Brown re-worked s	silty SAND	and GF	RAVEL little clay	/ <del>6</del> II\		
	10						,, O 1D	ana oi	OTVEE, IIIIe Gay	(1111)		0.
4	10		2-4'	18	30%							
	8											0.
5	13											
	12					]						
6	6		4-6'	25	50%							0.
	13											"
7	2				E 16 1							
	1	-	-									
8	1		6-8	3	40%	Yellow-brown CLA	Y, little sa	nd (fill)	wet			<b>.</b> 0.
ا ا	1	-			ļ	_						
9	1	-	-		ļ	4						
10	14	-	0.40		200/							
'	18	├	8-10	2	30%	Red-brown SAND	, little grav	el and c	lay			O.
11	40	-			<u> </u>	4						
	17	-				4						
12	50/2		10-11.7	57	30%	Crou CAND Areas	In					
-		_	10-11.7	- 57	3070	Grey SAND, trace Augar Refusal at 1		avei				0.
13					-	Augai Reiusai ai i	1.2					
						1						
14					<u> </u>	1						1
		$L^{-}$				1						
15						1						
						]						1
16						]						
		ļ										
17		<u> </u>	-			]						
		-	1			1						
18		+				1						
			-		ļ	4						1
19		-			<del> </del>	4						
20		+	-		<del> </del>	4						
20		LEGEN	ND T	L	<u> </u>	Notes con 44 5 45 5						
	s.		<u>ND</u> SPOON SOII	SAMPLE		Notes: core 11.2-16.2';	screen 6-16';	sand 4-10	5-'; bentonite 2-4'; grou	t/cement 0-2';lo	st 400 gallon water	
			STURBED SO									
L			CORE SAME									
	GENERAL	NOTES									·	
	1)	STRAT	TIFICATION L	LINES REPRES	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWE	EN SOIL TYP	PES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE G	RADUAL.		
	2)	) WATE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE	BEEN MADE AT T	IMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED FL	UCTUATIONS OF GR	OUNDWATER		
		MAYC	POCOR DUE	IO OTHER FAC	JIORS THAN THO	OSE PRESENT AT THE	TIME MEASU	REMENT	S WERE MADE.			
										BORING #	MW-18	

						PROJECT			BORING MW-19			
				ENFIELD ROAD		Orchard-Whitney ERI		3	SHEET 1 OF	1		
K				.D, NEW YORK		415 Orchard Street a	nd		JOB#:	4216		
0011						354 Whitney Street, F	Rochester,	NY	CHKD. BY: N/A			
	RACTOR	:	Paragon			BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAN	١				
DRILI	LEK: SEOLOGIS	ъ.	Robert	011.3		GROUND SURFACE				N/A		
JOL C	BEOLOGIS	01.	D. PECK (	City)		START DATE: 10/1/	08	END D				_
TYPE	OF DRILL	. RIG·		Mobile Drill B-	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER LEVEL			
	NG SIZE A			4.25" HAS	-05		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
OVER	RBURDEN	SAMP	LING METH	HOD: Split Spo	oon							
	K DRILLIN	G MET	HOD:	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)							
D			044401									
E			SAMPLE	± DATA								İ
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	I DECOVERY	-	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPTION			PID
н	/6"	100.	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)							1
			\(\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	71(QD(70)	(70)	-	<u> </u>					
1						-						
	8					SAND, SILT, and (	SRAVEL /	fill)				=
2	8		1-2		5%	John Colery and		mi <i>j</i>				0.
	7				7,7							
3	2					1						1
	1					1						
4			2-4	3	40%	Brown SILT, little s	and, trace	gravel	and clay (fill)			
	3					1	,	g	a o.a.y ()			0.
5						Same as above- lit	tle clay					
	4						•					0.
6			4-6	8								
1	3	_			= N							
7						Light brown m SAN	ND, damp					O.
	2					_						] "
8			6-8	4		4						
	12 37		-			4						1
9	50/4	-	004		100/	4_						
10		├	8-9.4		10%	Brown f SAND, littl	e silt, trac	e grave	l, damp			0.
'`	24	-	<del> </del>			-						
11	50/2		10-10.7		<del> </del>	-						ľ
''	- 00/2	_	10-10.7			-						ŀ
12					10%	Grey-brown f SAN	D and SII	T 4====				
					1070	Augar Refusal at 9		T, trace	graver (till)			0.
13						- Augus recusar at s						
						†						
14						1						
ŀ						1						
15						1						
						7						
16						]						
												1
17												1
						]						
18		<u> </u>		<u> </u>								
		<b>├</b>	-			_i						
19		ļ	<del>                                     </del>			_						
١		├-				-						
20	<u> </u>	LECE	UD.	L								
	\$	LEGE	SPOON SOI	I CAMPLE		Notes: Rollerbout to 15'	screen 5-15	; sand 4-	15'; bentonite 2-4'; grou	ut/cement 0-2';	500 gallons water used	
			STURBED SC									
			CORE SAM									
	GENERAL					<u> </u>						
				LINES REPRES	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWE	EN SOIL TY	PES TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE O	DADIIAI		
	2)	WATE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE	BEEN MADE AT 1	TIMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED FI	LICTUATIONS OF GR	OUNDWATER		
		MAYC	OCCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	CTORS THAN TH	OSE PRESENT AT THE T	TIME MEASL	REMEN	S WERE MADE.			
<u> </u>										BORING #	MW-19	

	LILENG	HNEER	S 2230 PI	ENFIELD ROAD	,	PROJECT Orchard-Whitney ERI		2	BORING MW-20			
<i>ا</i> ر				.D, NEW YORK		415 Orchard Street a		3	SHEET 1 OF JOB #:	1		
	Cross talle L	. Harri Os IIIIO I	Lai	,	74020	354 Whitney Street, F		NIV	CHKD. BY: N/A	4216		
ТИО	RACTOR	R:	Paragon			BORING LOCATION	SFF PLAI	N I	CHAD. BT. N/A			
RILL			Robert			GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATION	ON: N/A	DATUM:	N/A		
CL G	EOLOGIS	ST:	D. PECK (	City)		START DATE: 10/2/	08	END D				
									WATER LEVEL D			
	OF DRIL			Mobile Drill B-	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
	NG SIZE			4.25" HAS								
	DRILLIN	O MET		10D: Split Spo								
D	CDIVILLIN	G WET	HUD:	Tri-cone bit (re	otary)			<u> </u>				
E			SAMPLE	= DATA								
P			O/ UVII LE	- DATA		ļ	0.4	MOLE	DECODIDE			
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	-	SA	IVIPLE	DESCRIPTION			PID
н	/6"	''-'	(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							
П	20				(,,,	SAND, SILT, and 0	2DAVEL /	'fill\		·		
1	21						וטיייברו	,11117				
	18					┪						
2	2		0-2	39	50%	1						
ı	3				- 5576							0
3	2				<del>                                     </del>	Brown f SAND, dai	mn					
ı	18					Joiowi i SAND, dai	пр					
4	6		2-4	18	40%	-						
	4	-		10	7070	-						0
5	4	_				Brown CLAV and	2D 41 /E1					
Ì	4	1				Brown CLAY and (	JRAVEL,	aampw	et, slight petroleui	m odor		
6	4	1	4-6	16		-						
Ĭ	2	<del>                                     </del>	1 7 0	10		-						4
7	52					-						
	2	+				-						
8	17	1	6-8	7	60%	-						
Ĭ	23	+	0-0		00%	Same as above- w	et, petroie	eum od	or			101
9	34	-				-						
Ĭ	37	+	<u> </u>			4						
10	27	-	8-10		<del> </del>							i
"	34	+	0-10			Grey vf SAND, we	t					0
11	37	+				4						
	44	+			-	-						1
12	50/.2		12-Oct			-						
	007.2		12-001			Augar Refusal						
13						Augai Reiusai						
		+				-{						ľ
14						-						
			<del>                                     </del>			-						ļ
15		+ -	+			-{						
		1				-						
16		+	<del></del>		-	-						
		<del> </del>	<del> </del>			Ⅎ						
17		+-	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>	-						
"		+-	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	4						1
18		1	+		<del> </del>	4						1
۱°۱		+-	<del> </del>		-	-1						
19		+-	<del> </del>			4						
'3		+	+			4						1
ارد		+	<del></del>		-	_						- 1
20		LECE	1	L	<u> </u>	1						_
		LEGE		L CANCOL E		Notes: 275-gallon water	used; well	17-5'				
			SPOON SOI									
			STURBED SC									
_	GENERAL		CORE SAMI	LE		<u></u>						
				INES DEDDES	ENT ADDROVICE	TE BOUNDARY === :	EN 66" =	DEC :				
	2	) WATE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE	ERI MADE AT 1	TE BOUNDARY BETWE TIMES AND UNDER CON	EN SOIL TY	PES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE GR	RADUAL.		
	_	MAY	OCCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	CTORS THAN THE	OSE PRESENT AT THE T	IME MEAC	IREMEN	LUCTUATIONS OF GR	OUNDWATER		
_							INIT MICHOL	-USINIEW	S WERE MADE.	BORING #	14/4/20	
										IDOKING #	MVV-20	

411	LUENC	MEEDO	`			PROJECT			BORING MW-21			
$\cup$	LU ENG	NEEK	DENEIEI	ENFIELD ROAD .D, NEW YORK	44526	Orchard-Whitney ERI	P #E82812	3	SHEET 1 OF	1		
	Civil and En	wronmenta	B) PENFIEL	.D, NEW YORK	14526	415 Orchard Street a			JOB#:	4216		
CONT	RACTOR:		Paragon			354 Whitney Street, F BORING LOCATION:	CEE DI AL	NY	CHKD. BY: N/A			
DRILL			Robert			GROUND SURFACE	SEE PLAT	N Data alka	D.4.71.11.4			
	EOLOGIS		D. PECK (	City)		START DATE: 10/3/	LECANIII					
						OTAIN DATE: 10/0/	1	END DA	VATE: 10/3/08 WATER LEVEL	DATA		 
	OF DRILL			Mobile Drill B-	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
CASIN	IG SIZE A	ND TY	PE:	4.25" HAS			DATE	· · · · · ·	VVILIX	CASING	REWARKS	
OVER	BURDEN	SAMPL	ING METH	HOD: Split Spo	on					-		 
ROCK	DRILLING	METH	HOD:	Tri-cone bit (re	otary)					<del> </del>		
D									·			 
E			SAMPLE	E DATA		1						
P							SA	MPLE	DESCRIPTION			PID
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY							PID
H	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							
						No Samples 0-4'						
1 1						1						
1 [												
2												
1 [						1						
3						1						
1 1						-						
4					-		lance of					
1 1	1					Brown SILT, little c	lay and gi	ravel, da	amp			0.0
5	1			<del></del>		4						
Ιĭ	1					-						
	1		4.0		<u> </u>							
6			4-6	2	11							
J _	2											
7	3											
	5					Same as above- w	et, satura	ted				0.0
8	2		6-8	8								0.0
1 1	4					Same as above- pe	etroleum o	odor				
9	2					1 '						
	3					1						
10	10		8-10	5		Same as above						
	43					- Carrie as above						
11	50/4					1						
1 1						Grov f SAND and	211 T. Ann. o.		- 1 000 1			
12			10-10.9			Grey f SAND and S	oili, liad	e rounge	ed GRAVEL, dam	ıp		0.0
	30		10-10.5			-						
13	35					-						
"	50/3					-						
1 4	30/3		10 10 0			<b>-</b>  _						
14		$\vdash$	12-13.3			Same as above- S	ILT, t grav	/el				
l				ļ		1						
15						1						[
				ļ		1						
16												1
1 1						7						1
17						7						
1 [						1						
18						1						
						1						
19		_			<del></del>	1						
						-						1
20				<del></del>	<del> </del>	4						
-201		LEGEN	D	L	L	-						 <u></u>
			_	L CAMO: =							· · ·	
-			SPOON SOI									
				OIL SAMPLE								
<del></del>			CORE SAME	PLE		1						
	GENERAL 1)			INCO PERSON	CAUT A DO =							
1	1)	WATER	IFICATION (	ADMICS HAVE	ENI APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWEE	EN SOIL TYI	PES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE G	RADUAL.		
į .	2)	MAYO	COUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	DEEN MADE AT T	TIMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED, FL	UCTUATIONS OF GR	ROUNDWATER		
1		U	SOUR DUE	. O O I NEK FAL	LIORS IHAN IHO	OSE PRESENT AT THE T	IME MEASU	REMENT	S WERE MADE.			
										BORING #	MW-21	

						PROJECT			BORING MW-22				
				ENFIELD ROAD		Orchard-Whitney ER		3	SHEET 1 OF	1			
K	Civil and E	nvironmen	al PENFIEL	.D, NEW YORK	14526	415 Orchard Street a	nd		JOB#:	4216			
CONT	RACTOR		D		N 8	354 Whitney Street, I	Rochester,	NY	CHKD. BY: N/A				
DRILL			Paragon Robert			BORING LOCATION							
	EOLOGIS	ST:	D. PECK (	City)		GROUND SURFACE START DATE: 10/60							
				9.97		START DATE. 10/60	T T	END D	ATE: 10/6/08 WATER LEVEL [	DATA			
	OF DRIL			Mobile Drill B	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS		
CASII	NG SIZE A	ND TY	PE:	4.25" HAS				-	TWITEIT	CASING	KEWAKKS		
OVER	RBURDEN	SAMP		HOD: Split Spo									
D	DRILLIN	GMEI	HOD:	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)		<u>L</u>						
E			SAMPLE	ΕΠΑΤΑ									
P			O/MIII LL	- DATA			C 4	NADI E	DECORUDION				l
T	BLOW	NO.	DEPTH	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	-	SH	IVIPLE	DESCRIPTION				PID
Н	/6"		(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)								i
						Asphalt and stone				<del></del>			
1	7					No recovery							l
	2												
2	3	<u> </u>	0-2	9	0%	Brown m-f SAND,	damp						0
	3	<u> </u>				_							
3	3	-		1									
ا, ا	2		<u> </u>			4.							1
4	7		2-4	5	70%	Same as above-f S	SAND, littl	e silt, da	amp, wet				0
5	10					4							1
ا ا	10	├				-							l
6	10	<del> </del>	4-6	20	60%				_				
	15		4-0		80%	Same as above- 3	" gravel so	eam at	7'				0
7	12					-							
	10					-							
8			6-8	24	50%	Brown f SAND and	4 CH T 1844	0 101100	and many all all ares.			i	
	11					Joiowii i Onivo alic	Z OIL 1, IIII	e rounc	eu gravei, damp				0
9	50/4					1							
						1							
10			8-8.4		30%	Grey SILT, trace s	and and r	ounded	gravel damn				
	15								gravor, damp				0.
11	15					Auger refusal at 1	1'						
	50/4												
12		-	10-11.4		60%								
4.0		-				1							
13			-			4						l	
14						-							
'7		_	-			-							
15		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>	4							
					<del>                                     </del>	-							
16		1				-							
					<del>                                     </del>	┪						ĺ	
17		1				1						l	
						1						l	
18						1							
						1						l	
19						1							
						1						]	
20													
	_	LEGEN	_			Notes: core 11-16'; scre	en 4-16'; sa	nd 3-16',	pentonite 2-3'; grout/cer	ment 0-2'; used	200-gallons water		
1			SPOON SOII							. == 34	S		
			TURBED SO										
<del></del>	GENERAL		CORE SAME	LE									
				.INES REPRES	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWE	EN SOU TH	DEC 70-	NICITIONIC MANAGE				
	2)	) WATE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE	BEEN MADE AT 1	TIMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED FI	LICTUATIONS OF GR	KADUAL.			
1		MAY 0	CCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	CTORS THAN THE	OSE PRESENT AT THE 1	TIME MEASI	JREMENT	S WERE MADE.	CONDVIAICK			
										BORING #	MW-22		

### Orchard Whitney Soil Boring Depths

## Analytical Information

Boring MW-11 MW-12 MW-13 MW-14 MW-15 MW-16 MW-17 MW-18 MW-19 MW-20 MW-21 MW-20 TB-01 TB-02 TB-03 TB-04 TB-05	Depth to Bedrock 10.5 ft 9.3 ft 8.7 ft 15.5 ft 24.9 ft 16 ft 11.2 ft 9.5 ft 12 ft 13.3 ft 11 ft 7 ft 17 ft 10.6 ft 19.2 ft 10.7 ft	Highest P.I.D. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 101 0 7.7 0.2 0 0	Total SVOCs detected	Total Metals Detected
		_		
TB-06	13.4 ft	0		
TB-07	11 ft	0	2,400 ppb	
TB-19	18 ft	1.3		584 ppm- Chromium
TB-20	14.5 ft	0		

CONT	LU ENG Civil and E	nvironmen		ENFIELD ROAD LD, NEW YORK		PROJECT Orchard-Whitney ER 415 Orchard Street a 354 Whitney Street, I	P #E82812 nd Rochester,	NY	BORING TB-01 SHEET 1 OF JOB #: CHKD. BY: N/A	1 4216		* 50		
DRILL			Robert D. PECK (	City)		BORING LOCATION GROUND SURFACE START DATE: 9/23/	GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A DATUM: N/A START DATE: 9/23/08 END DATE: 9/23/08							
							Ī	CITE DI	WATER LEVEL					
	OF DRILL			Mobil Dill B-59	9		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS			
OVER	NG SIZE A	YT UN	PE:	4.25" HAS										
ROCK	DRILLIN	SAMP	LING ME []	HOD: Split Spo										
D	DIVILLIA	GIVIET	лор.	Tri-cone bit (n	otary)	1		<u></u>	<u> </u>					
E P			SAMPLI	E DATA			SAMPLE DESCRIPTION							
T H	BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)							PID		
1						-								
2						1								
3						1								
4	3 6				20%	Light brown SILT a	ınd f SANI	D, some	e m-f gravel, little	c-m sand, tra	ace clay, moist, no odor	ND		
5	8					}								
6	12 15	-	-		75%	OII <del></del> I								
7	12		-		/5%	Grey SILT and roc	k fragmen	ts, angi	ılar, satruated, no	odor		7.7		
				<del> </del>		Auger refusal at 7'								
8		-	<del> </del>			-								
1 1						1								
9						1								
						1								
10						1						1		
[						1						1		
11						1						1		
						1						1		
12				ŲŽ I		]								
13		_		- (		_								
ا ا						1						]		
14		-	-			4						1		
15		-	<del>                                     </del>			-						1		
		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del>                                     </del>	1								
16			<b></b>		<del>                                     </del>	1						1		
						1								
17						1								
[						1						[		
18						]								
						]								
19			<b></b>			1						1		
]		⊢-			ļ	1								
20		LEGEN	II.	L	L									
1	s-		SPOON SOI	L SAMPLE		Notes: 1)Refusal at 4,4	- moved bac	k slightiy	and re-drilled; 2)Refus	al at 3.5'- steel p	plate. Move south 3' and re-drilled.			
				OIL SAMPLE										
			CORE SAMI											
	GENERAL	NOTES	:		·	<u> </u>								
	1)	STRAT	IFICATION I	LINES REPRESI	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWEI	EN SOIL TYP	PES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE G	RADUAL				
	2)	WATE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE I	BEEN MADE AT 1	IMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED EL	LICTUATIONS OF GR	OUNDWATER				
		MAY C	CCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	TORS THAN THE	OSE PRESENT AT THE T	IME MEASU	REMENT	S WERE MADE:	_				
									<del></del>	BORING #	TB-01			

	Environmen		ENFIELD ROAD LD, NEW YORK		354 Whitney Street, R	nd lochester, l	3 NY		1 4216	1445 0 1 10 1 1						
LER: GEOLOGI					GROUND SURFACE START DATE: 9/23/0	ELEVATIO	I ON: N/A END DA		IM: N/A /08							
E OE DBII	LBIO			_				WATER LEVE								
E OF DRII ING SIZE		PF.	Mobil Dill B-59 4.25" HAS	9		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS						
RBURDEI	N SAMP	LING METI	HOD: Split Spo	oon												
K DRILLI	IG MET	HOD:	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)												
*		SAMPLE	E DATA			64	MDLE	DECODIDA								
BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION											
4 7	+			100%	Dark brown SILT ar	nd f SANE	), some	c-m sand, little	m-f gravel, m	oist, no odor	<del>                                     </del>					
9	+				-											
4	+															
4					Medium brown SIL	T and f SA	AND so	me c-m sand I	ittle omf gravo	I, trace clay, moist, no odor						
3		1.0						o o iii dana, i	itue om grave	i, trace clay, moist, no odor						
4	+-															
7	+				S											
6	<del>                                     </del>				Same as above						ļ					
8																
11																
8	-															
8	+				Same as above- sti	ff, no odo	r									
11					1											
1					Brown SILT and m-	f SAND, I	ittle cm1	gravel, little c	sand, little clav	, moist/wet, no odor	l					
3	-				4				,	,						
2	+				-											
3					Red brown m-f SAN	ND and SI	IT som	ne c sand trace	cmf graval c	aturated, compact, no odor						
2					]			io o dana, made	onii gravei, s	attifated, compact, no odor						
1																
1	+				Bod brown and CAL	NDI O										
2					Red brown cmf SAI	ND and S	ILI, son	ne cmt gravel,	saturated, loos	se, no odor						
5																
8	-				]											
9	+-				Medium brown cmf	SAND ar	d SILT,	some cmf grav	vel, trace clay,	stiff, drier with saturated zones,						
11					no odor											
12					1						1					
13	-			50%	]											
50	+				Same as above-loo	se, wet/s	aturated	, no odor			1					
	_	<del></del>			-											
					1											
	+				]											
	+	<b></b>		<u> </u>	4											
<u> </u>	LEGEN	ID	L	L	Notes: Setting well in an	on irden	101	10.01								
8		SPOON SOI	L SAMPLE		Notes: Setting well in ov	erurgen with	12' scree	n; btwn 18-6'; sand	6-4.5'; grout/ceme	ent						
		TURBED SO														
GENERAI		CORE SAME	PLE		1											
			INES DEDDES	CAIT ADDDOVIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWEE											

BORING # TB-02/MW-08

MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.

			_	_		PROJECT			BORING TB-03				
八				ENFIELD ROAD		Orchard-Whitney ER 415 Orchard Street a		3	SHEET 1 OF	1			
				, IUKN	.7020	354 Whitney Street, I		NY	JOB #: CHKD. BY: N/A	4216			
	RACTOR	:	Paragon			BORING LOCATION	SEE PLAI	N					- 11
RILLE	er: Eologis	ΣT:	Rpbert	0:4.3		GROUND SURFACE							
, , ,	_020010	21.	D. PECK (	City)		START DATE: 9/24/	08	END D					
	OF DRIL			Mobile Dill B-	59		DATE	TIME	WATER LEVEL WATER	CASING	REMARKS		
SIN	G SIZE A	ND TY	PE:	4.25" HAS					TWATER.	CAGING	KEWAKKS		
CK	DRILLIN	SAMP	LING METH	HOD: Split Spo Tri-cone bit (r	oon								
5		O NIL 1	1100.	THECOME DIE (I	otary)	T	<u> </u>	L	l				
≣			SAMPLE	E DATA									
-	DI CIT	1				_	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPTION				PID
	BLOW 6"	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE	RECOVERY								110
+	6		(F1.)	/RQD(%)	(%)	Brown SILT, trace		1 4.	(610)				
1	8					Light brown f SAN			(1111)				
	8					Light brown 1 OAM	D (IIII), UI y					i	0
2	10		0-2	16	50%								
L	5					]							
3	5					_						1	
A	6	-	- 2.4	- 44	201	<b>-</b>							
⁴├	5	-	2-4	11	0%	No recovery							
₅┝	3	-		<del></del>	<del></del>	4							
卜	3					-							
6	2		4-6	6	0%	No recovery							
	5				3,0	110.0007619						-	
7	5		7			7							
-	9												
8	14		6-8	14	30%	Brown f SAND, littl	le silt, trac	e clay, v	wet				0
9	6 32					-							_
* -	21		-			4							
10	15		8-10	53	40%	Proven & CAND 1544	la ala						
	46		10-10.6		50%	Brown f SAND, littl Auger refusal at 10	e day and	gravei	, wet				0
1	50/1					Trager relacat at 1	J. U					ļ	
L						1							
┸			11 11 11		Hall Hall	]							
$\bot$													
3		-				_							
₄┝		-				-							
Ť			<del>                                     </del>			-							
5			<b>——</b>			┪						1	
						†							
6						]							
		_											
7├						_							
。		-				4							
'*Ի		-				-							
19		-	-			-							
1						+							
0						†							
	-	LEGEN	-			Notes:							
			SPOON SOI										
			TURBED SO										
-	SENERAL		CORE SAME	YLE									
	1)	STRAT	IFICATION L	LINES REPRES	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWE	EN SOIL TYF	PES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE G	RADIJAI			
	2)	WAILE	R LEVEL RE	ADINGS HAVE	BEEN MADE AT 1	TIMES AND UNDER CON	DITIONS ST	ATED FI	UCTUATIONS OF GR	OUNDWATER			
		MAY O	CCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	CTORS THAN TH	OSE PRESENT AT THE 1	IME MEASL	REMENT	S WERE MADE				
										BORING #	TB-03		

LU EN Civil and	Environmer		NFIELD ROAD D, NEW YORK		PROJECT BORING TB-04/MW-09  Orchard-Whitney ERP #E828123 SHEET 1 OF 1  415 Orchard Street and JOB #: 4216  354 Whitney Street, Rochester, NY CHKD. BY: N/A  BORING LOCATION: SEE PLAN						
LER: GEOLOG	IST:	Robert D. PECK (C	City)		GROUND SURFACE START DATE: 9/24/0	ELEVATION		TE: 9/24			
OF DRI	L RIG:		Mobile Drill B-	59		DATE	TIME	WATER LEVE			
NG SIZE	AND TY	'PE: 4	4.25" HAS			DATE	THVIE	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
RBURDE K DRILLI	N SAMP	LING METH	OD: Split Spo								
DIVIEL	NO IVIL I	HOD.	Tri-cone bit (re	otary)		l	<u></u>				
		SAMPLE	DATA			SA	MPLF	DESCRIPTIO	N.		
BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)				-200111110			P
9	┼—				Concrete sidewalk						
15	+	<del>                                     </del>			Light brown f SAN	O (fill), dry					
17		0-2	24	40%	Brown f SAND and	SII T tra	ce aravi	71			1
22					Brown f SAND and SILT, trace gravel						
24	+-	1									
21 26	-	2-4	45	60%	-						
11	+	2-4	40	60%	1						
10					1						
16					1						
19	-	4-6	26	60%	Same as above						
42 21	+				-						i i
14					4						
14		6-8	35	50%	Same as above- w	et at 9'					
23						or ar o					
14	-				]						
4 2		8-10	18	500/	-						
2	+-	- 0-10	10	50%	-						1
13											
16											
20	-	10-12	29	60%	Grey brown f SANI	D, little silt	, wet (g	acial till)			
34		-			Come as about						ļ
50/4	_	12-13.4			Same as above						
					Light grey SILT, tra	ce f grave	el. drv. f	rm (till)			]
17	+							····,			
50 50.4		14-15.4		500/	1						
00.4	+-	14-15.4		50%	+						
12					-						
44											
50/3		16-17.3									
<b></b> -	+	18-18.6	<del></del>	70%	Same as above-we	et at 18.5'					
	+-	10.0		1070	1						
					Auger refusal at 19	.2'		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	1505	<u> </u>									
		<u>ND</u> SPOON SOIL STURBED SOI			Notes: water at 8,25'; B'	TC at 1530					
		CORE SAMP									
GENERA	LNOTES	:									
	1) STRAT	TIFICATION L	NES REPRESE	NT ADDROVINA	TE BOUNDARY BETWEE						

BORING # TB-04/MW-09

ann						PROJECT			BORING TB-05			
/ \				NFIELD ROAD		Orchard-Whitney ERP #E828123 SH			SHEET 1 OF	1		
Civil a	nd Enviror	mental	PENFIEL	D, NEW YORK	14526	415 Orchard Street a			JOB #:	4216		
ONTRACT	OR:	Ps	ragon			354 Whitney Street, F BORING LOCATION	Rochester, I	NY	CHKD. BY: N/A	S.		
RILLER:			bert			GROUND SURFACE			DATUA	. 11/4		
CL GEOLO	GIST:	LN				START DATE: 9/25/		END D				
								2110 01	WATER LEVEL			
YPE OF DE	RILLRI	G:		Mobile Drill B-	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS	
ASING SIZ	E AND	TYPE	:	4.25" HAS								
OCK DRIL	LING N	MPLIN	G METH	IOD: Split Spo								
D	LINGIV	EIAC	D.	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)							
E		S	AMPI F	DATA								
Р				. 5/1//			24	MDIE	DESCRIPTION			
T BLO	W N	O. [	EPTH I	N-VALUE	RECOVERY	1	3/		DESCRIPTION			PID
H /6"			(FT.)	/RQD(%)	(%)							
5			11			Top soil						<del> </del>
1 2						Medium brown SIL	T and cmt	SAND	with c-f GRAVFI	dry Innse	trace coal fragments at 1.0' (fill)	
10										,,,,	(iii)	)  (
2 5			0-2		70%	Medium brown SIL	T, some c	mf san	d, little gravel, mo	ist		
4												۱ '
3 4		_	111 1111									
3		$\perp$										
4 9			2-4		50%	Medium brown f SA	AND and S	SILT, lit	le c-f gravel, mois	st (till)		
24		-				1				•		1 '
5 30		-				_						
48 6 34			4.0		200/	1_						
22		-	4-6		60%	Same as above-co	mpacted					0
7 34		-										
40		-	_			-						
8 34		-	6-8		750/							
11		-	0-6		75%	Same as above-we	et at 9'					
9 27		-+-				-						
26		_				-{						Į.
10 25		_	8-10		60%	Sama aa ahaya -a	4m_44 -4	401				
50/			<del>- 10</del>		0078	Same as above-sa Auger refusal at 10		10', no	odor			_  0
11						Thuger relusar at 10	1.1					1
						1						
12			10-12		80%							
		1				1						
13						1						
						1						
14						1						
						}						
15												
						]						
16						*						
4-						_						1
17	-					1						
4.0		-				1						
18	+	_				4						
19		-		<del></del>		4						1
'*	-	-				-						
20						4						
1	I F	GEND		<del></del> -		Notes						
			OON SOIL	. SAMPLE		Notes:						
				IL SAMPLE								
			RE SAMP									
	RAL NOT	ES:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
GENER												
GENEF	1) ST	RATIFI	CATION L	INES REPRESI	ENT APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY BETWEE IMES AND UNDER CON	N SOIL TYP	ES, TRA	NSITIONS MAY BE GI	RADUAL.		

BORING # TB-05

PROJECT BORING TB-06/MW-10 LU ENGINEERS 2230 PENFIELD ROAD Orchard-Whitney ERP #E828123 SHEET 1 OF Civil and Environmental PENFIELD, NEW YORK 14526 415 Orchard Street and JOB #: 4216 354 Whitney Street, Rochester, NY CHKD. BY: N/A CONTRACTOR: Paragon BORING LOCATION: SEE PLAN DRILLER: Robert GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A DATUM: N/A JCL GEOLOGIST: RLF START DATE: 9/25/08 END DATE 9/25/08 WATER LEVEL DATA TYPE OF DRILL RIG: Mobile Drill B-59 DATE TIME WATER CASING REMARKS CASING SIZE AND TYPE: 4.25" HAS OVERBURDEN SAMPLING METHOD: Split Spoon ROCK DRILLING METHOD: Tri-cone bit (rotary) D Ε SAMPLE DATA Р SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID T BLOW NO. DEPTH N-VALUE RECOVERY Н /6" (FT.) /RQD(%) (%) Asphalt 30% Medium brown SILT, some f sand, trace f gravel, moist, no odor 0.0 2 3 Medium brown SILT, some cmf sand, little gravel, trace clay, moist, medium stiff, no odor 0.0 6 8 75% 15 22 Medium brown SILT and f SAND, little cmf gravel, little c-m sand, moist, no odor (till) 0.0 16 80% 17 26 Same as above-trace c sand, no odor 0.0 32 35 100% 36 27 Same as above-water at 9.5', no odor 0.0 4 90% 21 30 10 30 Same as above-saturated at 11.5', no odor 0.0 1 90% 18 26 25 Medium brown SILT and cmf SAND, trace gravel, saturated, no odor 0.0 28 60% 33 13 50.4 Auger refusal at 13.4' 15 16 17 18 19 20 LEGEND Notes: 14.6-14.8- highly fractured, iron stained, water bearing; 15.4'-two verticle fractures; 16.9'- water bearing S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES: 1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.

BORING # TB-06/MW-10

DRILL	Civil and E	nvironmen		ENFIELD ROAL LD, NEW YORK		Orchard-Whitney ER 415 Orchard Street a 354 Whitney Street, I BORING LOCATION GROUND SURFACE	PROJECT BORING TB-07 Orchard-Whitney ERP #E828123 415 Orchard Street and JOB #: 4216 354 Whitney Street, Rochester, NY CHKD. BY: N/A BORING LOCATION: SEE PLAN GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A DATUM: N/A								
			KLF			START DATE:		END DA	ATE: WATER LEVEL	DATA					
	OF DRILL NG SIZE A			Mobile Drill B	-59		DATE	TIME	WATER	CASING	REMARKS				
OVER	BURDEN	SAMP	LING METI	4.25" HAS HOD: Split Sp	oon										
ROCK	DRILLIN	G MET	HOD:	Tri-cone bit (r	otary)										
D E P			SAMPLE	E DATA			SA	MDLE	DESCRIPTION	•			-		
T H	BLOW /6"	NO.	DEPTH (FT.)	N-VALUE /RQD(%)	RECOVERY (%)		SAMPLE DESCRIPTION								
1					<del> </del>	Fill material, crushe	ed brick a	nd conc	rete, average size	- cmf gravel	, cobble				
						-									
2						]									
3		_		М	-	4									
						1									
4						]									
5						_									
		_				-							,		
6						-									
7	<u> </u>														
'						4									
8															
9						4									
10	9		<del>                                     </del>			Medium brown m-1	SAND an	d m-f a	raval little a sand	tenne = :14	-:-4				
"	12				25%		OF IND all	u III-i g	raver, fillie C Saffu.	trace siit, m	oist, no odor				
11	50/4					_									
12						-									
		- 11			1 1 1 1 1 1										
13													- Tr		
14															
15			<u> </u>			4									
16			<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	-									
						_									
17						4									
18						-									
[						j									
19			ļ												
20						-									
		LEGEN	_	·	J	Notes:							<u> </u>		
			SPOON SOII TURBED SC												
			CORE SAME												
	GENERAL	NOTES:				1			<del></del>						
	1) 2)	WATER	IFICATION L R LEVEL RE	LINES REPRESI ADINGS HAVF I	ENT APPROXIMA BEEN MADE AT T	TE BOUNDARY BETWEE IMES AND UNDER CON	EN SOIL TYP	ES, TRAI	NSITIONS MAY BE GR	RADUAL.					
	-,	MAY O	CCUR DUE	TO OTHER FAC	TORS THAN THE	OSE PRESENT AT THE T	IME MEASU	REMENT	OUTUATIONS OF GR S WERE MADE.::	OUNDWATER					
L										BORING#	TB-07				
											<del>_</del>				

Contractor: Paragon Robert Growth Street, Rochester, NY A216 CHKD. BY: N/A  BORING LOCATION: SEE PLAN  GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: N/A DATUM: N/A  START DATE: 10/3/08 END DATE: 10/3/08  IVPE OF DRILL RIG: Mobile Drill B-59  CASING SIZE AND TYPE: 4.25" HAS  DVERBURDEN SAMPLING METHOD: Split Spoon  GOCK DRILLING METHOD: Tri-cone bit (rotary)  D		LU ENG	INEER!	S 2230 PI	ENFIELD ROAL	)	Orchard-Whitney ERI				BORING TB-19					
SAMPLE   Paragram   SAMPLE							415 Orchard Street a	nd		SHEET 1 OF JOB #:	1 4216					
RELIEF   Rober   GROUND SUPPLICE ELEVATION: NA DATUM NA LICE RECOGNITY   STATE DATE: 19/2006   SEND DATE: 19/200	CONT	PACTOR		Dans			354 Whitney Street, F	Rochester,	NY		7210					
Description   Concrete   Concre							BORING LOCATION	DOUBLE COMPANY								
The Composition   Mode Drie B-5   Mode Drie	JÇL G	EOLOGIS	T:	, toboli												
DATE   TIME   WATER   CASING   REMARKS							017 II C 10707	<u> </u>	END DA		DATA					
Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decomposition   Decompositio	TYPE	OF DRILL	RIG:			-59		DATE	TIME	WATER		REMARKS				
SAMPLE DATA SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID  SAMPLE DATA SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID  SAMPLE DATA SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  STATE AND AND AND GENERAL SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION PID  Concrete  Concrete  STATE AND AND AND GRAVEL, dry, no odor  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  N  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	OVER	NG SIZE A	IYI UN. Samdi	PE:	4.25" HAS							112000				
SAMPLE DATA  SAMPLE DESCRIPTION  PID  ROW NO. DEPTH N-VALUE RECOVERY (%)  Concrete  Co	ROCK	DRILLING	3 METH													
P	D				···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·											
Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.   Fig.				SAMPLE	E DATA								•			
N		PI OW	NO	DEDTIL			]	SA	MPLE	DESCRIPTION	V			חומ		
Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concrete  Concre			NO.			1							'	טוי		
Concrete  Brown cmf SAND and GRAVEL, dry, no odor  12 ppm on augers Brown SILT and cmf SAND, some cmf gravel, dry, no odor  N  8				_ \(\(\frac{1}{1}\)	/(QD(70)	(70)										
SOL	1						1									
S							Concrete									
No.	2						]									
No.	Ţ	50/0														
12 ppm on augers   17	3	50/.2					Brown cmf SAND a	and GRAV	EL, dry	, no odor				NΓ		
12 ppm on augers Brown SILT and cmf SAND, some cmf gravel, dry, no odor  N  12 ppm on augers Brown SILT and cmf SAND, some cmf gravel, dry, no odor  N  10	4													142		
STATE   COURD DOES SAMPLE   C. ROOK CORE S	1				A.		12 nnm on augus									
9	5	17					Brown SII T and on	of SAND	eome c	mf around ==:	م مناح					
10							DIOWIT OILT AND CIT	III SAND,	some G	iiii gravei, gry, n	o ogor			NE		
10	6						1									
Brown SiLT and m-f SAND, little c SAND, wet, no odor  NI 10		5														
Brown SILT and m-f SAND, little c SAND, wet, no odor  NI 10	7					-11 -11-7										
Brown SILT and m-f SAND, little c SAND, wet, no odor  NI 10	8			200												
Brown SILT and m-f SAND, little c SAND, wet, no odor  NI 10	Ť															
10	9					-	1						ŀ			
10							1						,			
11 10 20 1 1 20 1 1 20 1 1 20 1 1 20 1 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1	10															
10	-						Brown SILT and m-	f SAND, I	ittle c S	AND wet no or	lor					
12	11						]	•			.01		1	ND		
SILT and f SAND, some c-m SAND, some cmf gravel, wet, compact  1.3 ppm  1.4	12															
14	12	10														
SILT and f SAND, some cmf gravel, wet, compact  13 ppm  16 38	13	11111														
SILT and f SAND, some cmf gravel, wet, compact  13 ppm  16 38				7												
SILT and f SAND, some c-m SAND, some cmf gravel, wet, compact  1.3 ppm  15	14															
SILT and f SAND, some c-m SAND, some cmf gravel, wet, compact  1.3 ppm  15	-		$\Box$				1									
1.3 ppm  15	15	- 4.4					]									
Auger refusal at 18'    18	1,						SILT and f SAND,	some c-m	SAND,	some cmf grave	el, wet, compa	act	130	nom		
17 48 Auger refusal at 18'  19 LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	'°}									_			[1.5 p]	Pitt		
Auger refusal at 18'  LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	17						1						1			
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	ı						1						1			
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	18						Auger refusal at 19	,								
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.							go. Tolusarat 10									
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	19						}						[			
LEGEND S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	<u>,</u>												1			
S- SPLIT SPOON SOIL SAMPLE U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	201		LEGEN			L										
U- UNDISTURBED SOIL SAMPLE  C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL.  2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER  MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.				_	SAMPLE		Notes;			<del></del>						
C- ROCK CORE SAMPLE  GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL.  2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER  MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.																
GENERAL NOTES:  1) STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN SOIL TYPES, TRANSITIONS MAY BE GRADUAL. 2) WATER LEVEL READINGS HAVE BEEN MADE AT TIMES AND UNDER CONDITIONS STATED, FLUCTUATIONS OF GROUNDWATER MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.																
MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	C	SENERAL N	NOTES:													
MAY OCCUR DUE TO OTHER FACTORS THAN THOSE PRESENT AT THE TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.		1)	STRATI	FICATION L	INES REPRESE	NT APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY BETWEE	N SOIL TYP	ES, TRAN	NSITIONS MAY BE (	SRADUAL.					
		-/	**/	LEVEL KEY	ADINGS HAVE B	SEEN MADE AT T	MES AND UNDER CONF	DITIONIS STA	TED EH	ICTUATIONS OF O	ROUNDWATER					
JBURING # TB-19					, O OTHER FAC	TORS THAN THU	OE PRESENT AT THE TI	ME MEASU	REMENT	S WERE MADE.	DODING #					
											IBOKING#	IB-19				

# Appendix 6 City of Rochester Developers Guide



## City of Rochester New York Developers Guide

### **INTRODUCTION:** The Development Process

Clean air, pure water, unpolluted land, accessible streets, and safe, sound and attractive buildings are among the expectations of the people of Rochester. Residents recognize that development and rehabilitation projects are both necessary and desirable. To meet these goals, the City encourages and assists prospective developers and enforces environmental, zoning and construction standards. This document describes permits required and review processes most frequently involved with major construction and rehabilitation projects in the City of Rochester. The document is organized by department and agency, with the permits and reviews each administers, listed and explained. The City has simplified its development review and approval process by creating a Centralized Permit Office located in Room 121B of City Hall. In this one location, a developer may apply for a variety of permits, thus reducing the number of offices to be visited.

Included in this document is a flowchart which graphically represents the overall review process from beginning to end. To expedite this process, all steps on the same horizontal level should be completed simultaneously. Referring to the chart, all areas (except STATE & COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS) make use of the Central Permit Office and applications for each step of the process may be obtained there. A department directory appears at the end of this document. You can use either the chart or the table of contents below to follow the development process with the City of Rochester.

For information on development possibilities, contact the Department of Economic Development (industrial) at (585) 428-6965 or the Bureau of Buildings and Zoning at (585) 428-6526.

## DEVELOPMENT PROCESS IN THE CITY OF ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

OPTIONAL DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE WITH BUREAU AND AGENCY REPRESENTATIVES										
APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF ZONING COMPLIANCE										
STATE & ENVIRONMEN Monroe Count Monroe County De NYS Department of Env	CITY ZONING AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS Division of Zoning									
ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE	OF ZONING COMPLIANCE									
BUILDI	NG AND CONSTRUCTION R	EVIEWS								
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Building Code Review Plumbing Code Review Electrical Permits Elevator Permits	FIRE DEPARTMENT Fire Safety Division									
ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMIT										
INSPECTION OF CONSTRUCTION & ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OFOCCUPANCY BY THE BUILDING INSPECTION DIVISION										

#### **DEVELOPER'S GUIDE TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introduction: The Development Process Flowchart

#### **ZONING AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS**

Bureau of Buildings and Zoning/Division of Zoning

Certificate of Zoning Compliance

Site Plan Review

**Zoning Variance** 

Rezoning (Zoning Map Amendment)

**Special Permits** 

Certificate of Appropriateness

Subdivisions

Official Map Amendments

**Environmental Assessment** 

Monroe County Pure Waters

Monroe County Department of Health

NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC)

NYS Department of Health

#### **BUILDING CONSTRUCTION**

Department of Environmental Services (DES)

**New Streets** 

Street Opening Permits

Stake Outs

**Excavation Permits** 

Other Permits

Department of Community Development, Plan Review and Inspection Division

**Building Permits** 

Plumbing Permits

**Electrical Permits** 

Fire Safety Permits

**Elevator Permits** 

**Demolition Permits** 

Certificate of Occupancy

#### ZONING AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS

## Department of Community Development Bureau of Buildings and Zoning/Division of Zoning Room 125B, City Hall (585) 428-7043

#### **Certificate of Zoning Compliance (Zoning Code: Section 120-189)**

Prior to applying for building permits, the developer submits plans and completes an application for a Certificate of Zoning Compliance (CZC). If the project complies with all zoning standards, the application is approved and the developer may then proceed with application for building and construction permits. If the application is denied, the developer may choose to revise the plans or pursue one or more of the following special processes: site plan review, variance, special permit, certificate of appropriateness, etc. Most of these processes would require the filing of an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF).

#### Site Plan Review (Zoning Code: Section 120-191D)

Site Plan Review is the examination of the design elements of development proposals to ensure that a project does not adversely affect the site or adjacent properties. It is also a vehicle to assist applicants by alerting them to any deficiencies which should be corrected prior to development. Most major projects are subject to this review. Typically, the process requires submission of detailed site plans, landscape plans, building elevations, an Environmental Assessment Form and possible other information about the project, as required by the Director of Zoning.

If a proposal requires site plan review as well as another zoning special process such as a variance, special permit or Certificate of Appropriateness, the site plan review process precedes the public process. The Director of Zoning must issue Preliminary Site Plan Findings and Notice of Environmental Determination prior to the application for the special process.

The preliminary findings identify zoning requirements, project deficiencies and recommended modifications. These findings will accompany the required special process application for the Boards/Commission's review. The Final Site Plan Decision will incorporate any Board/Commission conditions.

#### **Zoning Variance (Zoning Code, Section 120-195B)**

A variance is a procedure by which waivers of certain requirements of the Zoning Code are considered by the Zoning Board of Appeals. There are two types of variances: use variance and area variance.

The application should include floor plans, site plan, elevations and a copy of the preliminary site plan findings as issued by the Director of Zoning when site plan review is required. After plans and applications are submitted, the Zoning Board conducts a public hearing at which the applicant's attendance is required. The Board then votes to grant or deny the variance. A decision letter will be issued within ten (10) days of the Board's determination. Due to public notification requirements, the applicant should allow 6 - 8 weeks from the date the application is filed for the Board's decision. If the project requires site plan review, the applicant must wait for the Final Site Plan Approval letter issued by the Director of Zoning. The applicant must post a sign provided by the City, at least twenty (20) days prior to the meeting date.

#### Rezoning (Zoning Map Amendment) (Zoning Code: Section 120-190C)

This process involves a revision of an area's zoning classification and requires City Council approval.

After the application is submitted, the City Planning Commission holds a public informational meeting, at which the applicant's presence is required. The Commission then makes a recommendation to City Council. City Council conducts a public hearing and votes on the proposal to amend the Zoning Map. The applicant should allow 10-12 weeks for the entire process. The applicant must post a sign provided by the City, at least twenty (20) days prior to the meeting date.

#### Special Permits (Zoning Code: Section 120-192B)

For certain permissible uses which may have a special impact, the developer must obtain a special permit. A site plan review is required for every special permit application. The application typically includes site plans, floor plans, landscape plans, building elevations, an Environmental Assessment Form and a copy of the Preliminary Site Plan Findings issued by the Director of Zoning. After the plans and a completed application are submitted, the City Planning Commission conducts a public hearing which the applicant or designated representative must attend. Subsequent to the public hearing the Planning Commission makes a decision. A decision letter will be issued within one (1) week of the Planning Commission's determination. Due to the public notification requirements, the applicant should allow 6 - 8 weeks for the entire process. If the project requires site plan review, the applicant must wait for the Final Site Plan Approval letter issued by the Director of Zoning. The applicant must post a sign provided by the City, at least twenty (20) days prior to the meeting date.

#### Certificate of Appropriateness (Zoning Code: Section 120-194A)

If the project will involve exterior work on a Landmark or on property within a Preservation District, a Certificate of Appropriateness must be approved by the Rochester Preservation Board.

A typical application includes site plans, floor plans, landscape plans, building elevations, material samples, color charts, photographs and possibly a completed Environment Assessment Form. After submission of the plans and application, the Board holds a public hearing which the applicant or designated representative must attend. The Board usually makes its decisions within 4 - 5 weeks of the date the application is submitted unless the Board requests additional information pertaining to the application. If the project requires site plan review, the applicant must wait for the Final Site Plan Approval letter issued by the Director of Zoning. The applicant must post a sign provided by the City, at least twenty (20) days prior to the meeting date.

### Subdivisions (Land Subdivision Regulations - Chapter 128 of the Municipal Code)

Some projects which involve the conveyance of land or the use of more than one (1) lot, must be reviewed as a subdivision or resubdivision and be approved by either the City Planning Commission or the Director of Zoning. Site plan review is required for every subdivision application.

There are three types of subdivisions: exempt subdivision, subdivision and resubdivision.

**Exempt Subdivision** - A subdivision of fewer than five (5) lots with the Director of Zoning having approval authority. Lots must have street frontage and access to qualify.

**Resubdivision** - Revision of an existing filed plat (map) including subdivisions and minor transfer of land. A minor transfer of land is the procedure by which two (2) or more lots are combined or lot lines are altered such that it does not result in an increase in the number of lots.

**Subdivision** - Procedure by which one (1) or more lots is divided, thereby increasing the total number of lots. The City Planning Commission has approval authority of subdivisions of five (5) or more lots and other non-exempt subdivisions.

If the project creates one (1) or more new tax accounts or lots, the applicant must submit a subdivision or re-subdivision map (scaled to not less than two (2) inches equaling one (1) mile) prepared by a licensed surveyor. If five (5) or more lots are created, an Environmental Assessment Form must be submitted.

Certification of approval by the Monroe County Department of Health must also be submitted in the case of realty subdivisions created as defined pursuant to Article III of the Monroe County Sanitary Code. In order to receive approval by Monroe County Department of Health, an applicant must show methods of obtaining and furnishing adequate and satisfactory water supply and sewage facilities to the subdivision. The applicant must also supply information regarding the nature and condition of the soil to absorb sewage, the depth to ground water and bedrock, the topography of the land, and the arrangements for proper drainage and disposal of surface water. Applicants should contact the Monroe County Department of Health directly for a complete set of requirements for approval. Prepaid tax certificates from the County and City are required as part of the submission.

The applicant should allow 6 - 8 weeks following submittal of a complete subdivision application for the processing of a case requiring a hearing. If no hearing is necessary, a decision should be available in 1 - 3 weeks.

#### Official Map Amendment (Zoning Code: Section 115-37)

The Official Map is a subsidiary part of the Comprehensive Plan and indicates the location and width of >streets and the location of parks as laid out and adopted. An amendment to the Official Map may be initiated by filing a completed application with the Division of Zoning, which coordinates a review process involving several agencies, and schedules a City Planning Commission informational meeting. Typical examples of Official Map Amendments include street dedications and abandonments, right-of-way changes, street naming and dedication of city parks.

Amendments to the Official Map can be made only by City Council by the adoption of an ordinance after a Public Hearing. The City Planning Commission makes a recommendation to the City Council on all Official Map Amendment applications. The applicant should allow 10 -12 weeks for the entire process.

### Environmental Assessment (New York State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Act and Chapter 48 of the Municipal Code)

The decision making body (i.e. Director of Zoning, Zoning Board, Planning Commission, Preservation Board, etc.) has the responsibility for making determinations and administering the local environmental Code as well as SEQR Act of New York. Most projects require Environmental Review.

The first step is completion of an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) by the applicant. On the basis of the EAF, an environmental assessment is prepared: this is reviewed by the decision making body. If the decision making body determines that the project will not have a significant environmental impact, a Determination of Environmental non-significance is issued and the remaining project reviews continue (i.e. variance, special permit, Certificate of Appropriateness, etc.)

If the decision making body determines that the project may significantly and adversely affect the environment, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is required. The developer prepares and submits a "Draft EIS" following a Public Hearing, the "Final EIS" is prepared. This is used by the decision making body in making is final decision. The EIS process, if applicable, takes a minimum of 12 - 16 weeks.

### Monroe County Pure Waters 350 E. Henrietta Road (585) 274-7838

#### **Rochester Pure Waters District Permit**

If the proposed project will result in additional storm or sanitary discharge, new connections to sewers and all sanitary combination storm sewer extensions must be approved and a permit obtained from Pure Waters. Initially, one set of complete plans and forms are required, and shall include:

A site plan showing existing and proposed utilities and street sewers (minimum plan size 17" x 22");

Interior plumbing plans, including sizes of pipes for industrial and commercial projects;

Other drawings as required to describe the project.

All required forms as per requirement and any special pre-treatment (if applicable) for all privately constructed sewer in the Rochester Pure Waters District.

The applicant should allow 15 days for initial review of plans. Prior to final approval, four additional sets of plans shall be submitted. These will be stamped and two (2) sets will be returned to the applicant for distribution as the project is reviewed by the Bureau of Buildings and Zoning. The other two (2) sets will remain in Pure Waters files. (Rochester Pure Waters District will administer the sewer construction of the proposed extension.)

Permits will be issued to licensed plumbers when the following conditions have been met:

Applications for new connections have been approved by the Rochester Pure Waters District and a stamped copy of the drawing has been submitted to the Permit Office.

Submission of an acceptable certificate of insurance meeting the District's requirements.

Submittal of an acceptable \$5,000.00 plumbers permit bond meeting the District's requirements.

Payment of all applicable permit fees.

Permits shall be signed by the licensed plumber or his/her authorized designee. Sewer connection permits shall be in effect for a one year period commencing on the date of issuance.

### Monroe County Department of Health 111 Westfall Road (585) 274-6811

#### **Health Department Permits**

If the proposed project will include:

Food service establishments;

Temporary residences (children's camps and mass gatherings);

Sanitary or combined sewer extensions;

Water main extensions:

Realty subdivision;

On-site sewage disposal;

Public swimming pools;

Water supply-cross-connection protection;

Development on a former waste/fill site,

Tthe developer should contact the Division of Environmental Health of the Monroe County Department of Health. The Health Department reviews construction plans to ensure that minimum health standards are met.

In the case of subdivisions, water main extensions and sewer extensions, the Department acts on behalf of the State Departments of Health and Environmental Conservation as required by Part 5 of the State Sanitary Code and Health and Environmental Conservation Laws.

# New York State Departments of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and Health (NYSDOH)

The Bureau of Planning can usually inform the developer of NYSDEC or NYSDOH permits which may apply to the project. It is the developer's responsibility, however, to contact those agencies and apply for and receive the necessary permits. Application forms are available from any NYSDEC or NYSDOH office.

#### NYSDEC Permits6274 East Avon-Lima Road (585) 226-2466

Permits are required if the proposed project includes:

Sources of air contamination within the City boundary;
Disposal, storage and treatment of solid and hazardous waste;
Any work in a protected freshwater wetland;
Dredging and filling in protected rivers, creeks and lakes;
Transport of hazardous and non-hazardous wastes:

Transport of hazardous and non-hazardous wastes;

Pesticide application.

## New York State Department of Health Permits (NYSDOH) 42 S. Washington Street (585) 423-8070

Permits are required if the project includes:

Laboratory facilities; Health or medical facilities

As noted under the Monroe County Department of Health "Health Department Permits" section, certain NYSDEC permits and NYSDOH permits -- Realty Subdivision Approval, Water Supply Approval -- are obtained through the Monroe County Department of Health, which has been delegated authority to issue these permits by these agencies.

#### **BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION**

## Department of Environmental Services (DES)Permits OfficeRoom 121B, City Hall(585) 428-6848

New subdivision and re-subdivision applications require the review and approval of the City Engineer prior to any permits being issued.

**New Streets -** Any new subdivisions, including the construction of a new street, will require the following:

Submission of three (3) sets of professional licensed engineer stamped plans; New street permit;

Certificate of Liability and Worker's Compensation Insurance; Letter of Credit (amount to be determined by the City Engineer).

Upon final acceptance by the City Engineer, the applicant must submit a separate two (2) year Guarantee Bond or Letter of Credit in the amount of twenty-five (25) percent of the estimated cost of the public work; as determined by the City Engineer.

**Street Opening Permit -** If the project involves a sanitary/combination sewer, sewer or water service connection, an approved contractor must obtain all necessary street opening permits in conjunction with the utility service connection permits.

Connection permits may be obtained from:

Monroe County Pure Waters - Sewers - 274-8100 City of Rochester Water Bureau - Water Dispatch - 428-7500 D.E.S. Permit Office - Excavations - 428-6848

**Stake Outs - New York State Industrial Code Rule 53** The DES Permit Office maintains the Central Registry for the City of Rochester. The Central Registry is a master list of all operators or owners of underground facilities within the City. The City maintains this list in accordance with New York State Industrial Code Rule 53. All excavators are responsible for notifying all utility operators with facilities n the area to be excavated at least two (2) full working days before digging.

The Central Registry can be inspected at the DES Permit Office or a copy may be obtained for a nominal charge. The DES Permit Office is located at:

Department of Environmental Services Permit Office, Room 121B City Hall 30 Church Street Rochester, New York 14614

All operators of underground facilities in the area should be notified to request stake outs. Contractors should refer to the Central Registry listing. Their names and the areas where their facilities are located are listed in the Central Registry. Contractors can telephone UFPO at 1-800-962- 7962 to request a stake out from these major agencies:

City of Rochester Water Bureau
City of Rochester Street Lighting System
Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation
Rochester Telephone Corporation
Greater Rochester Cablevision
Monroe County Water Authority
Rochester District Heating
Monroe County Department of Transportation - Signal Division
Eastman Kodak Company
The University of Rochester

**Excavation Permits** The DES Permit Office will issue separate excavation permits in conjunction with Monroe County Pure Waters for any work within the City of Rochester right-of-way. The following conditions must be met to obtain a permit:

Submission of three (3) sets of stamped plans;

A minimum security deposit of \$1,000 in the form of a letter of credit, certified check or cash. The security deposit requirement may increase when determined to be appropriate by the City Engineer.

Certificate of Liability Insurance, Worker's Compensation and Disability Coverage naming the City of Rochester as additional insured.

The excavation permit fee.

**Other Permits** Permit applicants are responsible for obtaining all other required permits such as Monroe County Pure Waters, NYSDOT, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Railroads.

The Rochester Water Bureau requires Hydrant Use Permits be obtained by the permit holder prior to using any hydrant as a source of water supply. The permit requires the use of a water meter and backflow preventer. The Water Bureau will supply a hydrant wrench, water meter, meter setting and backflow preventer. These permits are available at the City of Rochester Water Bureau, Customer Service Office, 10 Felix Street, Rochester, New York. The telephone number is (585) 428-7506

#### Department of Community Development Bureau of Buildings and Zoning Plan Review and Inspection Division 125B, City Hall (585) 428-6526

**Building Permits** A building permit must be obtained before any plans to construct, reconstruct, add to, alter, remodel, demolish or change use of a structure may be carried out.

Prior to applying for a building permit, the developer shall have all necessary approvals from the Division of Zoning as well as Monroe County Department of Health, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and Rochester Pure Waters District. In addition, the permit will not be issued until required permits and approvals have been obtained from the City Plumbing Division, Department of Environmental Services and Fire Safety Division of the Fire Department.

The building permit application must be accompanied by:

Three sets of detailed construction plans if project cost is \$100,000 or more, (two (2) sets if under \$100,000), certified by a licensed engineer, architect or owner-designed;

One copy of a site plan approved by the Division of Zoning;

A current certificate of insurance detailing worker's compensation and disability coverage (naming the City as Certificate Holder).

Processing of completed applications usually occurs within fifteen (15) working days, but may be longer for major projects.

If the building permit application is denied, the developer may choose to revise the plans or pursue the process of appeal by submitting a petition to the New York State Board of Review. The applicant should allow a minimum of 12 weeks for a Board of Review Decision.

Plumbing Permits After obtaining all approvals from the Water Bureau, Engineering Bureau, and Pure Waters, a licensed plumber must obtain a permit from the City of Rochester Permit Office in order to perform interior and exterior plumbing work or site work. If the interior structure will be affected by the new plumbing the applicant shall submit one set of mechanical plumbing plans with the application. Connection permits must also be obtained from the Rochester Pure Waters District, City of Rochester Water Bureau and the City's Department of Environmental Services Engineering Permit Office prior to making any connections. Work performed will be inspected and approved by a City of Rochester Plumbing Inspector.

**Electrical Permits** If electrical work is required for the project, the developer must hire an electrician licensed by the City of Rochester.

Prior to the commencement of work, the licensed electrician is required to apply for an electrical permit from the City. Upon completion of the job and all necessary inspections from the City of Rochester Electrical Inspector, the electrician obtains a certificate of compliance. Work performed will be inspected and approved by a City of Rochester Electrical Inspector.

Fire Safety Permits The Fire Safety Division of the Fire Department reviews plans for construction of all new commercial and multiple dwelling structures, installation of fire alarm systems and fire suppression systems.

To expedite the review process, joint plan reviews are conducted by the Fire Safety Division and the Division of Buildings. Where potentially harmful conditions exist, the Fire Safety Division also reviews permits to maintain, change use of, or remodel a structure.

**Elevator Permits** Prior to the installation or modification of any conveyance, an elevator permit must be obtained from the City. Applications must be applied for by a licensed installer or maintenance company. Inspections are performed by a licensed inspection agency. Plans and specifications must accompany the application.

**Demolition Permits** Prior to the razing, disassembly or removal of any structure, essential element of any structure or the removal of any debris, a permit shall be obtained from the Permit Office.

The permit application must be accompanied by:

Site plan or tape location map.

Building material disposal plan.

Photographs of all exterior elevations.

Environmental Assessment Form.

Certificate of Worker's Compensation specifically stating that demolition work is covered

Certificate of rodent control.

Performance Guarantee.

Proposal for site development.

Approved safe school route and pedestrian access plan.

Construction photos of any pre-existing damage to the public right-of-way.

Maintenance and Protection of Traffic plan when work will obstruct the right-of-way.

Certificate of Occupancy (Zoning Code: Section 120 and Building Code: Chapter 39, Section 214-219) Once construction has been completed, the developer must obtain a Certificate of Occupancy. This procedure involves:

A written application, filed at the time of permit application;

An inspection of the property by the Building Construction Inspector;

Final electrical, plumbing and/or elevator inspection approvals;

Fire safety approval.


Following the inspection, the applicant should allow 10 days to receive the Certificate

#### **DIRECTORY**

- City Hall 30 Church Street Rochester, New York 14614
- Bureau of Buildings and Zoning Permit Office, Department of Community Development Room 121-B, City Hall (585) 428-6526
- Bureau of Buildings and Zoning Division of Zoning, Department of Community Development Room 125-B, City Hall (585) 428-7043
- Bureau of Buildings and Zoning Plan Review and Inspection Division,
   Department of Community Development Room 125-B, City Hall (585) 428-6561
- Bureau of City Planning Department of Community Development Room 010-A, City Hall (585) 428-6924
- Department of Environmental Services Permit Office Room 121-B, City Hall (585) 428-6848
- Department of Environmental Services Water Bureau10 Felix Street Rochester, New York 14613 (585) 428-7567
- Department of Economic Development Room 005-A, City Hall (585) 428-6808
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) 6274
   East Avon-Lima Road Avon, New York 14414 (585) 226-2466
- New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) 42 S. Washington Street Rochester, New York 14608 (585) 423-8070
- Monroe County Department of Health Division of Environmental Health111
   Westfall Road Rochester, New York 14692 (585) 274-6811
- Monroe County Pure Waters Permit Office 350 E. Henrietta Road Building 15 Rochester, New York 14620 (585) 753-7600
- Rochester Pure Waters District Office of Development Review 350 E. Henrietta Road Rochester, New York 14620 (585) 753-7600

### Appendix 7 Site Survey





CONSERVATION, DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION. SITE CONTROL SECTION, 625 BROADWAY, ALBANY, NEW YORK, 12233 OR AT derweb@gw.dec.state.ny.us".

WE, JOSEPH C. LU ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYING, P.C. CERTIFY THAT THIS SURVEY MAP WAS PREPARED ON JUNE 21, 2013 FROM NOTES OF A SURVEY COMPLETED ON JULY 3, 2013.

1.) PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ACTING THROUGH ITS COMMISSIONER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

DANIEL J. MACDONALD. N.Y.S., P.L.S. 050813

#### PARCEL DESCRIPTION:

#### PARCEL A-1

ALSO "ENVIRONMENTAL EASEMENT DESCRIPTION" FOR DEC

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND SITUATE IN THE CITY OF ROCHESTER, COUNTY OF MONROE, STATE OF NEW YORK, BEING PART OF TOWN LOT 62, 20,000 ACRE TRACT, TOWNSHIP, SHORT RANGE, AND MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE WESTERLY BOUNDS OF ORCHARD STREET (60.00 FEET WIDE) AND THE NORTHERLY BOUNDS OF LANDS NOW OR FORMERLY BELONGING TO NEW YORK CENTRAL LINES, LLC. AS RECORDED IN LIBER 9214 OF DEEDS AT PAGE 520; THENCE WESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY BOUNDS AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 89'53'50" WITH THE SAID WESTERLY BOUNDS A DISTANCE OF 42.49 FEET TO A POINT, THENCE NORTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 89'49'35" A DISTANCE OF 28:18 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE WESTERLY AND HAVING AN ANCLE TO THE RIGHT OF 89'43'50" A DISTANCE OF 118:06 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING: THENCE

- CONTINUING ALONG SAID WESTERLY DIRECTION A
   DISTANCE OF 63.65 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE
- 2) NORTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 90'00'00" A DISTANCE OF 22.57 FEET TO A POINT
- 3) EASTERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 90"03'00" A DISTANCE OF 3.16 FEET TO A POINT.
- 4) SOUTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 90"00"00" A DISTANCE OF 2.00 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE

  5) EASTERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE RIGHT OF
- 90"03"00" A DISTANCE OF 26.08 FEET TO A POINT THENCE

  6) EASTERLY ALONG A CURVE TO THE RIGHT, SAD CURVE
- HAVING A RADIUS OF 7.44 FEET AND A ARC LENGTH OF 18.23 FEET TO A POINT, SAID POINT BEING 14.00 FELT FROM THE EXTENSION OF COURSE S: THENCE
- 7) THENCE EASTERLY AND CONTINUING ALONG THE EXTENSION OF COURSE 5 A DISTANCE OF 20.43 FLET TO TO A POINT; THENCE
- 8) SOUTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 90'00'00" A DISTANCE OF 20.58 FEET TO THE POINT DEGINNING. THE LAST COURSE MAKING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT WITH COURSE FIRST COURSE OF 90'00'00"

#### PARCEL B-1

ALSO "ENVIRONMENTAL EASEMENT DESCRIPTION" FOR DEC SITE #E828123

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND SITUATE IN THE CITY OF ROCHESTER. COUNTY OF MONROE, STATE OF NEW YORK, BEING PART OF TOWN LOT 62, 20,000 ACRE TRACT, TOWNSHIP 1, SHORT RANGE, AND MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ALONG THE WESTERLY BOUNDS OF ORCHARD STREET (60.00 FELT WIDE) SAID POINT BEING 500.64 FROM THE INTERSECTION OF SAID WESTERLY BOUND AND THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDS OF LYELL AVENUE (GE.OD FILET WIDE), SAID POINT ALSO BEING THE INTERSECTION OF THE SAID WESTERLY BOUNDS AND THE NORTHERLY BOUNDS OF LANDS NOW OR FORMERLY BELONGING TO NEW YORK CENTRAL LINES, LLC. AS RECORDED IN LIBER 9214 OF DEEDS AT PAGE 520: THENCE

- 1) WESTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY BOUNDS AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 89'53'50" A DISTANCE OF 42 49 FEET TO A POINT: THENCE
- 2) NORTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 89"49"35" A DISTANCE OF 28.18 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE

  3) WESTERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE RIGHT OF
- 89°43'50" A DISTANCE OF 118.06 FEET TO A POINT, THENCE
- 4) NORTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 90'00'00" A DISTANCE OF 20.58 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE 5) WESTERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE RIGHT OF
- 90'00'00" A DISTANCE OF 20.43 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE
- 6) WESTERLY ALONG A CURVE TO THE LEFT, SAID CURVE HAMMS A RADUS OF 7.44 FEET AND A ARC LENGTH OF 18.23 FEET TO A POINT, SAID POINT BEING 14.00 FEET FROM THE EXTENSION OF COURSE 5, THEINCE
- 7) THENCE WESTERLY AND CONTINUING ALONG THE EXTENSION OF COURSE 5 A DISTANCE OF 26.08 FEET TO TO A POINT: THENCE
- NORTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 90'03'00" A DISTANCE OF 2.00 FEET TO A POINT, 9) EASTERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF
- 90'00'00" A DISTANCE OF 12.89 FEET TO A POINT. THENCE
- 10) NORTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE RIGHT OF 90'00'00" A DISTANCE OF 62.28 FEET TO A POINT
- 11) FASTERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 90'00'00" A DISTANCE OF 208.06 FEET TO A POINT ALONG THE WESTERLY BOUNDS OF AFORESAID ORCHARD STREET: THENCE
- 12) SOUTHERLY AND HAVING AN ANGLE TO THE LEFT OF 89'57'21" A DISTANCE OF 113.18 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING



#### DRAWING ALTERATION

DATE		
Li	1	

175 Sullys Trail, Suite 202

Pittsford, New York 14534 (585) 385-7417 Fax: (585) 385-3741 luengineers.com

415 ORCHARD STREET 354 WHITNEY STREET FRP STF # CITY OF ROCHESTER. COUNTY OF MONROE STATE OF NEW YORK

CITY OF ROCHESTER ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

#### **RE-SUBDIVISION** MAP **LOTS A&B**

SCALE 1" = 40 DESIGNED BY GA RAWN BY DJM DATE 6-26-2013 ROJECT No. 4216 ECKED BY GA DRAWING No. SHEET

1 OF 1

SU-1