City of Rochester Lead Ordinance Years 1-12 (7/1/06 – 6/30/18) August 28, 2018

Table 1. Interior inspections for deteriorated	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
paint (visual inspections).	7/1/06-	7/1/07-	7/1/08-	7/1/09-	7/1/10-	7/1/11 -
	6/30/07	6/30/08	6/30/09	6/30/10	6/30/11	6/30/12
Units inspected for deteriorated interior paint	16449	11607	13355	16766	14656	14048
Number (%) units failing deteriorated interior						
paint inspection	958	1380	699	684	733	711
	(6%)	(12%)	(5%)	(4%)	(5%)	(5%)
Number (%) units passing interior paint inspection	15491 (94%)	10227 (88%)	12656 (95%)	16082 (96%)	13923 (95%)	13337 (95%)

Table 2. Dust wipe tests in units passing visual inspections in the designated high risk area.	Year 1 7/1/06- 6/30/07	Year 2 7/1/07- 6/30/08	Year 3 7/1/08- 6/30/09	Year 4 7/1/09- 6/30/10	Year 5 7/1/10- 6/30/11	Year 6 7/1/11 – 6/30/12
Units referred for dust wipe test*						
-	3850	5778	5320	5607	5068	3033
Number (%) referred units that received dust	2850	4606	4654	4940	4785	3071
wipe test**	(74%)	(80%)	(87%)	(88%)	(94%)	(101%)
Number (0/) of units massing dust wine test	2420	3936	4242	4518	4354	2691
Number (%) of units passing dust wipe test	(85%)	(85%)	(91%)	(91%)	(91%)	(88%)
Number of units cleared (outstanding) after failing dust wipe test	427(3)	668(2)	410(2)	416(6)	430(1)	379(1)

<sup>\*</sup> Units located in "high risk areas" that pass an interior inspection for deteriorated paint were referred for dust wipe testing.

\*\* Note that units *referred* in one year may not be *tested* until following year

Table 3. Inspections for exterior lead hazards	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
(deteriorated paint or bare soil)	7/1/06-	7/1/07-	7/1/08-	7/1/09-	7/1/10-	7/1/11 –
	6/30/07	6/30/08	6/30/09	6/30/10	6/30/11	6/30/12
Buildings inspected for exterior lead hazards	10548	10619	8612	11110	8684	7599
Number (%) of buildings passing exterior lead hazards inspection	8588	9391	7339	9934	7339	6515
	(81%)	(88%)	(85%)	(89%)	(85%)	(86%)

City of Rochester Lead Ordinance Years 1-12 (7/1/06 – 6/30/18)

August 28, 2018

Table 1. Interior inspections for deteriorated	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	
paint (visual inspections).	7/1/12 -	7/1/13 —	7/1/14 —	7/1/15 —	7/1/16 –	7/1/17 —	TOTAL
	6/30/13	6/30/14	6/30/15	6/30/16	6/30/17	6/30/18	
Units inspected for deteriorated interior paint							
Omis inspected for deteriorated interior paint	13485	15268	13838	12002	12541	12891	166906
Number (%) units failing deteriorated interior paint							
inspection	405	235	380	552	732	1126	8595
	(3%)	(2%)	(3%)	(5%)	(6%)	(9%)	(5%)
Number (%) units passing interior paint inspection	13080	15033	13458	11450	11809	11765	158311
	(97%)	(98%)	(97%)	(95%)	(94%)	(91%)	(95%)

Table 2. Dust wipe tests in units passing visual inspections in the designated high risk area.	Year 7 7/1/12 – 6/30/13	Year 8 7/1/13 – 6/30/14	Year 9 7/1/14 – 6/30/15	Year 10 7/1/15 – 6/30/16	Year 11 7/1/16 – 6/30/17	Year 12 7/1/17 – 6/30/18	TOTAL
Units referred for dust wipe test*	2554	2637	2899	3066	3097	2958	45867
Number (%) referred units that received dust wipe test**	2061 (81%)	2363 (90%)	2794 (96%)	2620 (86%)	2842 (92%)	2670 (90%)	40256 (88%)
Number (%) of units passing dust wipe test	1834 (89%)	2153 (91%)	2560 (92%)	2388 (91%)	2597 (91%)	2422 (91%)	36115 (90%)
Number of units cleared (outstanding) after failing dust wipe test	224(3)	207(3)	234(0)	229(3)	234(11)	207(41)	4065(76)

<sup>\*</sup> Units located in "high risk areas" that pass an interior inspection for deteriorated paint were referred for dust wipe testing.

\*\* Note that units *referred* in one year may not be *tested* until following year

- 10 to 12 to 12 to 10 t		0,5					
Table 3. Inspections for exterior lead hazards	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	
(deteriorated paint or bare soil)	7/1/12 -	7/1/13 —	7/1/14 -	7/1/15 -	7/1/16 –	7/1/17 —	TOTAL
	6/30/13	6/30/14	6/30/15	6/30/16	6/30/17	6/30/18	
Buildings inspected for exterior lead hazards	8450	7912	8124	8277	9228	9508	108671
Number (%) of buildings passing exterior lead	7409	7032	7254	6963	7587	7578	92929
hazards inspection	(88%)	(89%)	(89%)	(84%)	(82%)	(80%)	(86%)