## Appendix A: Study Area Maps and Plans





EXHIBIT 1.1(2)
MOUNT READ BOULEVARD CORRIDOR STUDY AREA
NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road
August 2013


EXHIBIT 1.1(2)
MOUNT READ BOULEVARD CORRIDOR STUDY AREA
NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road
August 2013
Bergmann highlandplanning
NORTH - Wheatland Street to Stone Road
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## CITY OF ROCHESTER

Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road


CITY OF ROCHESTER
Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road





## CITY OF ROCHESTER

Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road

| Exhibit 2.5 <br> Corridor Land Uses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SHEET NO. } \\ 1 \text { of } \mathbf{1} \end{array}$ | N.T.S. | DATE 8/13 | PBergmannn |

## Appendix B: Traffic Information

## Traffic Count Hourly Report

| ROUTE \#: 940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | FROM: JAY ST | TO: JCT RT 31 | COUNTY: | Monroe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIRECTION: Northbound | FACTOR GROUP: 30 | REC. SERIAL \#: 0032 | FUNC. CLASS: 14 | CITY: | ROCHESTER |
| STATE DIR CODE: 1 | WK OF YR: 47 | PLACEMENT: 600 FT N OF JAY ST | NHS: yes | LION\#: |  |
| DATE OF COUNT: 11/15/2010 |  | @ REF MARKER: | JURIS: NYSDOT | BIN: |  |
| NOTES LANE 0: WK 47 NB |  | ADDL DATA: | CC Stn: | RR CROSS |  |
|  |  | COUNT TYPE: AXLE PAIRS | BATCH ID: DOT-DOTR04TRIWW4FAPMS SAMPLE: |  |  |


|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | DAIL | DAILY <br> HIGH | DAILY <br> HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DAY |  |  |  |  |  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | COUNT | HOUR |
| 1 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 683 | 676 | 745 | 814 | 1052 | 1135 | 502 | 360 | 275 | 254 | 192 | 178 |  |  |  |
| 16 | T | 117 | 77 | 54 | 39 | 74 | 193 | 507 | 716 | 705 | 655 | 521 | 552 | 684 | 721 | 822 | 863 | 1042 | 1162 | 630 | 426 | 320 | 303 | 174 | 193 | 11550 | 1162 | 17 |
| 17 | W | 110 | 84 | 81 | 43 | 68 | 208 | 445 | 645 | 675 | 709 | 595 | 641 | 750 | 713 | 780 | 919 | 1001 | 1220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| ROUTE \#:940K STATION: 430917 | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | OM: JAY ST | TO: JCT RT 31 | COUNTY: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STATE DIR CODE: 1 | PLACEMENT: 600 FT N OF JAY ST |  | DATE OF COUNT |

## Traffic Count Hourly Report



|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | DAILY | DAILY <br> HIGH | DAILY <br> HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DAY |  |  |  |  |  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | COUNT | HOUR |
| 1 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 591 | 687 | 731 | 797 | 759 | 724 | 410 | 326 | 222 | 155 | 187 | 152 |  |  |  |
| 16 | T | 118 | 74 | 60 | 53 | 74 | 154 | 515 | 1071 | 931 | 637 | 563 | 640 | 573 | 661 | 783 | 807 | 788 | 694 | 471 | 300 | 269 | 232 | 205 | 150 | 10823 | 1071 | 7 |
| 17 | W | 101 | 76 | 78 | 52 | 75 | 188 | 523 | 1145 | 1056 | 677 | 592 | 624 | 648 | 704 | 692 | 795 | 756 | 694 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $18$ | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |







| New York State Department of Transportation Speed Count Average Weekday Report |  |  |  |  | Page 1 of 2 Date: $10 / 15 / 2009$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station: | 430918 | Start date: | Wed 09/23/2009 16:00 | Count duration: | 146 hours |
| Route \#: | 940K Road name: Mount Read Blvd | End date: | Tue 09/29/2009 17:45 | Functional class: |  |
| From: | ROUTE 104 IS OVER W CONN | County: | Monroe | Factor group: | 30 |
| To: | TOWN OF GREECE | Town: | ROCHESTER | Batch ID: | DOT-r4contractor9-38 |
| Direction: | North | Speed limit: | 45 | Count taken by: | Org: TST Init: --- |
| Lanes: 1, 2 |  | LION\#: |  | Processed by: | Org: DOT Init: TGB |


| Speeds, mph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | $\begin{gathered} 0.0-0 \\ 20.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.1- \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.1- \\ 30.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.1-1 \text { - } \\ 35 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.1- \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.1- \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.1- \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.1- \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1- \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.1- \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.1- \\ 70.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.1- \\ 75.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.1- \\ 95.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 45.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 50.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 55.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 60.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | Avg | 50th\% | 85th\% | Total |
| 1:00 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 30 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62\% | 28\% | 10\% | 2\% | 0\% | 43.1 | 46.8 | 53.7 | 87 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 63\% | 26\% | 11\% | 4\% | 2\% | 44.4 | 46.8 | 53.6 | 57 |
| 3:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 20 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65\% | 30\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 45.0 | 47.2 | 53.6 | 57 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64\% | 21\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 46.3 | 46.7 | 52.1 | 33 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62\% | 33\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 46.3 | 47.1 | 53.9 | 21 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 20 | 23 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61\% | 29\% | 8\% | 4\% | 0\% | 46.2 | 46.8 | 53.4 | 72 |
| 7:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 42 | 54 | 38 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65\% | 33\% | 11\% | 2\% | 1\% | 45.5 | 47.4 | 54.1 | 170 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 56 | 85 | 58 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 71\% | 39\% | 16\% | 4\% | 1\% | 47.4 | 48.3 | 55.7 | 261 |
| 9:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 68 | 96 | 77 | 31 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 71\% | 39\% | 14\% | 4\% | 1\% | 47.0 | 48.3 | 54.8 | 304 |
| 10:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 72 | 124 | 88 | 34 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 73\% | 38\% | 13\% | 3\% | 1\% | 47.1 | 48.3 | 54.6 | 353 |
| 11:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 80 | 139 | 85 | 40 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 72\% | 36\% | 13\% | 3\% | 0\% | 47.1 | 48.1 | 54.7 | 381 |
| 12:00 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 98 | 158 | 116 | 57 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 74\% | 40\% | 16\% | 4\% | 1\% | 47.0 | 48.6 | 55.4 | 474 |
| 13:00 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 95 | 189 | 148 | 70 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 78\% | 44\% | 17\% | 5\% | 1\% | 48.1 | 49.1 | 55.9 | 557 |
| 14:00 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 93 | 161 | 122 | 62 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 77\% | 43\% | 17\% | 4\% | 1\% | 48.0 | 49.0 | 55.8 | 474 |
| 15:00 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 101 | 219 | 172 | 84 | 27 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 80\% | 46\% | 19\% | 6\% | 1\% | 48.6 | 49.4 | 56.5 | 635 |
| 16:00 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 124 | 286 | 276 | 140 | 40 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 83\% | 52\% | 21\% | 6\% | 1\% | 49.3 | 50.3 | 57.1 | 907 |
| 17:00 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 146 | 316 | 312 | 168 | 48 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 83\% | 53\% | 22\% | 6\% | 1\% | 49.5 | 50.5 | 57.2 | 1023 |
| 18:00 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 148 | 383 | 309 | 165 | 39 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 84\% | 48\% | 19\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.0 | 49.8 | 56.5 | 1080 |
| 19:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 119 | 195 | 154 | 64 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 75\% | 41\% | 15\% | 4\% | 1\% | 48.1 | 48.8 | 55.0 | 579 |
| 20:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 94 | 157 | 89 | 38 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 71\% | 33\% | 12\% | 2\% | 1\% | 46.8 | 47.8 | 54.2 | 417 |
| 21:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 81 | 105 | 66 | 22 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65\% | 31\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 45.9 | 47.2 | 53.7 | 308 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 56 | 88 | 57 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 72\% | 35\% | 12\% | 3\% | 0\% | 47.7 | 48.0 | 54.4 | 243 |
| 23:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 47 | 57 | 39 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 65\% | 33\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1\% | 46.3 | 47.4 | 54.0 | 175 |
| 24:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 45 | 59 | 36 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 67\% | 33\% | 11\% | 4\% | 2\% | 46.7 | 47.6 | 54.2 | 169 |
| Avg. Daily Total | 46 | 1 | 3 | 34 | 355 | 1654 | 2985 | 2303 | 1090 | 292 | 58 | 9 | 7 | 76.3 | 42.5 | 16.5 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 47.9 | 48.9 | 55.6 | 8837 |
| Percent | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 4.0\% | 18.7\% | 33.8\% | 26.1\% | 12.3\% | 3.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cum. Percent | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 5.0\% | 23.7\% | 57.5\% | 83.5\% | 95.9\% | 99.2\% | 99.8\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | TRAFFIC FLOW BY DIRECTION 368 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average hour | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 69 | 124 | 96 | 45 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| North |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Speed } \\ 47.9 \end{array}$ | 50th\% Speed | 85th\% Speed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South |  | 46.0 | 48.1 |  | 54.4 |
| Peak Hour Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | Hour | Count | 2-way | Hour | Count |
| North | 18 | 1080 | A.M. | 8 | 1720 |
| South | 8 | 1459 | P.M. | 17 | 1504 |



| New York State Department of Transportation Speed Count Average Weekday Report |  |  |  |  | Page 2 of 2 Date: 10/15/2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station: | 430918 | Start date: | Wed 09/23/2009 16:00 | Count duration: | 146 hours |
| Route \#: | 940K Road name: Mount Read Blvd | End date: | Tue 09/29/2009 17:45 | Functional class: | 14 |
| From: | ROUTE 104 IS OVER W CONN | County: | Monroe | Factor group: | 30 |
| To: | TOWN OF GREECE | Town: | ROCHESTER | Batch ID: | DOT-r4contractor9-38 |
| Direction: | South | Speed limit: | 45 | Count taken by: | Org: TST Init: --- |
| Lanes: 1, 2 |  | LION\#: |  | Processed by: | Org: DOT Init: TGB |


| Speeds, mph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 20.0 \end{aligned}$ | $20.1-$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.1- \\ 30.0 \end{array}$ | $30.1 \text { - }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.1- \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $40.1 \text { - }$ | $45.1-$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.1- \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1- \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.1- \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.1- \\ 70.0 \end{array}$ | $70.1-$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.1- \\ 95.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 50.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 55.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 60.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 65.0 \end{gathered}$ | Avg | 50th\% | 85th\% | Total |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56\% | 21\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 43.8 | 45.9 | 52.2 | 43 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50\% | 13\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 42.7 | 45.0 | 49.8 | 30 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52\% | 28\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 44.5 | 45.5 | 55.4 | 25 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73\% | 23\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 46.0 | 47.4 | 52.1 | 30 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 22 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 66\% | 36\% | 15\% | 4\% | 3\% | 46.4 | 47.8 | 55.0 | 74 |
| 6:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 32 | 57 | 114 | 77 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 69\% | 33\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 46.1 | 47.7 | 53.9 | 322 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 27 | 67 | 120 | 261 | 206 | 64 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 71\% | 37\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1\% | 46.7 | 48.2 | 54.2 | 765 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 48 | 112 | 180 | 444 | 456 | 164 | 35 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 76\% | 45\% | 14\% | 3\% | 0\% | 47.3 | 49.3 | 54.9 | 1459 |
| 9:00 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 30 | 96 | 127 | 220 | 248 | 94 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 68\% | 42\% | 13\% | 2\% | 0\% | 46.1 | 48.6 | 54.8 | 850 |
| 10:00 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 53 | 65 | 114 | 109 | 34 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 65\% | 38\% | 11\% | 3\% | 1\% | 45.4 | 47.8 | 54.4 | 415 |
| 11:00 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 40 | 61 | 122 | 88 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 67\% | 34\% | 10\% | 1\% | 0\% | 45.2 | 47.6 | 54.0 | 372 |
| 12:00 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 19 | 45 | 64 | 126 | 106 | 40 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 67\% | 37\% | 12\% | 2\% | 1\% | 45.0 | 47.9 | 54.4 | 421 |
| 13:00 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 58 | 73 | 158 | 129 | 44 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 68\% | 36\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 45.7 | 47.9 | 54.2 | 500 |
| 14:00 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 54 | 63 | 136 | 106 | 47 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 67\% | 37\% | 13\% | 3\% | 0\% | 45.1 | 47.9 | 54.7 | 446 |
| 15:00 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 27 | 52 | 77 | 143 | 131 | 54 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 68\% | 40\% | 15\% | 4\% | 1\% | 46.0 | 48.3 | 55.0 | 513 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 50 | 76 | 150 | 140 | 50 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 69\% | 40\% | 13\% | 3\% | 1\% | 46.4 | 48.4 | 54.7 | 514 |
| 17:00 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 23 | 51 | 70 | 140 | 122 | 48 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 68\% | 38\% | 13\% | 3\% | 1\% | 45.4 | 48.1 | 54.7 | 481 |
| 18:00 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 37 | 55 | 132 | 111 | 46 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 73\% | 41\% | 14\% | 3\% | 0\% | 46.7 | 48.6 | 54.9 | 414 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 35 | 52 | 119 | 110 | 36 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 73\% | 42\% | 13\% | 3\% | 1\% | 47.2 | 48.7 | 54.6 | 376 |
| 20:00 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 33 | 64 | 97 | 49 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 59\% | 25\% | 7\% | 2\% | 1\% | 44.6 | 46.4 | 52.8 | 280 |
| 21:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 30 | 67 | 71 | 34 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50\% | 18\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 43.5 | 45.0 | 51.0 | 222 |
| 22:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 21 | 39 | 44 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52\% | 22\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 43.5 | 45.4 | 52.2 | 146 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 17 | 32 | 38 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54\% | 24\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 44.2 | 45.8 | 52.3 | 123 |
| 24:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 24 | 29 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 55\% | 24\% | 9\% | 3\% | 1\% | 44.7 | 45.9 | 53.0 | 94 |
| Avg. Daily Total | 23 | 18 | 91 | 359 | 923 | 1405 | 2727 | 2318 | 828 | 175 | 38 | 9 | 1 | 68.4 | 37.8 | 11.8 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 46.0 | 48.1 | 54.4 | 8915 |
| Percent | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 4.0\% | 10.4\% | 15.8\% | 30.6\% | 26.0\% | 9.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cum. Percent | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% | 5.5\% | 15.9\% | 31.6\% | 62.2\% | 88.2\% | 97.5\% | 99.5\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | TRAFFIC FLOW BY DIRECTION 371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average hour | 1 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 38 | 59 | 114 | 97 | 34 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| North |  | Speed 47.9 | 50th\% Speed | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 85th\% Speed } \\ 55.6 \\ 54.4 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South |  | 46.0 | 48.1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | Hour | Count | 2-way | Hour | Count |
| North | 18 | 1080 | A.M. | 8 | 1720 |
| South | 8 | 1459 | P.M. | 17 | 1504 |



## Traffic Count Hourly Report




## Traffic Count Hourly Report





## Traffic Count Hourly Report



## Traffic Count Hourly Report





## Traffic Count Hourly Report




| ROUTE \#:940K STATION. d30944 | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | FROM: RT 490I IS OVER W CONN | TO: JAY ST | COUNTY: | Monroe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION: 430944 | STATE DIR CODE: 2 | PLACEMENT: 40' N of $\mathbf{4 9 0 1}$ |  | DATE OF COUNT: | $07 / 10 / 2007$ |

## Traffic Count Hourly Report



## COUNT TAKEN BY: ORG CODE: R04 INITIALS: TST

COUNT TYPE: AXLE PAIRS
PROCESSED BY: ORG CODE: R04 INITIALS: RHC

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | DAILY | DAILY <br> HIGH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAILY } \\ & \text { HIGH } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DAY |  |  |  |  |  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | COUNT | HOUR |
| 1 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 664 | 690 | 874 | 907 | 908 | 491 | 380 | 372 | 313 | 261 | 183 |  |  |  |
| 11 | W | 121 | 76 | 62 | 72 | 58 | 205 | 443 | 572 | 525 | 530 | 485 | 593 | 651 | 631 | 675 | 766 | 868 | 1003 | 488 | 399 | 380 | 339 | 295 | 182 | 10419 | 1003 | 17 |
| 12 | T | 118 | 83 | 83 | 53 | 54 | 194 | 458 | 556 | 501 | 493 | 511 | 584 | 699 | 625 | 680 | 820 | 889 | 964 | 557 | 382 | 346 | 311 | 313 | 197 | 10471 | 964 | 17 |
| 13 | F | 117 | 109 | 81 | 50 | 78 | 187 | 436 | 542 | 493 | 523 | 535 | 629 | 710 | 652 | 774 | 867 | 721 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| ROUTE \#.940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | FROM: JCT RT 31 | TO: EMERSON ST | COUNTY: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION: 430945 | STATE DIR CODE: 1 | PLACEMENT: 800' S o femerson |  | DATE OF COUNT: |

## Traffic Count Hourly Report



COUNT TAKEN BY: ORG CODE: R04 INITIALS: TST PROCESSED BY: ORG CODE: R04 INITIALS: RHC

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | DAILY | DAILY <br> HIGH | DAILY <br> HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DAY |  |  |  |  |  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | COUNT | HOUR |
| 1 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 590 | 612 | 725 | 683 | 556 | 410 | 330 | 281 | 243 | 201 | 155 |  |  |  |
| 11 | W | 103 | 60 | 54 | 53 | 63 | 177 | 516 | 964 | 838 | 509 | 540 | 615 | 565 | 530 | 607 | 688 | 618 | 529 | 392 | 348 | 286 | 275 | 227 | 194 | 9751 | 964 | 7 |
| 12 | T | 101 | 62 | 46 | 59 | 67 | 179 | 542 | 1002 | 756 | 569 | 524 | 603 | 626 | 538 | 643 | 744 | 647 | 558 | 456 | 308 | 265 | 234 | 238 | 189 | 9956 | 1002 | 7 |
| 13 | F | 94 | 52 | 62 | 74 | 60 | 144 | 526 | 879 | 711 | 616 | 571 | 604 | 657 | 619 | 658 | 793 | 523 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| ROUTE \#.940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | FROM: JCT RT 31 | TO: EMERSON ST | COUNTY: | Monroe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION: 430945 | STATE DIR CODE: 2 | PLACEMENT: 800' S o fEmerson |  | DATE OF COUNT: | 07/10/200 |

## Traffic Count Hourly Report




ROUTE \#: 940 K
STATION: $\quad 4309$

## Traffic Count Hourly Report




| 72 | 33 | 33 | 47 | 59213 | AVERAGE <br> 4991074 | E WEEI | $\begin{array}{r} \text { KDAY } \\ 505 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y HOURS } \\ & 5 \quad 510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S (Axle } \\ 569 \end{gathered}$ | Fact 597 | tored, 550 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mon 6A } \\ 653 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \text { to } \\ 713 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fri No } \\ 3 \quad 663 \end{array}$ | 565 | 381 | 310 | 265 | 222 | 175 | 176 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADT } \\ & 9786 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAYS | HOURS Counted |  |  | WEEKDAYS WEEKDA Counted Hours |  |  | AVERAGE WEEKDAY |  |  |  |  |  | Axle Ad Factor |  | Seasonal/Weekday Adjustment Factor |  |  |  | ESTIMATED (one way) |  |  |  |
| Counted |  |  |  |  |  |  | High Hour |  | \% of day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 93 |  |  | 5 | 93 |  | 1128 |  |  | 11\% |  |  | 0.952 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |  |  |  | AADT |  |  |

ROUTE \#: 940 K
STATION: $\quad 4309$

## Traffic Count Hourly Report



NOTES LANE 2: NB Passing lane 45 MPH
COUNT TAKEN BY: ORG CODE: TST INITIALS: TST
COUNT TYPE: VEHICLES
PROCESSED BY: ORG CODE: DOT INITIALS: TGB



## Traffic Count Hourly Report



NOTES LANE 2: SB Passing Lane 45 MPH
COUNT TAKEN BY: ORG CODE: TST INITIALS: TST
COUNT TYPE: VEHICLES
PROCESSED BY: ORG CODE: DOT INITIALS: TGB




| Station: | 430947 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Route \#: | 940K Road name: Mount Read Blvd |  |
| From: | LEXINGTON AVE |  |
| To: | DRIVING PARK AVE |  |
| Direction: | North |  |


| Count duration: | 358 hours |
| :--- | :--- |
| Functional class: | 14 |
| Factor group: | 30 |
| Batch ID: | DOT-r4contractorww32 |
| Count taken by: | Org: TST Init: TST |
| Processed by: | Org: DOT Init: TGB |


| Speeds, mph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | $\begin{gathered} 0.0- \\ 20.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.1- \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.1- \\ 30.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.1- \\ 35.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.1- \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.1- \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.1- \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.1- \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1- \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.1- \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.1- \\ 70.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.1- \\ 75.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.1- \\ 95.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | Avg | 50th\% | 85th\% | Total |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 43 | 33 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 77.5 | 41.7 | 14.2 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 48.7 | 48.9 | 54.9 | 120 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 26 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73.6 | 37.5 | 11.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 47.7 | 48.3 | 54.3 | 72 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 24 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 78.9 | 45.1 | 21.1 | 9.9 | 5.6 | 49.5 | 49.3 | 57.8 | 71 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 70.6 | 35.3 | 13.7 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 47.8 | 48.0 | 54.8 | 51 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71.4 | 39.3 | 14.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 47.7 | 48.4 | 54.9 | 56 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 28 | 63 | 40 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 78.8 | 40.6 | 16.4 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 48.5 | 48.8 | 55.6 | 165 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 52 | 145 | 114 | 50 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 84.9 | 47.8 | 18.7 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 49.4 | 49.8 | 56.5 | 391 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 52 | 153 | 138 | 66 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 85.7 | 51.5 | 20.6 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 49.6 | 50.3 | 56.9 | 447 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 58 | 153 | 137 | 66 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 84.3 | 50.0 | 19.3 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 49.4 | 50.0 | 56.5 | 446 |
| 10:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 69 | 171 | 128 | 56 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 83.0 | 45.1 | 16.8 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 49.1 | 49.4 | 55.8 | 452 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 68 | 174 | 140 | 59 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 82.9 | 46.1 | 16.5 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 49.0 | 49.5 | 55.6 | 473 |
| 12:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 91 | 230 | 154 | 65 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 81.2 | 41.1 | 14.3 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 48.6 | 48.9 | 54.9 | 574 |
| 13:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 106 | 253 | 175 | 68 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 80.6 | 41.1 | 13.8 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 48.6 | 48.9 | 54.8 | 640 |
| 14:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 116 | 229 | 157 | 55 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 77.0 | 38.6 | 12.2 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 48.1 | 48.6 | 54.5 | 596 |
| 15:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 113 | 283 | 216 | 77 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 82.0 | 43.1 | 13.3 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 48.7 | 49.2 | 54.8 | 727 |
| 16:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 19 | 147 | 362 | 274 | 83 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 81.2 | 41.9 | 12.2 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 48.4 | 49.0 | 54.6 | 921 |
| 17:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 148 | 389 | 287 | 87 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 82.6 | 41.7 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 48.7 | 49.0 | 54.5 | 952 |
| 18:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 114 | 376 | 322 | 119 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 86.6 | 48.1 | 15.1 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 49.3 | 49.8 | 55.1 | 976 |
| 19:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 49 | 184 | 204 | 92 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 90.4 | 57.7 | 21.4 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 50.5 | 51.1 | 57.0 | 562 |
| 20:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 46 | 149 | 145 | 67 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 88.2 | 53.7 | 20.1 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 56.7 | 432 |
| 21:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 78 | 150 | 90 | 35 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 75.6 | 35.8 | 11.9 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 47.8 | 48.3 | 54.4 | 377 |
| 22:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 76 | 138 | 70 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 73.2 | 31.1 | 9.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 47.2 | 47.8 | 53.8 | 328 |
| 23:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 50 | 97 | 52 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 73.4 | 33.2 | 11.6 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 47.2 | 48.0 | 54.3 | 241 |
| 24:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 37 | 89 | 53 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 78.6 | 38.2 | 14.1 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 47.9 | 48.6 | 54.9 | 220 |
| Avg. Daily Total | 20 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 230 | 1570 | 3917 | 2990 | 1169 | 277 | 65 | 16 | 12 | 82.1 | 44.0 | 15.0 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 48.8 | 49.3 | 55.0 | 10290 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 2.2\% | 15.3\% | 38.1\% | 29.1\% | 11.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cum. Percent | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 2.7\% | 17.9\% | 56.0\% | 85.0\% | 96.4\% | 99.1\% | 99.7\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average hour | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 65 | 163 | 125 | 49 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 429 |


| North | Avg. Speed |  | 50th\% Speed | 85th\% Speed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South |  | 48.6 | 49.2 |  | 55.3 |
| Peak Hour Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | Hour | Count | 2-way | Hour | Count |
| North | 18 | 976 | A.M. | 8 | 1446 |
| South | 8 | 999 | P.M. | 16 | 1521 |



| Start date: | Mon 08/06/2007 12:00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| End date: | Tue 08/21/2007 09:45 |
| County: | Monroe |
| Town: | ROCHESTER |
| Speed limit: | 45 |


| Count duration: | 358 hours |
| :--- | :--- |
| Functional class: | 14 |
| Factor group: | 30 |
| Batch ID: | DOT-r4contractorww32 |
| Count taken by: | Org: TST Init: TST |
| Processed by: | Org: DOT Init: TGB |


| Station: | 430947 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Route \#: | 940K Road name: Mount Read Blvd |  |
| From: | LEXINGTON AVE |  |
| To: | DRIVING PARK AVE |  |
| Direction: | South |  |
| Lanes: 1,2 |  |  |


| Speeds, mph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | $\begin{gathered} 0.0- \\ 20.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.1- \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.1- \\ 30.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.1- \\ 35.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.1- \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.1- \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.1- \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.1- \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1- \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.1- \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.1- \\ 70.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.1- \\ 75.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.1- \\ 95.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | Avg | 50th\% | 85th\% | Total |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 26 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72.3 | 32.3 | 10.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 47.8 | 54.1 | 65 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 20 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66.7 | 27.5 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 46.4 | 47.2 | 53.6 | 51 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 70.6 | 35.3 | 11.8 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 54.4 | 34 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77.6 | 46.9 | 16.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 48.9 | 49.6 | 55.6 | 49 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 24 | 27 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 76.7 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 48.7 | 50.0 | 57.3 | 90 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 42 | 94 | 68 | 28 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 79.8 | 42.7 | 15.8 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 48.8 | 49.1 | 55.4 | 253 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 88 | 222 | 176 | 81 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 83.1 | 46.9 | 18.2 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 49.2 | 49.6 | 56.3 | 614 |
| 8:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 155 | 364 | 306 | 112 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 81.4 | 44.9 | 14.3 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 48.8 | 49.4 | 54.9 | 999 |
| 9:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 96 | 223 | 216 | 84 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 81.8 | 48.5 | 16.3 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 49.0 | 49.8 | 55.6 | 670 |
| 10:00 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 81 | 168 | 129 | 53 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.9 | 41.8 | 14.2 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 47.9 | 48.9 | 54.9 | 466 |
| 11:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 82 | 154 | 127 | 50 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 77.2 | 43.1 | 15.0 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 48.3 | 49.0 | 55.1 | 452 |
| 12:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 84 | 174 | 143 | 56 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 78.8 | 43.8 | 14.9 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 48.5 | 49.2 | 55.0 | 496 |
| 13:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 78 | 189 | 150 | 64 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 81.4 | 44.9 | 15.9 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 48.8 | 49.3 | 55.4 | 517 |
| 14:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 91 | 182 | 138 | 57 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 77.6 | 41.8 | 14.7 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 48.1 | 48.9 | 55.0 | 509 |
| 15:00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 101 | 223 | 155 | 56 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 78.4 | 40.0 | 13.3 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 48.1 | 48.7 | 54.7 | 580 |
| 16:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 101 | 211 | 169 | 69 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 78.7 | 43.5 | 15.3 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 48.5 | 49.1 | 55.2 | 600 |
| 17:00 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 89 | 191 | 159 | 65 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 80.7 | 45.3 | 15.8 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 48.7 | 49.4 | 55.4 | 539 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 71 | 164 | 138 | 70 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 83.5 | 48.9 | 19.8 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 49.7 | 49.9 | 56.7 | 474 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 125 | 125 | 53 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 86.7 | 54.0 | 21.4 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 50.4 | 50.7 | 57.4 | 383 |
| 20:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 43 | 109 | 99 | 43 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 83.8 | 50.5 | 20.2 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 49.4 | 50.1 | 57.0 | 327 |
| 21:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 58 | 108 | 65 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 73.5 | 34.8 | 11.5 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 47.3 | 48.1 | 54.3 | 279 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 55 | 93 | 47 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 71.9 | 31.1 | 10.5 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 47.5 | 47.7 | 54.0 | 228 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 46 | 82 | 44 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 72.9 | 33.3 | 12.1 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 47.8 | 47.9 | 54.4 | 207 |
| 24:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 30 | 48 | 34 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 71.2 | 36.7 | 12.2 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 47.6 | 48.1 | 54.5 | 139 |
| Avg. Daily Total | 15 | 3 | 2 | 36 | 287 | 1491 | 3221 | 2561 | 1037 | 278 | 63 | 15 | 12 | 79.7 | 44.0 | 15.6 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 48.6 | 49.2 | 55.3 | 9021 |
| Percent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% | 16.5\% | 35.7\% | 28.4\% | 11.5\% | 3.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cum. Percent | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 3.8\% | 20.3\% | 56.0\% | 84.4\% | 95.9\% | 99.0\% | 99.7\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average hour | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 62 | 134 | 107 | 43 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 376 |


| North | Avg. Speed |  | 50th\% Speed | 85th\% Speed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South |  | 48.6 | 49.2 |  | 55.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 . |
| Peak Hour Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | Hour | Count | 2-way | Hour | Count |
| North | 18 | 976 | A.M. | 8 | 1446 |
| South | 8 | 999 | P.M. | 16 | 1521 |



| ROUTE \#940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | FROM: DRIVING PARK AVE | TO: RIDGEWAY OVER W/CONN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATION: $\mathbf{4 3 0 9 4 8}$ | STATE DIR CODE: $\mathbf{1}$ | PLACEMENT: $\mathbf{0 . 1} \mathbf{~ m i ~ N ~ o f ~ D r i v i n g ~ P a r k ~ A v ~}$ |  |
| DATE OF COUNT: | 11/05/2010 |  |  |



| ROUTE \#940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read BIvd | FROM: DRIVING PARK AVE | TO: RIDGEWAY OVER W/CONN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATION: $\mathbf{4 3 0 9 4 8}$ | STATE DIR CODE: $\mathbf{2}$ | PLACEMENT: $\mathbf{0 . 1} \mathbf{~ m i ~ N ~ o f ~ D r i v i n g ~ P a r k ~ A v ~}$ |  |
| DATE OF COUNT: | 11/05/2010 |  |  |



| VEHICLE CLASS |  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | F7 | F8 | F9 | F10 | F11 | F12 | F13 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. OF AXLES |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3.5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 8.75 |  |
| ENDING HOUR | 1:00 | 0 | 144 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
|  | 2:00 | 0 | 102 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
|  | 3:00 | 0 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
|  | 4:00 | 0 | 51 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
|  | 5:00 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
|  | 6:00 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
|  | 7:00 | 0 | 78 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
|  | 8:00 | 0 | 116 | 25 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 |
|  | 9:00 | 0 | 214 | 52 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 291 |
|  | 10:00 | 0 | 226 | 61 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 311 |
| DIRECTION North | 11:00 | 0 | 280 | 68 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 376 |
|  | 12:00 | 0 | 282 | 69 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 379 |
|  | 13:00 | 1 | 332 | 76 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 |
|  | 14:00 | 1 | 382 | 84 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 493 |
|  | 15:00 | 0 | 340 | 78 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 447 |
|  | 16:00 | 1 | 446 | 96 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 564 |
|  | 17:00 | 1 | 615 | 133 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 767 |
|  | 18:00 | 2 | 793 | 143 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 954 |
|  | 19:00 | 2 | 941 | 138 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1093 |
|  | 20:00 | 1 | 464 | 66 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 539 |
|  | 21:00 | 0 | 275 | 40 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 |
|  | 22:00 | 0 | 243 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 |
|  | 23:00 | 0 | 203 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 |
|  | 24:00 | 0 | 139 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 |
| TOTAL VEHICLES TOTAL AXLES |  | 9 |  | 1249 | 59 | 158 | 40 | 1 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8352 |
|  |  | 18 | $13572$ | 2498 | 148 | 316 | 120 | 4 | 88 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16889 |
| ENDING HOUR | 1:00 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
|  | 2:00 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
|  | 3:00 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
|  | 4:00 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
|  | 5:00 | 0 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
|  | 6:00 | 0 | 122 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
|  | 7:00 | 1 | 290 | 70 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 368 |
|  | 8:00 | 1 | 632 | 107 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 759 |
|  | 9:00 | 1 | 831 | 123 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 977 |
|  | 10:00 | 0 | 466 | 80 | 9 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 576 |
|  | 11:00 | 0 | 281 | 57 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 361 |
| DIRECTION South | 12:00 | 0 | 262 | 52 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 |
|  | 13:00 | 1 | 288 | 53 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 360 |
|  | 14:00 | 0 | 300 | 52 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 |
|  | 15:00 | 1 | 310 | 55 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
|  | 16:00 | 1 | 331 | 58 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 417 |
|  | 17:00 | 1 | 366 | 56 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 444 |
|  | 18:00 | 0 | 320 | 46 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 382 |
|  | 19:00 | 1 | 272 | 32 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 |
|  | 20:00 | 0 | 219 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
|  | 21:00 | 0 | 172 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 |
|  | 22:00 | 0 | 146 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
|  | 23:00 | 0 | 119 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
|  | 24:00 | 0 | 96 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| TOTAL VEHICLESTOTAL AXLES |  | 8 | 6015 | 960 | 55 | 124 | 35 | 1 | 25 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7250 |
|  |  | 16 | 12030 | 1920 | 138 | 248 | 105 | 4 | 88 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14683 |
| GRAND TOTAL VEHICLES GRAND TOTAL AXLES |  | 17 | 12801 | 2209 | 114 | 282 | 75 | 2 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15602 |
|  |  | 34 | 25602 | 4418 | 285 | 564 | 225 | 8 | 175 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31572 |



F1. Motorcycles
F2. Autos
3. 2 Axle, 4 -Tire Pickups, Vans, Motorhomes*

F5. Buses
5. 2 Axle, 6 -Tire Single Unit Trucks

F6. 3 Axle Single Unit Trucks
F. 4 or More Axle Single Unit Trucks

F8. 4 or Less Axle Vehicles, One Unit is a Truck
F9. 5 Axle Double Unit Vehicles, One Unit is a Truck
F10. 6 or More Double Unit Vehicles, One Unit is a Truck
F11. 5 or Less Axle Multi-Unit Trucks
12. 6 Axle Multi-Unit Trucks

* INCLUDING THOSE HAULING TRAILERS

FUNCTIONAL CLASS CODES:
RURAL URBAN SYSTEM

| 01 | 11 PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL-INTERSTATE |
| :--- | :--- |
| 02 | 12 PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL-EXPRESSWAY |
| 02 | 14 PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL-OTHER |
| 06 | 16 MINOR ARTERIAL |
| 07 | 17 MAJOR COLLECTOR |
| 08 | 17 MINOR COLLECTOR |
| 09 | 19 LOCAL SYSTEM |


| DIRECTION | HOUR | COUNT | 2-WAY | HOUR | COUNT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North | 19 | 1093 | A.M. | 9 | 1268 |
| South | 9 | 977 | P.M. | 19 | 1406 |

SOURCE: NYSDOT DATA SERVICES BUREAU


| Speeds, mph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 20.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.1- \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.1- \\ 30.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.1- \\ 35.0 \end{gathered}$ | $35.1-$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.1- \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.1- \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.1- \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1- \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.1- \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.1- \\ 70.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.1- \\ 750 \end{gathered}$ | $75.1-$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 50.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 55.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 60.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | Avg | 50th\% | 85th\% | Total |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 35 | 51 | 44 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 72\% | 40\% | 13\% | 4\% | 1\% | 47.9 | 48.5 | 54.7 | 161 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 22 | 44 | 31 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 75\% | 38\% | 11\% | 4\% | 2\% | 47.9 | 48.4 | 54.3 | 117 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 20 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72\% | 37\% | 14\% | 4\% | 0\% | 47.9 | 48.2 | 54.8 | 57 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 22 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78\% | 40\% | 14\% | 3\% | 0\% | 48.3 | 48.7 | 54.8 | 58 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 72\% | 42\% | 21\% | 7\% | 2\% | 48.0 | 48.7 | 57.2 | 43 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 70\% | 38\% | 16\% | 5\% | 3\% | 47.4 | 48.2 | 55.6 | 37 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 30 | 25 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72\% | 39\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 47.5 | 48.4 | 54.4 | 90 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 38 | 55 | 40 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 69\% | 37\% | 13\% | 4\% | 1\% | 47.4 | 48.0 | 54.6 | 169 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 55 | 94 | 80 | 33 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 75\% | 43\% | 15\% | 4\% | 1\% | 48.4 | 49.0 | 55.1 | 289 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 53 | 99 | 91 | 40 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 79\% | 47\% | 18\% | 5\% | 1\% | 48.9 | 49.5 | 56.1 | 312 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 66 | 130 | 110 | 39 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77\% | 43\% | 13\% | 3\% | 1\% | 48.4 | 49.0 | 54.8 | 375 |
| 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 69 | 131 | 105 | 42 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 77\% | 42\% | 14\% | 3\% | 1\% | 48.3 | 48.9 | 55.0 | 380 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 68 | 146 | 130 | 55 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 81\% | 47\% | 17\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.1 | 49.6 | 55.9 | 434 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 72 | 164 | 151 | 66 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 81\% | 48\% | 17\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.1 | 49.8 | 56.0 | 493 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 75 | 146 | 135 | 58 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 80\% | 47\% | 17\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.1 | 49.6 | 55.9 | 448 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 80 | 191 | 168 | 80 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 82\% | 49\% | 19\% | 5\% | 1\% | 49.4 | 49.9 | 56.4 | 565 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 104 | 244 | 254 | 110 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 83\% | 51\% | 18\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.6 | 50.3 | 56.2 | 767 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 164 | 360 | 286 | 86 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 79\% | 41\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 48.4 | 48.9 | 54.4 | 951 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 66 | 257 | 424 | 263 | 64 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70\% | $31 \%$ | 7\% | 1\% | 0\% | 46.9 | 47.6 | 53.3 | 1093 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 117 | 213 | 132 | 41 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 73\% | 34\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 47.6 | 48.0 | 53.9 | 539 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 71 | 122 | 82 | 23 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 73\% | 35\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 47.6 | 48.0 | 53.9 | 320 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 63 | 101 | 66 | 22 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 71\% | 34\% | 11\% | 3\% | 1\% | 47.5 | 47.9 | 54.1 | 276 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 50 | 82 | 56 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 71\% | 35\% | 10\% | 3\% | 1\% | 47.4 | 48.0 | 54.0 | 224 |
| 24:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 28 | 56 | 42 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 76\% | 40\% | 13\% | 4\% | 1\% | 48.2 | 48.7 | 54.7 | 155 |
| Avg. Daily Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 365 | 1542 | 2950 | 2336 | 858 | 204 | 42 | 9 | 3 | 76.6 | 41.3 | 13.4 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 48.3 | 48.8 | 54.8 | 8353 |
| Percent | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.4\% | 18.5\% | 35.3\% | 28.0\% | 10.3\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cum. Percent | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.9\% | 23.4\% | 58.7\% | 86.6\% | 96.9\% | 99.4\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | TRAFFIC FLOW BY DIRECTION 348 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 64 | 123 | 97 | 36 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Speeds, mph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | $\begin{gathered} 0.0- \\ 20.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.1- \\ 25.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.1- \\ 30.0 \end{array}$ | $30.1 \text { - }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.1- \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $40.1 \text { - }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.1- \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.1- \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1- \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.1- \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.1- \\ 70.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.1- \\ 75.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.1- \\ 95.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Exc } \\ 45.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 55.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 60.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | Avg | 50th\% | 85th\% | Total |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 27 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71\% | 35\% | 9\% | 1\% | 0\% | 47.0 | 47.9 | 53.9 | 75 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76\% | 35\% | 11\% | 3\% | 0\% | 47.8 | 48.2 | 54.2 | 37 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66\% | 31\% | 10\% | 3\% | 0\% | 47.0 | 47.3 | 53.9 | 29 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66\% | 41\% | 16\% | 3\% | 0\% | 47.4 | 48.2 | 55.3 | 32 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68\% | 36\% | 14\% | 5\% | 0\% | 47.0 | 47.9 | 54.8 | 44 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 27 | 54 | 42 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 78\% | 42\% | 14\% | 4\% | 1\% | 48.5 | 48.9 | 55.0 | 152 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 66 | 125 | 106 | 42 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 78\% | 44\% | 15\% | 4\% | 1\% | 48.6 | 49.2 | 55.0 | 367 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 30 | 115 | 250 | 228 | 102 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 80\% | 47\% | 17\% | 4\% | 1\% | 48.9 | 49.6 | 55.8 | 759 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 101 | 292 | 346 | 166 | 38 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 87\% | 57\% | 22\% | 5\% | 1\% | 50.2 | 51.0 | 57.0 | 977 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 65 | 150 | 193 | 109 | 32 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 85\% | 59\% | 26\% | 7\% | 1\% | 50.4 | 51.4 | 57.8 | 576 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 56 | 115 | 110 | 49 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 80\% | 48\% | 18\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.2 | 49.8 | 56.2 | 361 |
| 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 55 | 105 | 101 | 44 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 79\% | 48\% | 18\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.0 | 49.7 | 56.0 | 335 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 56 | 114 | 112 | 49 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 81\% | 49\% | 18\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.2 | 49.9 | 56.1 | 360 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 53 | 124 | 116 | 49 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 82\% | 48\% | 17\% | 4\% | 1\% | 49.2 | 49.8 | 55.9 | 372 |
| 15:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 64 | 126 | 110 | 51 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 79\% | 46\% | 18\% | 5\% | 1\% | 48.9 | 49.5 | 56.1 | 388 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 76 | 135 | 106 | 54 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 76\% | 43\% | 18\% | 5\% | 1\% | 48.5 | 49.0 | 56.1 | 414 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 90 | 140 | 121 | 52 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 74\% | 42\% | 15\% | 3\% | 0\% | 48.1 | 48.8 | 55.0 | 444 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 88 | 131 | 98 | 32 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70\% | 36\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1\% | 47.3 | 48.0 | 54.2 | 383 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 72 | 118 | 76 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 71\% | 33\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 47.3 | 47.8 | 53.8 | 311 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 54 | 92 | 58 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 71\% | 33\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1\% | 47.2 | 47.8 | 53.9 | 246 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 50 | 72 | 40 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68\% | 30\% | 8\% | 2\% | 0\% | 46.9 | 47.4 | 53.5 | 189 |
| 22:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 40 | 55 | 37 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67\% | 32\% | 9\% | 3\% | 0\% | 46.9 | 47.5 | 53.7 | 159 |
| 23:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 28 | 48 | 28 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70\% | 33\% | 11\% | 2\% | 0\% | 47.0 | 47.7 | 54.1 | 129 |
| 24:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 27 | 37 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64\% | 28\% | 8\% | 2\% | 0\% | 46.4 | 47.0 | 53.3 | 103 |
| Avg. Daily Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 47 | 311 | 1230 | 2357 | 2101 | 915 | 229 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 78.0 | 45.5 | 16.5 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 48.7 | 49.4 | 55.6 | 7242 |
| Percent | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 4.3\% | 17.0\% | 32.5\% | 29.0\% | 12.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cum. Percent | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 5.0\% | 22.0\% | 54.5\% | 83.5\% | 96.2\% | 99.3\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | TR | AFFIC | LOW | Y DIRE | CTION |  |  |  |  |
| Average hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 51 | 98 | 88 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 302 |


| North |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Speed } \\ 48.3 \end{array}$ | 50th\% Speed | 85th\% Speed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South |  | 48.7 | 49.4 |  | 55.6 |
| Peak Hour Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | Hour | Count | 2-way | Hour | Count |
| North | 19 | 1093 | A.M. | 9 | 1266 |
| South | 9 | 977 | P.M. | 19 | 1404 |



## Traffic Count Hourly Report

| ROUTE \#: | 940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | FROM: RIDGEWAY OVER W/CONN | TO: ROUTE 104 IS OVER W CONN | COUNTY: | Monroe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIRECTION: | Northbound | FACTOR GROUP: 30 | REC. SERIAL \#: 9557 | FUNC. CLASS: 14 | CITY: | ROCHESTER |
| STATE DIR CODE: |  | WK OF YR: 46 | PLACEMENT: 300' N of Ridgeway Ave | NHS: yes | BIN: | 1049789 |
| DATE OF COUNT: | 11/13/2007 |  | @ REF MARKER: 940K43011033 | JURIS: NYSDOT | RR CROSSING: |  |
| NOTES LANE 1: NB | Two Lanes | 45 MPH | ADDL DATA: | CC Stn: | HPMS SAMPLE: |  |
|  |  |  | COUNT TYPE: AXLE PAIRS | BATCH ID: R04-R04RO4TSW |  |  |

## COUNT TAKEN BY: ORG CODE: TST INITIALS: TST

| DATE | DAY |  |  |  |  |  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { COUNT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { HOUR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 263 | 436 | 426 | 465 | 463 | 565 | 709 | 632 | 716 | 1023 | 1134 | 1176 | 655 | 456 | 326 | 254 | 195 | 205 |  |  |  |
| 14 | W | 114 | 50 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 133 | 233 | 458 | 426 | 432 | 446 | 575 | 645 | 564 | 707 | 999 | 1149 | 1172 | 644 | 429 | 314 | 297 | 209 | 200 | 10328 | 1172 | 17 |
| 15 | T | 127 | 55 | 49 | 32 | 35 | 115 | 248 | 386 | 423 | 409 | 448 | 563 | 626 | 569 | 732 | 1024 | 1119 | 1264 | 635 | 432 | 327 | 290 | 189 | 211 | 10308 | 1264 | 17 |
| 16 | F | 138 | 59 | 53 | 39 | 51 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Traffic Count Hourly Report



COUNT TAKEN BY: ORG CODE: TST INITIALS: TST
COUNT TYPE: AXLE PAIRS
PROCESSED BY: ORG CODE: R04 INITIALS: RHC

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { TO } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \mathrm{TO} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { TO } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { TO } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { TO } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { TO } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { TO } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { TO } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { TO } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { TO } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { TO } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { TO } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | D | DAILY <br> HIGH | DAILY <br> HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DAY |  |  |  |  |  | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | COUNT | HOUR |
| 1 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 756 | 1191 | 817 | 502 | 406 | 400 | 480 | 463 | 551 | 536 | 527 | 414 | 325 | 254 | 210 | 162 | 152 | 92 |  |  |  |
| 14 | W | 39 | 27 | 12 | 42 | 92 | 302 | 735 | 1238 | 797 | 435 | 379 | 388 | 523 | 483 | 504 | 510 | 474 | 383 | 334 | 247 | 206 | 174 | 151 | 107 | 8582 | 1238 | 7 |
| 15 | T | 42 | 35 | 20 | 38 | 75 | 267 | 713 | 1252 | 842 | 459 | 391 | 387 | 497 | 508 | 525 | 488 | 465 | 427 | 359 | 272 | 218 | 177 | 134 | 112 | 8703 | 1252 | 7 |
| 16 | F | 45 | 28 | 30 | 46 | 91 | 298 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| ROUTE \#940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | FROM: TOWN OF GREECE | Monroe |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATION: $\mathbf{4 3 0 9 5 0}$ | STATE DIR CODE: $\mathbf{1}$ | PLACEMENT: 100' $\mathbf{S}$ of Joanne Dr | TO: JOANN DRIVE |  |


| ROUTE \#: | 940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIRECTION: | Southbound | FACTOR GROUP: |
| STATE DIR CODE: | 20 |  |
| SATE | WK OF YR: | 46 |

DATE OF COUNT: 11/12/2010
NOTES LANE 1: Wk46-SB-Travel
NOTES LANE 2: Wk46-SB-Pass
COUNT TAKEN BY: ORG CODE: TST INITIALS: GNL

## RROM: TOWN OF GREECE

 REC. SERIAL \#: 2636 PLACEMENT: 100' S of Joanne D @ REF MARKER: 940K43011038 ADDL DATA:COUNT TYPE: VEHICLES

TO: JOANN DRIVE
FUNC. CLASS: 16 NHS: no
JURIS: NYSDOT CC Stn: BATCH ID: RR CROSSING:


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 499 | 447 | 405 | 257 | 181 | 168 | 145 | 104 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | 35 | 29 | 37 | 37 | 100 | 139 | 210 | 285 | 332 | 407 | 407 | 462 | 380 | 385 | 400 | 395 | 358 | 312 | 222 | 171 | 147 | 130 | 121 | 5560 | 462 | 12 |
| 65 | 58 | 35 | 23 | 22 | 62 | 71 | 106 | 194 | 247 | 299 | 332 | 364 | 307 | 306 | 339 | 337 | 247 | 202 | 197 | 145 | 122 | 107 | 71 | 4258 | 364 | 12 |
| 25 | 18 | 14 | 38 | 65 | 330 | 609 | 1320 | 694 | 381 | 361 | 388 | 426 | 417 | 518 | 529 | 408 | 378 | 296 | 175 | 154 | 145 | 137 | 72 | 7898 | 1320 | 7 |
| 40 | 22 | 26 | 38 | 76 | 326 | 687 | 1284 | 656 | 396 | 380 | 404 | 463 | 440 | 414 | 456 | 379 | 332 | 296 | 198 | 159 | 153 | 120 | 68 | 7813 | 1284 | 7 |
| 26 | 23 | 18 | 32 | 94 | 333 | 668 | 1304 | 720 | 400 | 364 | 380 | 452 | 375 | 451 | 438 | 385 | 355 | 326 | 225 | 167 | 138 | 107 | 75 | 7856 | 1304 | 7 |
| 43 | 21 | 30 | 42 | 79 | 339 | 670 | 1290 | 696 | 389 | 354 | 387 | 451 | 451 | 492 | 428 | 444 | 394 | 325 | 226 | 180 | 147 | 113 | 78 | 8069 | 1290 | 7 |
| 43 | 27 | 22 | 33 | 70 | 322 | 680 | 1192 | 708 | 434 | 368 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| ROUTE \#940K | ROAD NAME: Mount Read Blvd | FROM: TOWN OF GREECE | Monroe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATION: $\mathbf{4 3 0 9 5 0}$ | STATE DIR CODE: $\mathbf{2}$ | PLACEMENT: 100' $\mathbf{S}$ of Joanne Dr | TO: JOANN DRIVE |



|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | New York State Department of Transportation <br> Speed Count Average Weekday Report |  |
| Sate: 12/20/2010 |  |  |  |


| Speeds, mph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0- \\ & 20.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.1- \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.1- \\ 30.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.1- \\ 35.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.1- \\ 40.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.1- \\ 45.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.1- \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.1- \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.1- \\ 60.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.1- \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.11 \\ & 70.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.1- \\ 75.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.1- \\ 95.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 60.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | Avg | 50th\% | 85th\% | Total |
| 1:00 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 30 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $31 \%$ | 10\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33.5 | 42.0 | 48.9 | 99 |
| 2:00 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33\% | 12\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 32.4 | 41.6 | 49.2 | 52 |
| 3:00 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33\% | 15\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 34.0 | 42.2 | 50.0 | 54 |
| 4:00 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39\% | 18\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 37.9 | 43.0 | 51.4 | 38 |
| 5:00 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 29.0 | 42.0 | 49.0 | 22 |
| 6:00 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 19 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38\% | 16\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 35.2 | 42.9 | 50.3 | 64 |
| 7:00 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 34 | 52 | 44 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 37\% | 13\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 36.0 | 42.7 | 49.7 | 186 |
| 8:00 | 20 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 38 | 82 | 82 | 39 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 46\% | 19\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1\% | 37.3 | 44.3 | 51.6 | 301 |
| 9:00 | 16 | 25 | 7 | 6 | 37 | 69 | 83 | 42 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47\% | 19\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 36.7 | 44.3 | 51.5 | 300 |
| 10:00 | 22 | 28 | 8 | 8 | 46 | 96 | 95 | 43 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43\% | 17\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 36.2 | 43.7 | 50.7 | 363 |
| 11:00 | 28 | 37 | 10 | 11 | 49 | 100 | 103 | 47 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41\% | 16\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 35.0 | 43.3 | 50.3 | 400 |
| 12:00 | 43 | 44 | 12 | 13 | 63 | 125 | 122 | 60 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40\% | 15\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 34.0 | 43.0 | 50.0 | 496 |
| 13:00 | 34 | 50 | 12 | 11 | 63 | 154 | 154 | 69 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43\% | 16\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 36.1 | 43.8 | 50.5 | 569 |
| 14:00 | 32 | 44 | 12 | 10 | 58 | 125 | 125 | 66 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43\% | 18\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 35.7 | 43.7 | 51.2 | 495 |
| 15:00 | 38 | 57 | 19 | 11 | 64 | 174 | 169 | 80 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43\% | 16\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 35.9 | 43.8 | 50.6 | 637 |
| 16:00 | 58 | 76 | 28 | 30 | 109 | 243 | 230 | 105 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40\% | 14\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 35.3 | 43.1 | 49.9 | 903 |
| 17:00 | 82 | 92 | 33 | 40 | 172 | 326 | 246 | 78 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 34.1 | 42.0 | 48.7 | 1089 |
| 18:00 | 118 | 82 | 48 | 52 | 219 | 369 | 240 | 64 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 32.7 | 41.2 | 47.8 | 1202 |
| 19:00 | 52 | 38 | 19 | 15 | 92 | 209 | 164 | 46 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 34.7 | 42.6 | 48.9 | 648 |
| 20:00 | 35 | 32 | 8 | 7 | 51 | 105 | 96 | 33 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37\% | 12\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 33.7 | 42.7 | 49.4 | 378 |
| 21:00 | 35 | 33 | 10 | 8 | 38 | 89 | 75 | 32 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35\% | 13\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 32.4 | 42.4 | 49.6 | 330 |
| 22:00 | 27 | 18 | 4 |  | 35 | 76 | 64 | 28 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 37\% | 13\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 33.6 | 42.8 | 49.6 | 264 |
| 23:00 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 24 | 42 | 38 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39\% | 15\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 33.8 | 43.0 | 50.0 | 157 |
| 24:00 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 26 | 42 | 37 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32\% | 8\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 32.9 | 41.9 | 48.6 | 151 |
| Avg. Daily Total | 719 | 712 | 239 | 267 | 1275 | 2571 | 2237 | 908 | 221 | 41 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 37.1 | 12.8 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 34.6 | 42.7 | 49.6 | 9198 |
| Percent | 7.8\% | 7.7\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% | 13.9\% | 28.0\% | 24.3\% | 9.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cum. Percent | 7.8\% | 15.6\% | 18.2\% | 21.1\% | 34.9\% | 62.9\% | 87.2\% | 97.1\% | 99.5\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | TR | AFFIC | LOW | Y DIRE | TION |  |  |  |  |
| Average hour | 30 | 30 | 10 | 11 | 53 | 107 | 93 | 38 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 383 |


| North |  | Speed 34.6 | 50th\% Speed | 85th\% Speed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South |  | 42.5 | 45.2 |  | 51.8 |
| Peak Hour Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | Hour | Count | 2-way | Hour | Count |
| North | 18 | 1202 | A.M. | 8 | 1579 |
| South | 8 | 1278 | P.M. | 18 | 1567 |



| New York State Department of Transportation Speed Count Average Weekday Report |  |  |  |  | Page 2 of 2 Date: $\mathbf{1 2 / 2 0 / 2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station: | 430950 | Start date: | Fri 11/12/2010 16:00 | Count duration: | 164 hours |
| Route \#: | 940K Road name: Mount Read Blvd | End date: | Fri 11/19/2010 11:45 | Functional class: | 16 |
| From: | TOWN OF GREECE | County: | Monroe | Factor group: | 30 |
| To: | JoANN DRIVE | Town: | GREECE | Batch ID: | DOT-r4-10contractor46b |
| Direction: | South | Speed limit: | 35 | Count taken by: | Org: TST Init: GNL |
| Lanes: 1, 2 |  | LION\#: |  | Processed by: | Org: DOT Init: TGB |


| Speeds, mph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0- \\ & 20.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.1- \\ 25.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.1- \\ 30.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.1-1 \\ 35.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.1- \\ 40.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.1- \\ & 45.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.1- \\ & 5.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.1- \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1- \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.1- \\ & 65.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.11 \\ & 70.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.1- \\ 75.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.1- \\ 95.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Exc } \\ 60.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Exc } \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | Avg | 50th\% | 85th\% | Total |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46\% | 14\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 42.8 | 44.3 | 49.8 | 37 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 41.3 | 42.9 | 48.8 | 23 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 42.4 | 43.6 | 50.6 | 24 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40.6 | 42.3 | 49.3 | 35 |
| 5:00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 22 | 20 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46\% | 21\% | 8\% | 3\% | 0\% | 41.6 | 44.4 | 52.3 | 80 |
| 6:00 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 18 | 38 | 90 | 100 | 53 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52\% | 22\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 42.6 | 45.4 | 52.1 | 330 |
| 7:00 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 31 | 54 | 172 | 229 | 119 | 33 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 59\% | 24\% | 6\% | 2\% | 0\% | 44.3 | 46.4 | 52.7 | 662 |
| 8:00 | 9 | 4 | 12 | 47 | 89 | 261 | 432 | 319 | 91 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 67\% | 33\% | 8\% | 1\% | 0\% | 45.3 | 47.6 | 53.7 | 1278 |
| 9:00 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 41 | 48 | 151 | 225 | 146 | 48 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 62\% | 29\% | 8\% | 2\% | 0\% | 43.7 | 46.9 | 53.5 | 695 |
| 10:00 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 26 | 52 | 108 | 116 | 58 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48\% | 19\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 41.3 | 44.7 | 51.4 | 399 |
| 11:00 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 25 | 39 | 108 | 113 | 46 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48\% | 17\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 41.2 | 44.7 | 50.7 | 363 |
| 12:00 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 22 | 49 | 119 | 122 | 47 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47\% | 15\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 41.4 | 44.5 | 50.1 | 389 |
| 13:00 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 22 | 52 | 132 | 146 | 55 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 49\% | 16\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 41.9 | 44.9 | 50.6 | 447 |
| 14:00 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 29 | 47 | 124 | 121 | 58 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47\% | 18\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 41.0 | 44.5 | 51.1 | 418 |
| 15:00 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 31 | 53 | 135 | 144 | 63 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 49\% | 18\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 41.6 | 44.8 | 51.2 | 470 |
| 16:00 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 26 | 58 | 139 | 138 | 63 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48\% | 18\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 42.1 | 44.7 | 51.1 | 461 |
| 17:00 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 27 | 51 | 130 | 114 | 54 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45\% | 16\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 41.8 | 44.2 | 50.6 | 402 |
| 18:00 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 22 | 65 | 126 | 98 | 34 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39\% | 12\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 41.8 | 43.4 | 49.5 | 365 |
| 19:00 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 23 | 53 | 111 | 82 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40.5 | 43.0 | 48.9 | 311 |
| 20:00 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 30 | 78 | 51 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33\% | 9\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 39.5 | 42.9 | 48.8 | 206 |
| 21:00 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 27 | 60 | 43 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35\% | 9\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40.3 | 43.0 | 48.9 | 164 |
| 22:00 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 21 | 51 | 39 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38\% | 11\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40.7 | 43.3 | 49.3 | 145 |
| 23:00 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 43 | 34 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42\% | 13\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 41.7 | 43.9 | 49.8 | 119 |
| 24:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 22 | 22 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46\% | 15\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 42.0 | 44.4 | 50.2 | 72 |
| Avg. Daily Total | 99 | 61 | 136 | 463 | 880 | 2217 | 2421 | 1227 | 316 | 63 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 51.2 | 20.5 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 42.5 | 45.2 | 51.8 | 7895 |
| Percent | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 1.7\% | 5.9\% | 11.1\% | 28.1\% | 30.7\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cum. Percent | 1.3\% | 2.0\% | 3.7\% | 9.6\% | 20.8\% | 48.8\% | 79.5\% | 95.0\% | 99.1\% | 99.8\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | TR | AFFIC | LOW | Y DIRE | TION |  |  |  |  |
| Average hour | 4 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 37 | 92 | 101 | 51 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 329 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Avg. Speed | 50th\% Speed | 85th\% Speed |  |  |
|  | 34.6 | 42.7 |  | 49.6 |  |
| North |  | 42.5 | 45.2 |  | 51.8 |
| South |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Peak Hour Data |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2-way | Hour | Count |  |
| Direction | Hour | Count | A.M. | 8 | 1579 |
| North | 18 | 1202 | P.M. | 18 | 1567 |
| South | 8 | 1278 |  |  |  |




| ROAD \#: 1500 | ROAD NAME: MT READ BLVD | FROM: ROCH CITY LINE | TO: LANE CHNG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATION: $\mathbf{4 3 8 0 7 7}$ | STATE DIR CODE: $\mathbf{1}$ | PLACEMENT: 550 Ft. N. Joanne Dr. |  |
| DATE OF COUNT: | 08/02/2009 |  |  |



# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study
Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd
File Name : 13-03-26 Buffalo AM-PM
Site Code : 1
Start Date: 3/26/2013
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks / Buses

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  |  | Buffalo Rd From East |  |  |  |  | Driveway From South |  |  |  | Buffalo Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 63 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 86 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 63 | 85 | 199 |
| 07:15 AM | 73 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 124 | 33 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 68 | 107 | 281 |
| 07:30 AM | 84 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 142 | 39 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 67 | 107 | 314 |
| 07:45 AM | 70 | 1 | 53 | 1 | 125 | 46 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 93 | 146 | 343 |
| Total | 290 | 3 | 182 | 2 | 477 | 135 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 153 | 291 | 445 | 1137 |


| $08: 00$ AM | 99 | 2 | 55 | 0 | 156 | 32 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 70 | 109 | 315 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 67 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 113 | 28 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 63 | 90 | 254 |
| $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 65 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 95 | 41 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 62 | 82 | 244 |
| $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 99 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 131 | 43 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 56 | 74 | 265 |
| Total | 330 | 2 | 162 | 1 | 495 | 144 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 103 | 251 | 355 | 1078 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 103 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 154 | 78 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 98 | 139 | 402 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 79 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 119 | 80 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 87 | 120 | 351 |
| 04:30 PM | 60 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 97 | 102 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 105 | 141 | 380 |
| 04:45 PM | 82 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 128 | 64 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 85 | 119 | 352 |
| Total | 324 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 498 | 324 | 140 | 0 | 2 | 466 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 143 | 375 | 519 | 1485 |
| 05:00 PM | 123 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 164 | 74 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 104 | 147 | 433 |
| 05:15 PM | 80 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 123 | 63 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 90 | 114 | 340 |
| 05:30 PM | 81 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 116 | 63 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 56 | 80 | 295 |
| 05:45 PM | 47 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 61 | 66 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 40 | 57 | 205 |
| Total | 331 | 0 | 132 | 1 | 464 | 266 | 143 | 0 | 1 | 410 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 108 | 290 | 398 | 1273 |

# Bergmann Associates 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd

File Name : 13-03-26 Buffalo AM-PM
Site Code : 1
Start Date : 3/26/2013
Page No :2

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks / Buses

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  |  | Buffalo Rd From East |  |  |  |  | Driveway From South |  |  |  | Buffalo Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Grand Total | 1275 | 5 | 650 | 4 | 1934 | 869 | 443 | 0 | 3 | 1315 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 507 | 1207 | 1717 | 4973 |
| Apprch \% | 65.9 | 0.3 | 33.6 | 0.2 |  | 66.1 | 33.7 | 0 | 0.2 |  | 14.3 | 71.4 | 14.3 |  | 0.2 | 29.5 | 70.3 |  |  |
| Total \% | 25.6 | 0.1 | 13.1 | 0.1 | 38.9 | 17.5 | 8.9 | 0 | 0.1 | 26.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 10.2 | 24.3 | 34.5 |  |
| Cars | 1153 | 5 | 607 | 4 | 1769 | 790 | 415 | 0 | 3 | 1208 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 486 | 1104 | 1592 | 4575 |
| \% Cars | 90.4 | 100 | 93.4 | 100 | 91.5 | 90.9 | 93.7 | 0 | 100 | 91.9 | 100 | 80 | 100 | 85.7 | 66.7 | 95.9 | 91.5 | 92.7 | 92 |
| Trucks / Buses | 122 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 165 | 79 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 103 | 125 | 398 |
| \% Trucks / Buses | 9.6 | 0 | 6.6 | 0 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 8.1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 14.3 | 33.3 | 4.1 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 8 |



# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd

File Name: 13-03-26 Buffalo AM-PM
Site Code : 1
Start Date : 3/26/2013
Page No : 3

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  |  | Buffalo Rd From East |  |  |  |  | Driveway From South |  |  |  | Buffalo Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 73 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 124 | 33 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 68 | 107 | 281 |
| 07:30 AM | 84 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 142 | 39 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 67 | 107 | 314 |
| 07:45 AM | 70 | 1 | 53 | 1 | 125 | 46 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 93 | 146 | 343 |
| 08:00 AM | 99 | 2 | 55 | 0 | 156 | 32 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 70 | 109 | 315 |
| Total Volume | 326 | 5 | 214 | 2 | 547 | 150 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 170 | 298 | 469 | 1253 |
| \% App. Total | 59.6 | 0.9 | 39.1 | 0.4 |  | 63.3 | 36.7 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.2 | 36.2 | 63.5 |  |  |
| PHF | . 823 | . 625 | . 939 | . 500 | . 877 | . 815 | . 837 | . 000 | . 000 | . 823 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 802 | . 801 | . 803 | . 913 |
| Cars | 285 | 5 | 197 | 2 | 489 | 124 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 160 | 256 | 417 | 1105 |
| \% Cars | 87.4 | 100 | 92.1 | 100 | 89.4 | 82.7 | 86.2 | 0 | 0 | 84.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 94.1 | 85.9 | 88.9 | 88.2 |
| Trucks / Buses | 41 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 58 | 26 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 42 | 52 | 148 |
| \% Trucks / Buses | 12.6 | 0 | 7.9 | 0 | 10.6 | 17.3 | 13.8 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.9 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 11.8 |



# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd

File Name : 13-03-26 Buffalo AM-PM
Site Code : 1
Start Date : 3/26/2013
Page No : 4

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  |  | Buffalo Rd From East |  |  |  |  | Driveway From South |  |  |  | Buffalo Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 79 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 119 | 80 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 87 | 120 | 351 |
| 04:30 PM | 60 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 97 | 102 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 105 | 141 | 380 |
| 04:45 PM | 82 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 128 | 64 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 85 | 119 | 352 |
| 05:00 PM | 123 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 164 | 74 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 104 | 147 | 433 |
| Total Volume | 344 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 508 | 320 | 158 | 0 | 1 | 479 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 146 | 381 | 527 | 1516 |
| \% App. Total | 67.7 | 0 | 32.3 | 0 |  | 66.8 | 33 | 0 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 27.7 | 72.3 |  |  |
| PHF | . 699 | . 000 | . 891 | . 000 | . 774 | . 784 | . 840 | . 000 | . 250 | . 843 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 849 | . 907 | . 896 | . 875 |
| Cars | 313 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 475 | 300 | 154 | 0 | 1 | 455 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 143 | 367 | 510 | 1442 |
| \% Cars | 91.0 | 0 | 98.8 | 0 | 93.5 | 93.8 | 97.5 | 0 | 100 | 95.0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 97.9 | 96.3 | 96.8 | 95.1 |
| Trucks / Buses | 31 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 17 | 74 |
| \% Trucks / Buses | 9.0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 4.9 |



# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study
File Name : 13-03-26 Emerson AM-PM
Site Code : 2
Start Date: 3/26/2013
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks - Buses

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Emerson St From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Emerson St From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 12 | 131 | 10 | 153 | 5 | 35 | 17 | 57 | 17 | 58 | 23 | 98 | 14 | 43 | 6 | 63 | 371 |
| 07:15 AM | 19 | 239 | 11 | 269 | 11 | 24 | 13 | 48 | 23 | 83 | 27 | 133 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 49 | 499 |
| 07:30 AM | 12 | 256 | 22 | 290 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 64 | 14 | 99 | 24 | 137 | 12 | 31 | 9 | 52 | 543 |
| 07:45 AM | 49 | 227 | 19 | 295 | 15 | 27 | 18 | 60 | 28 | 109 | 27 | 164 | 21 | 22 | 6 | 49 | 568 |
| Total | 92 | 853 | 62 | 1007 | 51 | 108 | 70 | 229 | 82 | 349 | 101 | 532 | 70 | 119 | 24 | 213 | 1981 |
| 08:00 AM | 50 | 202 | 13 | 265 | 19 | 34 | 23 | 76 | 18 | 98 | 20 | 136 | 19 | 35 | 5 | 59 | 536 |
| 08:15 AM | 23 | 188 | 13 | 224 | 13 | 26 | 20 | 59 | 21 | 84 | 8 | 113 | 13 | 31 | 6 | 50 | 446 |
| 08:30 AM | 4 | 133 | 15 | 152 | 16 | 23 | 19 | 58 | 15 | 76 | 17 | 108 | 12 | 24 | 6 | 42 | 360 |
| 08:45 AM | 4 | 151 | 14 | 169 | 13 | 25 | 14 | 52 | 36 | 83 | 14 | 133 | 14 | 23 | 3 | 40 | 394 |
| Total | 81 | 674 | 55 | 810 | 61 | 108 | 76 | 245 | 90 | 341 | 59 | 490 | 58 | 113 | 20 | 191 | 1736 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 1 | 137 | 24 | 162 | 26 | 42 | 34 | 102 | 26 | 202 | 15 | 243 | 21 | 42 | 12 | 75 | 582 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 10 | 123 | 20 | 153 | 24 | 32 | 24 | 80 | 27 | 196 | 15 | 238 | 18 | 32 | 13 | 63 | 534 |
| 04:30 PM | 6 | 123 | 9 | 138 | 34 | 58 | 27 | 119 | 25 | 223 | 16 | 264 | 33 | 37 | 19 | 89 | 610 |
| 04:45 PM | 8 | 129 | 21 | 158 | 28 | 47 | 9 | 84 | 19 | 211 | 20 | 250 | 19 | 39 | 9 | 67 | 559 |
| Total | 25 | 512 | 74 | 611 | 112 | 179 | 94 | 385 | 97 | 832 | 66 | 995 | 91 | 150 | 53 | 294 | 2285 |
| 05:00 PM | 5 | 161 | 11 | 177 | 25 | 46 | 16 | 87 | 23 | 200 | 9 | 232 | 30 | 37 | 18 | 85 | 581 |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 120 | 8 | 132 | 30 | 29 | 16 | 75 | 24 | 256 | 20 | 300 | 19 | 26 | 5 | 50 | 557 |
| 05:30 PM | 4 | 116 | 18 | 138 | 20 | 31 | 18 | 69 | 20 | 232 | 13 | 265 | 14 | 26 | 8 | 48 | 520 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 92 | 17 | 110 | 19 | 15 | 11 | 45 | 16 | 153 | 12 | 181 | 9 | 26 | 5 | 40 | 376 |
| Total | 14 | 489 | 54 | 557 | 94 | 121 | 61 | 276 | 83 | 841 | 54 | 978 | 72 | 115 | 36 | 223 | 2034 |

# Bergmann Associates 

## Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study

 Buffalo Rd to Stone RdFile Name : 13-03-26 Emerson AM-PM
Site Code : 2
Start Date : 3/26/2013
Page No : 2

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Emerson St From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Emerson St From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Grand Total | 212 | 2528 | 245 | 2985 | 318 | 516 | 301 | 1135 | 352 | 2363 | 280 | 2995 | 291 | 497 | 133 | 921 | 8036 |
| Apprch \% | 7.1 | 84.7 | 8.2 |  | 28 | 45.5 | 26.5 |  | 11.8 | 78.9 | 9.3 |  | 31.6 | 54 | 14.4 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.6 | 31.5 | 3 | 37.1 | 4 | 6.4 | 3.7 | 14.1 | 4.4 | 29.4 | 3.5 | 37.3 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 1.7 | 11.5 |  |
| Cars | 164 | 2386 | 222 | 2772 | 318 | 516 | 301 | 1135 | 352 | 2363 | 280 | 2995 | 259 | 424 | 112 | 795 | 7697 |
| \% Cars | 77.4 | 94.4 | 90.6 | 92.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 89 | 85.3 | 84.2 | 86.3 | 95.8 |
| Trucks | 26 | 48 | 4 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 93 |
| \% Trucks | 12.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Buses | 22 | 94 | 19 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 63 | 18 | 111 | 246 |
| \% Buses | 10.4 | 3.7 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.3 | 12.7 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 3.1 |


|  | 164 2386 222 <br> 26 48 4 <br> 22 94 19 <br> 212 2528 245 <br>  Right Thru |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> North <br> 3/26/2013 07:00 AM <br> 3/26/2013 05:45 PM <br> Cars <br> Trucks <br> Buses |  |
|  |  |  |

# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

## Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study

 Buffalo Rd to Stone RdFile Name : 13-03-26 Emerson AM-PM
Site Code : 2
Start Date : 3/26/2013
Page No : 3

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Emerson St From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Emerson St From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | tire Inte | section | egins | at 07:15 A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 19 | 239 | 11 | 269 | 11 | 24 | 13 | 48 | 23 | 83 | 27 | 133 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 49 | 499 |
| 07:30 AM | 12 | 256 | 22 | 290 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 64 | 14 | 99 | 24 | 137 | 12 | 31 | 9 | 52 | 543 |
| 07:45 AM | 49 | 227 | 19 | 295 | 15 | 27 | 18 | 60 | 28 | 109 | 27 | 164 | 21 | 22 | 6 | 49 | 568 |
| 08:00 AM | 50 | 202 | 13 | 265 | 19 | 34 | 23 | 76 | 18 | 98 | 20 | 136 | 19 | 35 | 5 | 59 | 536 |
| Total Volume | 130 | 924 | 65 | 1119 | 65 | 107 | 76 | 248 | 83 | 389 | 98 | 570 | 75 | 111 | 23 | 209 | 2146 |
| \% App. Total | 11.6 | 82.6 | 5.8 |  | 26.2 | 43.1 | 30.6 |  | 14.6 | 68.2 | 17.2 |  | 35.9 | 53.1 | 11 |  |  |
| PHF | . 650 | . 902 | . 739 | . 948 | . 813 | . 787 | . 826 | . 816 | . 741 | . 892 | . 907 | 869 | . 815 | . 793 | . 639 | . 886 | . 945 |
| Cars | 104 | 879 | 61 | 1044 | 65 | 107 | 76 | 248 | 83 | 389 | 98 | 570 | 59 | 92 | 13 | 164 | 2026 |
| \% Cars | 80.0 | 95.1 | 93.8 | 93.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 78.7 | 82.9 | 56.5 | 78.5 | 94.4 |
| Trucks | 16 | 32 | 2 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 55 |
| \% Trucks | 12.3 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| Buses | 10 | 13 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 10 | 40 | 65 |
| \% Buses | 7.7 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.7 | 14.4 | 43.5 | 19.1 | 3.0 |



# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd

File Name : 13-03-26 Emerson AM-PM
Site Code : 2
Start Date : 3/26/2013
Page No : 4

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Emerson St From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Emerson St From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 6 | 123 | 9 | 138 | 34 | 58 | 27 | 119 | 25 | 223 | 16 | 264 | 33 | 37 | 19 | 89 | 610 |
| 04:45 PM | 8 | 129 | 21 | 158 | 28 | 47 | 9 | 84 | 19 | 211 | 20 | 250 | 19 | 39 | 9 | 67 | 559 |
| 05:00 PM | 5 | 161 | 11 | 177 | 25 | 46 | 16 | 87 | 23 | 200 | 9 | 232 | 30 | 37 | 18 | 85 | 581 |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 120 | 8 | 132 | 30 | 29 | 16 | 75 | 24 | 256 | 20 | 300 | 19 | 26 | 5 | 50 | 557 |
| Total Volume | 23 | 533 | 49 | 605 | 117 | 180 | 68 | 365 | 91 | 890 | 65 | 1046 | 101 | 139 | 51 | 291 | 2307 |
| \% App. Total | 3.8 | 88.1 | 8.1 |  | 32.1 | 49.3 | 18.6 |  | 8.7 | 85.1 | 6.2 |  | 34.7 | 47.8 | 17.5 |  |  |
| PHF | . 719 | . 828 | . 583 | . 855 | . 860 | . 776 | . 630 | . 767 | . 910 | . 869 | . 813 | . 872 | . 765 | . 891 | . 671 | . 817 | . 945 |
| Cars | 20 | 493 | 44 | 557 | 117 | 180 | 68 | 365 | 91 | 890 | 65 | 1046 | 98 | 124 | 48 | 270 | 2238 |
| \% Cars | 87.0 | 92.5 | 89.8 | 92.1 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97.0 | 89.2 | 94.1 | 92.8 | 97.0 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Buses | 3 | 40 | 5 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 21 | 69 |
| \% Buses | 13.0 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.0 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 3.0 |



# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd

File Name : 13-03-27 Driving Park AM-PM
Site Code : 3
Start Date : 3/27/2013
Page No :1

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks \& Buses

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Driving Park Ave From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Driving Park Ave From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 217 | 18 | 236 | 7 | 2 | 24 | 33 | 39 | 57 | 1 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 367 |
| 07:15 AM | 5 | 248 | 29 | 282 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 27 | 47 | 58 | 3 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 418 |
| 07:30 AM | 25 | 328 | 28 | 381 | 12 | 3 | 29 | 44 | 48 | 66 | 2 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 541 |
| 07:45 AM | 30 | 252 | 41 | 323 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 35 | 57 | 58 | 4 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 |
| Total | 61 | 1045 | 116 | 1222 | 42 | 5 | 92 | 139 | 191 | 239 | 10 | 440 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1803 |
| 08:00 AM | 15 | 193 | 28 | 236 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 31 | 55 | 74 | 6 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 402 |
| 08:15 AM | 11 | 200 | 9 | 220 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 54 | 55 | 4 | 113 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 361 |
| 08:30 AM | 15 | 160 | 16 | 191 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 32 | 39 | 66 | 3 | 108 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 333 |
| 08:45 AM | 9 | 119 | 12 | 140 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 40 | 34 | 72 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 288 |
| Total | 50 | 672 | 65 | 787 | 58 | 0 | 71 | 129 | 182 | 267 | 13 | 462 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1384 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 6 | 132 | 17 | 155 | 27 | 0 | 38 | 65 | 57 | 210 | 1 | 268 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 493 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 4 | 131 | 13 | 148 | 34 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 55 | 190 | 0 | 245 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 463 |
| 04:30 PM | 6 | 117 | 14 | 137 | 32 | 0 | 38 | 70 | 59 | 232 | 0 | 291 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 506 |
| 04:45 PM | 2 | 96 | 25 | 123 | 30 | 0 | 35 | 65 | 66 | 231 | 2 | 299 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 495 |
| Total | 18 | 476 | 69 | 563 | 123 | 0 | 144 | 267 | 237 | 863 | 3 | 1103 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 24 | 1957 |
| 05:00 PM | 2 | 124 | 14 | 140 | 49 | 1 | 37 | 87 | 67 | 239 | 0 | 306 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 539 |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 102 | 10 | 116 | 45 | 0 | 33 | 78 | 37 | 218 | 4 | 259 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 459 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 101 | 13 | 116 | 24 | 0 | 33 | 57 | 38 | 173 | 3 | 214 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 394 |
| 05:45 PM | 4 | 107 | 13 | 124 | 22 | 0 | 20 | 42 | 37 | 141 | 0 | 178 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 347 |
| Total | 12 | 434 | 50 | 496 | 140 | 1 | 123 | 264 | 179 | 771 | 7 | 957 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 22 | 1739 |

# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd

File Name : 13-03-27 Driving Park AM-PM
Site Code : 3
Start Date : 3/27/2013
Page No : 2

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks \& Buses

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Driving Park Ave From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Driving Park Ave From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Grand Total | 141 | 2627 | 300 | 3068 | 363 | 6 | 430 | 799 | 789 | 2140 | 33 | 2962 | 15 | 24 | 15 | 54 | 6883 |
| Apprch \% | 4.6 | 85.6 | 9.8 |  | 45.4 | 0.8 | 53.8 |  | 26.6 | 72.2 | 1.1 |  | 27.8 | 44.4 | 27.8 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2 | 38.2 | 4.4 | 44.6 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 6.2 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 31.1 | 0.5 | 43 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |  |
| Cars | 141 | 2627 | 300 | 3068 | 339 | 4 | 356 | 699 | 716 | 2092 | 29 | 2837 | 15 | 24 | 15 | 54 | 6658 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93.4 | 66.7 | 82.8 | 87.5 | 90.7 | 97.8 | 87.9 | 95.8 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96.7 |
| Trucks \& Buses \% Trucks \& Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 74 | 100 | 73 | 48 | 4 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 |



# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd

File Name : 13-03-27 Driving Park AM-PM
Site Code : 3
Start Date : 3/27/2013
Page No : 3

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Driving Park Ave From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Driving Park Ave From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 5 | 248 | 29 | 282 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 27 | 47 | 58 | 3 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 418 |
| 07:30 AM | 25 | 328 | 28 | 381 | 12 | 3 | 29 | 44 | 48 | 66 | 2 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 541 |
| 07:45 AM | 30 | 252 | 41 | 323 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 35 | 57 | 58 | 4 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 |
| 08:00 AM | 15 | 193 | 28 | 236 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 31 | 55 | 74 | 6 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 402 |
| Total Volume | 75 | 1021 | 126 | 1222 | 51 | 3 | 83 | 137 | 207 | 256 | 15 | 478 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1838 |
| \% App. Total | 6.1 | 83.6 | 10.3 |  | 37.2 | 2.2 | 60.6 |  | 43.3 | 53.6 | 3.1 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |  |
| PHF | . 625 | . 778 | . 768 | . 802 | . 797 | . 250 | . 716 | . 778 | . 908 | . 865 | . 625 | . 885 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 849 |
| Cars | 75 | 1021 | 126 | 1222 | 45 | 2 | 59 | 106 | 182 | 244 | 14 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1769 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 88.2 | 66.7 | 71.1 | 77.4 | 87.9 | 95.3 | 93.3 | 92.1 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 96.2 |
| Trucks \& Buses \% Trucks \& Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 24 | 31 | 25 | 12 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |



# Bergmann Associates <br> 200 First Federal Plaza <br> 28 East Main Street <br> Rochester, NY 14614 

Mt. Read Blvd Corridor Study Buffalo Rd to Stone Rd

File Name : 13-03-27 Driving Park AM-PM
Site Code : 3
Start Date : 3/27/2013
Page No : 4

|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Driving Park Ave From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Driving Park Ave From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 4 | 131 | 13 | 148 | 34 | 0 | 33 | 67 | 55 | 190 | 0 | 245 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 463 |
| 04:30 PM | 6 | 117 | 14 | 137 | 32 | 0 | 38 | 70 | 59 | 232 | 0 | 291 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 506 |
| 04:45 PM | 2 | 96 | 25 | 123 | 30 | 0 | 35 | 65 | 66 | 231 | 2 | 299 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 495 |
| 05:00 PM | 2 | 124 | 14 | 140 | 49 | 1 | 37 | 87 | 67 | 239 | 0 | 306 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 539 |
| Total Volume | 14 | 468 | 66 | 548 | 145 | 1 | 143 | 289 | 247 | 892 | 2 | 1141 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 25 | 2003 |
| \% App. Total | 2.6 | 85.4 | 12 |  | 50.2 | 0.3 | 49.5 |  | 21.6 | 78.2 | 0.2 |  | 32 | 44 | 24 |  |  |
| PHF | . 583 | . 893 | . 660 | . 926 | . 740 | . 250 | . 941 | . 830 | . 922 | . 933 | . 250 | . 932 | . 500 | . 688 | . 375 | . 781 | . 929 |
| Cars | 14 | 468 | 66 | 548 | 139 | 1 | 132 | 272 | 230 | 883 | 2 | 1115 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 25 | 1960 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95.9 | 100 | 92.3 | 94.1 | 93.1 | 99.0 | 100 | 97.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97.9 |
| Trucks \& Buses $\%$ Trucks \& Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
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|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Joanne Dr From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd Service Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 230 | 9 | 239 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 31 | 2 | 64 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 339 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 283 | 6 | 289 | 13 | 0 | 30 | 43 | 6 | 76 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 417 |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 343 | 14 | 359 | 12 | 0 | 32 | 44 | 7 | 69 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 482 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 263 | 9 | 272 | 9 | 0 | 32 | 41 | 9 | 73 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| Total | 2 | 1119 | 38 | 1159 | 44 | 0 | 115 | 159 | 24 | 282 | 1 | 307 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1633 |
| 08:00 AM | 1 | 202 | 12 | 215 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 10 | 68 | 1 | 79 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 325 |
| 08:15 AM | 4 | 176 | 11 | 191 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 30 | 10 | 58 | 1 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 293 |
| 08:30 AM | 2 | 118 | 6 | 126 | 11 | 0 | 21 | 32 | 9 | 57 | 2 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 230 |
| 08:45 AM | 2 | 107 | 7 | 116 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 27 | 9 | 82 | 2 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 244 |
| Total | 9 | 603 | 36 | 648 | 41 | 0 | 75 | 116 | 38 | 265 | 6 | 309 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 1092 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 0 | 123 | 16 | 139 | 26 | 0 | 11 | 37 | 37 | 225 | 3 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 450 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 67 | 17 | 84 | 19 | 0 | 9 | 28 | 21 | 254 | 3 | 278 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 404 |
| 04:30 PM | 1 | 93 | 18 | 112 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 26 | 28 | 238 | 3 | 269 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 420 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 60 | 15 | 76 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 42 | 245 | 8 | 295 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 397 |
| Total | 2 | 343 | 66 | 411 | 68 | 0 | 37 | 105 | 128 | 962 | 17 | 1107 | 6 | 0 | 42 | 48 | 1671 |
| 05:00 PM | 2 | 85 | 26 | 113 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 33 | 307 | 3 | 343 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 480 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 74 | 26 | 100 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 34 | 42 | 260 | 4 | 306 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 448 |
| 05:30 PM | 3 | 74 | 10 | 87 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 31 | 26 | 209 | 3 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 365 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 91 | 15 | 106 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 28 | 31 | 171 | 1 | 203 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 352 |
| Total | 5 | 324 | 77 | 406 | 53 | 0 | 57 | 110 | 132 | 947 | 11 | 1090 | 4 | 0 | 35 | 39 | 1645 |
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|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Joanne Dr From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd Service Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Grand Total | 18 | 2389 | 217 | 2624 | 206 | 0 | 284 | 490 | 322 | 2456 | 35 | 2813 | 15 | 0 | 99 | 114 | 6041 |
| Apprch \% | 0.7 | 91 | 8.3 |  | 42 | 0 | 58 |  | 11.4 | 87.3 | 1.2 |  | 13.2 | 0 | 86.8 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.3 | 39.5 | 3.6 | 43.4 | 3.4 | 0 | 4.7 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 40.7 | 0.6 | 46.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.9 |  |
| Cars | 18 | 2334 | 211 | 2563 | 201 | 0 | 278 | 479 | 319 | 2394 | 35 | 2748 | 15 | 0 | 98 | 113 | 5903 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 97.7 | 97.2 | 97.7 | 97.6 | 0 | 97.9 | 97.8 | 99.1 | 97.5 | 100 | 97.7 | 100 | 0 | 99 | 99.1 | 97.7 |
| Trucks \& Buses \% Trucks \& Buses | 0 | 55 | 6 | 61 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 62 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 138 |
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|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Joanne Dr From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd Service Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | tire Inte | ection | egins | at 07:00 A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 230 | 9 | 239 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 31 | 2 | 64 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 339 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 283 | 6 | 289 | 13 | 0 | 30 | 43 | 6 | 76 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 417 |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 343 | 14 | 359 | 12 | 0 | 32 | 44 | 7 | 69 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 482 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 263 | 9 | 272 | 9 | 0 | 32 | 41 | 9 | 73 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| Total Volume | 2 | 1119 | 38 | 1159 | 44 | 0 | 115 | 159 | 24 | 282 | 1 | 307 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1633 |
| \% App. Total | 0.2 | 96.5 | 3.3 |  | 27.7 | 0 | 72.3 |  | 7.8 | 91.9 | 0.3 |  | 25 | 0 | 75 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 816 | . 679 | . 807 | . 846 | . 000 | . 898 | . 903 | . 667 | . 928 | . 250 | . 925 | . 500 | . 000 | . 500 | . 667 | . 847 |
| Cars | 2 | 1101 | 35 | 1138 | 42 | 0 | 114 | 156 | 24 | 260 | 1 | 285 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1587 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 98.4 | 92.1 | 98.2 | 95.5 | 0 | 99.1 | 98.1 | 100 | 92.2 | 100 | 92.8 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 97.2 |
| Trucks \& Buses \% Trucks \& Buses | 0 | 18 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
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|  | Mt. Read Blvd From North |  |  |  | Joanne Dr From East |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd From South |  |  |  | Mt. Read Blvd Service Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | tire Inte | ection | egins | at 04:30 P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 1 | 93 | 18 | 112 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 26 | 28 | 238 | 3 | 269 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 420 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 60 | 15 | 76 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 42 | 245 | 8 | 295 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 397 |
| 05:00 PM | 2 | 85 | 26 | 113 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 33 | 307 | 3 | 343 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 480 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 74 | 26 | 100 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 34 | 42 | 260 | 4 | 306 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 448 |
| Total Volume | 4 | 312 | 85 | 401 | 48 | 0 | 43 | 91 | 145 | 1050 | 18 | 1213 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 40 | 1745 |
| \% App. Total | 1 | 77.8 | 21.2 |  | 52.7 | 0 | 47.3 |  | 12 | 86.6 | 1.5 |  | 12.5 | 0 | 87.5 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 839 | . 817 | . 887 | . 706 | . 000 | . 566 | . 669 | . 863 | . 855 | . 563 | . 884 | . 625 | . 000 | . 729 | . 769 | . 909 |
| Cars | 4 | 308 | 85 | 397 | 48 | 0 | 43 | 91 | 145 | 1040 | 18 | 1203 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 40 | 1731 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 98.7 | 100 | 99.0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.0 | 100 | 99.2 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 99.2 |
| Trucks \& Buses \% Trucks \& Buses | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
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## MEMORANDUM

TO: Terrence J. Rice, P.E., Director of Transportation
FROM: James R. Pond, P.E., PTOE, Associate Traffic Engineer 2 ZP
DATE: December 1, 2010
RE: MONROE COUNTY TRAFFIC VOLUME TRENDS

To help us in identifying appropriate traffic volume growth rates for traffic studies on County roads and City streets, we have evaluated historical traffic volume trends based on the Monroe County Traffic Summary Average Daily Traffic (ADT) counts taken in 2000 through 2009. The calculated traffic volume trends for each Town and the City of Rochester over this time period are listed in Table 1.

The percent per year trend shown in Table 1 was calculated based on a linear regression through the 2000-2009 ADT counts taken at each of our program count stations. The earliest available count during this time period was used as the base. The table has been sorted in descending order of trend by town, with the overall City/County values at the bottom.

In general, the data in Table 1 reflects a County-wide decline in traffic volume on County roads and City streets in every location except the Town of Pittsford. Possible reasons for this decline include the shrinking of Rochester's largest manufacturing sector employers, the recent economic downturn, an aging population, and spikes in gas prices. Also, much of the latest volume data was taken in 2009, when travel was down significantly nationwide even in high growth areas.

Because the longer term trend has been one of vehicular travel consistently increasing over time, the decreasing volume trend that we found is considered to be a short term occurrence that is not likely to continue into the future. Therefore, although the data shown in Table 1 reflects a generally decreasing trend, we used the information as a way to predict future growth by location. To do this, we first assigned each locality to a "Growth Category" which serves to group together the locations that experienced similar volume trends. Growth Category 1 was assigned to locations that either gained or lost less than $0.5 \%$ of volume. Growth Category 2 was assigned to locations that lost from $0.5 \%$ to $1.5 \%$ of volume. Growth Category 3 was assigned to locations that lost from $1.5 \%$ to $3.0 \%$ of volume. Finally, Growth Category 4 was assigned to locations that lost more than $3.0 \%$ of volume.

| Table 1 - Historical Traffic Volume Growth By Locality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Locality | Traffic Volume Trend (\% per year) | Assigned Growth Category (see explanation below) |
| Town of Pittsford | 0.6 | 1 |
| Town of Clarkson | -0.1 | 1 |
| Town of Brighton | -0.2 | 1 |
| Town of Henrietta | -0.2 | 1 |
| Town of Ogden | -0.8 | 2 |
| Town of Wheatland | -0.9 | 2 |
| Town of Gates | -1.0 | 2 |
| Town of Penfield | -1.1 | 2 |
| Town of Greece | -1.2 | 2 |
| City of Rochester | -1.3 | 2 |
| Town of Perinton | -1.3 | 2 |
| Town of Parma | -1.4 | 2 |
| Town of Riga | -1.6 | 3 |
| Town of Hamlin | -1.8 | 3 |
| Town of Mendon | -1.9 | 3 |
| Town of Webster | -2.0 | 3 |
| Town of Chili | -2.1 | 3 |
| Town of Sweden | -2.2 | 3 |
| Town of Rush | -2.6 | 3 |
| Town of Irondequoit | -3.6 | 4 |
| W7 | 16 |  |
| City Only | -1.3 | 2 |
| County Only (All Towns) | -1.4 | 2 |
| City + County Combined | -1.3 | 2 |

The "Growth Category" indicates which locations either grew or had relatively small declines, as compared to others which declined faster. They are defined as follows:

- Growth Category 1 is assigned to locations that either gained or lost less than $0.5 \%$ of volume.
- Growth Category 2 is assigned to locations that lost from $0.5 \%$ to $1.5 \%$ of volume.
- Growth Category 3 is assigned to locations that lost from $1.5 \%$ to $3.0 \%$ of volume.
- Growth Category 4 is assigned to locations that lost more than $3.0 \%$ of volume.
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The next step was to assign a representative linear growth rate for each category. A conservative value often used in the past was $1.5 \%$ of growth per year. We assigned this value to each location in Growth Category 1, where the volumes held steady during a period of general decline. For the remaining locations with declines, we assumed that the future growth would be increasingly less where the declines were increasingly greater. Values of $1.0 \%$ per year, $0.5 \%$ per year, and $0.5 \%$ per year were assigned to Growth Categories 2,3 , and 4 respectively. Note that a $0.0 \%$ growth rate would not allow for any additional vehicles, and a value of less than $0.0 \%$ should never be used for a design because the facility would not have enough capacity when it is built.

The resulting recommended annual growth rates, in alphabetical order by locality name, are shown in Table 2. These growth rates are straight rates, and are not intended to be compounded.

Table 2 - Recommended Future Annual Growth Rates By Locality

| Locality | Assigned <br> Growth Category <br> (from Table 1) | Recommended Annual <br> Straight Growth Rate <br> (\% per year) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Town of Brighton | 1 | 1.5 |
| Town of Chili | 3 | 0.5 |
| Town of Clarkson | 1 | 1.5 |
| Town of Gates | 2 | 1.0 |
| Town of Greece | 2 | 1.0 |
| Town of Hamlin | 3 | 0.5 |
| Town of Henrietta | 1 | 1.5 |
| Town of Irondequoit | 4 | 0.5 |
| Town of Mendon | 3 | 0.5 |
| Town of Ogden | 2 | 1.0 |
| Town of Parma | 2 | 1.0 |
| Town of Penfield | 2 | 1.0 |
| Town of Perinton | 2 | 1.0 |
| Town of Pittsford | 1 | 1.5 |
| Town of Riga | 3 | 0.5 |
| City of Rochester * | 2 | $1.0 *$ |
| Town of Rush | 3 | 0.5 |
| Town of Sweden | 3 | 0.5 |
| Town of Webster | 3 | 0.5 |
| Town of Wheatland | 2 | 1.0 |

* Judgment is needed when selecting an annual growth rate for City streets within the City of Rochester. Instead of using a uniform $1.0 \%$ per year rate throughout the City, the growth rate of the nearest adjacent suburb may be more appropriate. For areas on the south and southeast side, including the area around the University of Rochester, Brighton's $1.5 \%$ per year may be appropriate. For areas on the northeast side, Irondequoit's $0.5 \%$ per year may be appropriate. $1.0 \%$ per year is suitable for the other areas within the City, including the CBD.

The recommended annual growth rates shown in Table 2 are appropriate for projecting future traffic volumes on County roads and City streets when more specific growth data is not available. As noted in the discussion above, they should be applied as straight annual growth rates and not compounded.

## JRP/dph

cc: T. Rice
R. Kozarits
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T. Frelier
T. Frys
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R. Perrin, GTC
B. Mansouri
D. Goehring, NYSDOT
K. Cox
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Level of Service Definitions

## Unsignalized LOS

| LOS | Density Range <br> $(\mathbf{p c / m i} /$ /lane $)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| F | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

Signalized LOS

| LOS | Density Range <br> (pc/mi/lane) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |






## Appendix C: Structures Information




Notes:

1. S.D. = Structurally Deficient
2. Bridge Data compiled from the following sources
$\mathrm{http}: / / /$ mww. dot.ny.goov/gisappss/posted-bridges
http://wwurdot ny gov/main bridgedata/reositor/monroebridgedata. .n
http:/nationalbridges.com
WINBOLTS

## Appendix D: Stakeholder and Community Participation

## City of Rochester Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study <br> Stakeholder Participation Plan 2/26/13

## I. Introduction \& Purpose

The purpose of the City of Rochester Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study is to develop a conceptual design for M ount Read Boulevard from NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road in the Town of Greece. The City of Rochester Stakeholder Participation Plan for the M ount Read Boulevard Corridor Study is intended to provide City staff, partner agencies, the consultant team and project stakeholders with readily accessible and easily understandable guidelines for ensuring that the public has meaningful opportunities to participate in the development of the study.

This document is a starting point developed in February 2013 at the project commencement. Some elements of the plan may change as the planning process unfolds. Other opportunities for public engagement, not identified in this plan, may be implemented at later stages of the study. Based upon a December 2012 conversation with City of Rochester staff, the objectives for stakeholder input are to:

1. Seek information and input from business owners in the M ount Read corridor, which is one of the main distribution hubs in the region.
2. Solicit ideas on how to improve the Mount Read Boulevard and Lyell Avenue intersection.
3. Solicit input and feedback about the specific corridor alternatives that are developed as part of this study.

## a. Stakeholder Participation Plan Guidelines

The following guidelines related to public outreach have been developed for this study:

1. Convene a project advisory committee (PAC) of stakeholders to guide and direct the study. The City, as project sponsor, in consultation with other member agencies, will develop the advisory committee.
2. Hold two public meetings throughout the course of the study. The first public meeting will be held early in the process to provide study background and seek public input on the concept development. The second public meeting will present conceptual designs and give the public the opportunity to provide input before finalizing a concept.
3. Identify interested parties prior to conducting the public meetings. Engage groups that have not traditionally been involved in municipal projects. Be cognizant of the impact the study has on minority and low-income populations.
4. Identify effective outlets for advertising public meetings in the study area, such as the City's web site, community newsletters, weekly newspapers, etc.
5. Advertising for the public meetings must be in compliance with the New York State Open M eetings Law.
6. Provide study-related information through the City's web site.

## II. Partners

This section of the Stakeholder Participation Plan describes the specific roles for each partner. There are several categories of partners who are necessary to make this study successful. Each partner will have different roles and responsibilities, depending on a variety of factors such as the agency or constituency they represent or their role as a resident or business owner. The focus of this section is to understand relationships and how each group will be involved.
a. The City of Rochester is the project administrator. The City will manage this study and have the contractual relationship with the consultant team. City staff will be heavily involved in each step of the study development. Public meetings will be advertised on the City website and the final product will be posted there as well.
b. The Mount Read Boulevard Project Advisory Committee is charged with directing the overall vision of the plan and its recommendations. During each of four meetings, the committee will review and provide feedback on draft products and provide guidance to the consultant team regarding upcoming tasks. PAC members are listed in Appendix A.
c. Mount Read Boulevard business owners will be considered important partners in the study development. They will receive individual outreach for each public meeting. They will also be contacted for in-person interviews and focus groups.
d. The public will have an opportunity to provide valuable input into the development of the streetscape concept. Two public meetings are planned, as detailed in the next section.

## III. Stakeholder Participation Methods

The methods used throughout the study development will be aimed at developing and maintaining a key contact list for project communication, identifying participants, maximizing participant exchange by asking meaningful questions and keeping an accurate and timely record of participant input.
a. The purpose of Project Advisory Committee meetings will be to present, discuss, and receive direction on upcoming study tasks, as well as to discuss and resolve comments resulting from review of study documents and coordination with other agencies. This

Committee is the primary group guiding the preparation of the study, functioning as the lead in its detailed development.

Participants will include PAC members, or their designees, as listed in Appendix A. Email notification of Steering Committee meetings will be sent by Erik Frisch. M eetings are to be held four times over the course of the study development. Meeting locations will be City Hall.

M eeting attendance will be recorded for each meeting. The format of the meetings will be:

1. Introduce/review study progress to date
2. Review completed work and receive comments
3. Questions/Discussion
4. Next steps

A meeting summary will document discussion and major decisions of each committee meeting. Refer to Appendix C (Project Schedule) for anticipated Steering Committee meeting dates.
b. The consultant team will conduct up to five stakeholder interviews with project partners, including businesses and resident leaders of adjacent neighborhoods. The list of interviewees will be developed in concert with the City of Rochester to include the business community, residents, and other stakeholders. All of these interviews will occur in M arch 2013.
c. There will be three focus group meetings. Focus groups could be scheduled to coincide with annual meetings, luncheons, brown bag sessions, or piggybacked on continuing education opportunities held by trade associations and professional organizations, rather than being scheduled as standalone events. Potential focus group topics are:

1. By geography
a. Northern
b. Central
c. Southern
2. Transportation, including traffic calming, curb cuts, traffic signal coordination/removal
3. Business

The consultant will invite local stakeholders to participate in one of the three focus groups depending on their area of interest related to the study process.

All three focus groups will occur in April 2013. Specific dates and locations for each meeting will be selected in consultation with the steering committee. The proposed
outcome will be in-depth feedback and insights regarding existing needs, as well as proposed solutions to existing issues.
d. There will be two public meetings scheduled for this study. M eetings will be held on or near the study corridor at accessible locations and near public transportation routes. M eetings will be held after 6PM, which is a time that is convenient for business owners and residents. Attendees will be notified by flyers, emails to resident and business associations, web site posting and press releases.

The purpose of the first public meeting will be to introduce participants to the study, provide data regarding existing conditions, and discuss the project schedule. The first meeting is intended to start a dialogue between the City, the business community and city residents. The format of the meeting will be a brief presentation followed by breakout group discussions that aim to determine (1) what issues need to be addressed currently and (2) what the community would envision if they were the project designers.

The second public meeting will be conducted after the release of conceptual designs. The purpose of the meeting will be to review the concepts and seek input from the public about how to refine the design.

The first public meeting is tentatively scheduled for Thursday, April 25, 2013. The second public meeting will be held in Fall 2013. The specific date, time, and location for the meeting will be determined as the study progresses, in consultation with the PAC.

The City will be responsible for obtaining an appropriate meeting venue and providing the required media technology (e.g. screen, extension cords, microphone, etc.). M eeting refreshments will be provided and coordinated by Highland Planning. Presentation materials will be provided by the consultant team.

Information gathered from these events will be summarized and distributed to the PAC within two weeks of the meeting date.

## II. Stakeholder Outreach Tools

Several different tools will be employed to organize information, document input and evaluate the stakeholder participation process.
a. The consultant team will develop a stakeholder database with the name, title, agency, address, phone number, and email address of each person involved in the development of the study. The City will provide initial information to populate the database, and additional information will be gathered through the outreach process. The database will track the involvement of each member and categorize stakeholders by their participation level (i.e. Steering Committee member, focus group participant, public
meeting attendee). Some stakeholders will be involved in multiple activities. The format of the database is included in Appendix B.
b. Meeting materials for the PAC meetings and public meetings will consist of email invitations for meetings, meeting agendas, and meeting summaries. The consultant will provide all of these materials to the City in a timely manner for posting on the web site. Outreach materials for the public meetings will consist of media releases, renderings, graphics, and PowerPoint presentations.
c. Public meetings will be announced by media release to television stations, radio stations and weekly/daily general circulation newspapers. PAC members will also be encouraged to forward the public meeting notifications to the respective network of stakeholders and known interested parties.
d. All meeting notices will provide the City's web site address as well as contact information to enable access to more study information upon request.
e. The consultant team will collect verbal public comments at each public meeting. Written public comments may also be submitted up to two weeks after the public meetings through the City of Rochester web site. Emails will be directed to Erik Frisch.
f. At the conclusion of each public meeting, a stakeholder outreach evaluation will be distributed to meeting participants to solicit feedback on the effectiveness of public outreach. Input will be considered and incorporated into the outreach process as the study progresses.

## Appendix A: M ount Read Boulevard Corridor Study Project Advisory Committee

Erik Frisch, City of Rochester Department of Environmental Services
David Balestiere, City of Rochester Department of Neighborhood and Business Development
M arguerite Parrino, City of Rochester, Department of Planning and Zoning
David Goehring, NYSDOT
Scott Leathersich, M onroe County Department of Transportation
Scott Copey, Town of Greece
Tony Favro, Genesee Transportation Council

## Appendix B: Stakeholder Database Format (as of February 2013)

| Name | Organization | Address | Email | Phone | PAC? | Focus Group? | Public Meeting? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TBD | UNITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | LARC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | Town of Greece |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | Maplewood |  |  |  |  |  |  |

City of Rochester M ount Read Boulevard Corridor Study Draft Stakeholder Participation Plan [February 2013]

## Appendix C: Project Schedule

City of Rochester Mout Read Boulevard Corridor Study UPWP Task No. 7574
City Project Number 124464


City of Rochester

SCHEDULE (as of $2 / 18 / 13$ )

| PHASE/ MILESTONES | Begin | Weeks | 2012 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | oot | Nov | Doo | Jan | Fab | Mx | Apr | May | Jun | Jut | Aug | sopt | oot | Nor | Doo | Jan | Fob | Mar |
| Kickoff Meeting with City | 12-Dea-12 | 0 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice to Proceed | 14Nan-13 | 0 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Advisory Committee (PAC) Meetings |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\diamond$ |  |  | $\diamond$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Public Outreach Program Meetings |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\vartheta$ |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyze Existing and No-Action Conditions |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compile Interim Report \#1 (Existing and Future No-Action Conditions) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Submit Interim Report\#1 | 7, Jun-13 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAC Review of Interim Report \#1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Address PAC Comments on Interim Report \#1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Submit Revised Interim Report \#1 (Existing and No-Action Conditions) | 12-Jul-13 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alternative Development, Traffic Analysis, and Cost Estimates |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compile Interim Report \#2 (Alternatives) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Submit Interim Report \#2 | 25-0ct-13 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAC Review of Interim Report \#2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Address PAC Comments on Interim Report \#2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Submit Revised Interim Report \#2 (Alternatives) | 27-Nov-13 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| Compile Draft Final Report |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Submit Draft Final Report | 17-גan-14 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ) |  |  |
| PAC Review of Draft Final Report |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Address PAC Comments on Final Report |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Publish Final Report/ Project Completion | 25-Feb-14 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |

407 Calendar Day Study Duration

## City of Rochester Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study

NY S Route 33(Buffalo Road) to StoneRoad

The City of Rochester is conducting a study of the Mount Read Boulevard Corridor in conjunction with a Project Advisory Committee made up of representatives from the City, NYSDOT, Monroe County DOT, Town of Greece, and Genesee Transportation Council. The study will develop ideas to improve condition, operation, safety, and pedestrian/bicycle accommodation while maintaining access to commercial buildings, industrial facilities, and homes along this 4 mile long corridor from the NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) traffic circle to Stone Road.

Built in the 1960's Mount Read Boulevard was designed to carry traffic to and from growing areas along Rochester's northwest side. At the time of construction it was considered a truly modern arterial. By the time the corridor was filled with industrial and residential activity, some were already looking a few miles west toward construction of the NYS Route 390 expressway. Route 390 would eventually allow for quicker trips to more distant destinations and siphon development and traffic away from Mount Read Boulevard, which in turn reduced the corridor's attractiveness to homes and businesses.

Fortunately, the Mount Read Boulevard corridor has begun to experience a resurgence, with diminishing vacancy rates and an increasing level of industrial and employment activity. It is also home to vital residential neighborhoods and busy School No. 43. However, an aging infrastructure and problematic design features are hampering the potential for future growth and investment. The Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study is the first step toward the eventual design and construction of a balanced transportation solution to fit the community's needs.

The study, expected to be complete in the spring of 2014, will include several opportunities for stakeholders and the public to provide input and ideas. The public outreach program will engage business and property owners along the corridor, which is a primary manufacturing and distribution hub for the greater Rochester area, solicit ideas on how to improve the corridor including its frontage roads and intersections, and obtain feedback on conceptual improvements.

For additional information or to offer comments, please contact:
Mr. Erik Frisch
Transportation Specialist
City Hall, Room 300B
30 Church Street
Rochester, NY 14614
(585) 428-6709

Frische@CityofRochester.gov

The City looks forward to collaborating with you to improve the quality of this significant local transportation resource.

The City of Rochester has retained consulting firm Bergmann Associates, in conjunction with their partner Highland Planning, to complete the Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study.

MEETING MINUTES

## Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road <br> City PC \# 124464 <br> UPWP Task No. 7574

## Project Advisory Committee (PAC) Meeting \#1

Thursday March 7, 2013@10:00 AM
City Hall, Conference Room 223B

## In Attendance:

## NAME

Erik Frisch

## REPRESENTING

City of Rochester DES
Zina Lagonegro City of Rochester Planning \& Zoning
David Balestiere City of Rochester NBD
Dave Goehring NYSDOT Region 4
Jim Pond
Scott Copey
MCDOT
Tony Favro Genesee Transportation Council
Mike Croce Bergmann Associates
Tanya Zwahlen
Highland Planning
Cc. Bergmann Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study Team

## Summary:

The purpose of this meeting was to introduce the members of the PAC to each other, discuss study plans and goals, and to solicit information from each of the PAC members that would prove useful for the study. The following text summarizes highlights of the discussion.

## Public Outreach Strategies:

- A suggestion was made to engage large industrial developers in the outreach process. This could be done as part of the one-on-one interview series. This could involve Flaum Management, Buckingham Properties, McGuire, 1999 Mount Read, etc.
- Surveys could be considered as an outreach tool in lieu of one-on-one interviews.
- The Maplewood Neighborhood Association is interested in the study. Mount Read Boulevard forms the western boundary of their association from Driving Park Avenue up to NYS Route 104 (West Ridge Road). Consider grouping the MNA with the Town of Greece for outreach activities.
- Consider outreach to the bicycle, pedestrian, and transit advocacy groups as one unit.
- Reach out directly to the fire house in the south east quadrant of Mount Read Boulevard and Emerson Street regarding thoughts on the corridor and access at Emerson Street.
- The Town of Greece does not currently have any long range plans for a connector from Joanne Drive to Stoneridge Drive, although this is an idea that could be reconsidered in the future. Listen during the public outreach process to see if there is a desire on the part of the public for a vehicular, pedestrian, or bicycle connection in this area.


## Economic \& Development Considerations:

- Mount Read Boulevard is considered a major transportation corridor serving residential, commercial, and industrial interests. Mount Read Boulevard also carries daily commuter (through) traffic.
- Access issues are important to the commercial and industrial entities that the City of Rochester Neighborhood and Business Development (NBD) works with on a daily basis. Holleder Technology Park at Mount Read Boulevard and Ridgeway was specifically mentioned.
- Left turn movements at the intersection of Mount Read Boulevard and NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) are of concern. Today movements are fully protected at all times (only allowed to move on a green arrow) due to the presence of School No. 43 (pedestrian safety), to avoid driver confusion, and the age/inability of the existing signal equipment to allow for different control strategies during different times of the day.
- The Photech site is planned for conversion to an industrial park and could potentially generate up to 200 employees.
- The Monro Muffler warehouse recently expanded its operation and serves as a hub for tire distribution. Approximately \$4M has been invested in this site.
- Future development may also involve strip buildings along the frontage of larger industrial developments. This type of development should be considered in the evaluation of future land use scenarios.
- Most of the corridor is zoned M1. The Maple and Lyell areas are zoned R1. The area between NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) and Emerson Street is also zoned R1. It is anticipated that the R1 zones will remain zoned R1 into the future.
- There are lots of owner occupied single family units in the southern portion of the corridor.
- Ridgeway Avenue also provides access to the Kodak Park South Brownfield Site which could be the site of additional future development.
- The former Valeo site has been redeveloped by Maguire Properties and is now known as the Canal Side Business Center.


## General corridor information:

- Mount Read Boulevard was the original outer loop of the City of Rochester in 19301940. The design of the current facility therefore emphasizes relatively high speed traffic.
- Mount Read Boulevard is owned and maintained by the NYSDOT. It is NYSDOT Reference Route 940K from NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Joanne Drive. Mount Read Boulevard is owned and maintained by the MCDOT north of Joanne Drive.
- The roadways leading up to Ridgeway Avenue may be owned and maintained by the City. The signals at Ridgeway Avenue are owned and maintained by the MCDOT.
- The Lexington Avenue, Emerson Street, and Driving Park Avenue signals are owned and maintained by the NYSDOT. The remaining signals, except the signal at Stone Road, are owned by the NYSDOT but maintained by the MCDOT. The Stone Road signal is owned and maintained by the MCDOT.
- The MCDOT owns and maintains existing highway lighting on the Mount Read Boulevard mainline between Lyell Avenue and Medimount Drive. The City of Rochester owns and maintains lighting along the frontage roads and along the mainline south of Lyell Avenue. All of the highway lighting and traffic signals are beyond their useful life today.
- It was suggested that pedestrians and bicyclists are currently prohibited from using Mount Read Boulevard north of NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) or Driving Park Avenue. There may be signs posted to that effect at Driving Park Avenue northbound (to be field verified by BA). The functional classification of the roadway does not explicitly prohibit them (i.e. it is not classified as a freeway or expressway).
- Pedestrian and bicyclist accommodation, particularly between NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) and Stone Road should be considered. There are destinations along both sides of the corridor, but it functions as a barrier. The question was asked, "ls this the right place for pedestrian and bicyclist users to be?"
- Mount Read Boulevard functions as a primary bypass corridor for incidents and construction on NYS Route 390.
- It is believed that the right-of-way from Lyell Avenue to north of NYS Route 104 is currently termed as "without access" (i.e. does not allow for direct driveway connections). This should be verified using available as-built or record drawings and could be adjusted as part of a future project, if desired.
- There is a NYSDOT project taking place on the Ridgeway Avenue bridge (overpass) in 2013.
- Right turns at the Driving Park Avenue intersection were cited as a safety concern.
- The NYSDOT added left turn lanes at Jay Street and the l-490 interchange area circa 1990 by restriping the road to remove one of the northbound lanes.
- Potential cut-through traffic on Jay Street from the Canal Side Business Center or to bypass the traffic signal at NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) has been noted by the public in the recent past. Visual observations made after the meeting by the MCDOT suggest that the former Valeo gate is not currently used.
- Cut through traffic on Glide Street was mentioned. This is, however deemed appropriate as it is classified as an urban collector.
- Neighborhood speeds were studied by the City of Rochester on Planet/Polaris upon request by neighborhood residents and were found to be acceptable.
- Parallel parking on Mount Read Boulevard during pick-up and drop-off times at School No. 43 along were mentioned as a potential need. Double parking during dismissal is an existing concern.
- Kodak has expressed a concern related to parking along Mount Read Boulevard. A number of employees were recently moved to that facility from Elmgrove. Kodak asked the City for parking restrictions. The NYSDOT has indicated it is a legally designated parking area but suggested they would work with the City to implement restrictions if desired.


## Corridor suggestions for future consideration:

- Consider a 5-lane section (two through lanes in each direction and a center two-way left turn lane) in the southern segment of the corridor.
- Consider the potential need/desire for parking along one side of Mount Read Boulevard between I-490 and NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) - Particularly near School No. 43.
- Consider revised access control with limited breaks to better serve property owners along the corridor.
- Possibly push mainline Mount Read Boulevard out on to the footprint of the existing service roads and use the increased "median" space to accommodate left turns.
- Consider the possible extension of Mount Read Boulevard southward across the CSX Railroad right-of-way to Cairn Street. This was envisioned in the past and was perceived to have benefits for industrial access and as a bypass for the regional expressway system.
- Consider the applicability/time of day use of a flashing yellow arrow treatment for left turns at Mount Read Boulevard and NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue). This treatment is currently being used within the region at NYS Route 441 and Linden Oaks/Linden Avenue to allow permissive turns during portions of the day.
- Possibly eliminate access from the eastern service road, south of Emerson Street, which primarily serves a residential neighborhood. That area would have alternate access to Emerson Street via Abbott Street. Consider the effect on the adjacent fire house.
- Assuming that different portions of the corridor (e.g. south, central, north) will have different concept designs, consider the proper place to transition from a larger facility to the two-lane facility found north of Stone Road. It was perceived that people really begin to slow down north of Medimount Drive.
- Review Roosevelt Boulevard in northeastern Philadelphia, PA as an example of a facility that is similar to Mount Read Boulevard as it exists today.
- Review Memorial Boulevard in Metairie, LA (a suburb of New Orleans, LA) as an example of what Mount Read Boulevard could look like if the mainline were pushed out toward the existing frontage roads.
- Consider whether or not linear buildings can be supported on Mount Read Boulevard between Route 104 and Stone Road. If so, consider accommodations that should be made for this potential development.


## Next Steps:

A. Data Collection (March 2013 - Please assist with information gathering as soon as possible)
B. Public Outreach (March 2013)
C. Public Meeting \#1 (May2, 2013 - Tentative)
D. PAC Meeting \#2 (May 15, 2013 - Tentative)
E. Draft Interim Report \#1 (June 7, 2013 - Tentative)

## Action Items:

DES - $\quad$ Provide information on ownership and maintenance jurisdiction for the service roads between NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) and the City line.

DES - Provide information/plans for this year's rehabilitation of the Ridgeway Avenue bridge over Mount Read Boulevard. Copies of record plans and inspection reports would also be helpful. Please advise if the City cannot provide these and they need to be requested from the NYSDOT.

DES - Provide a copy of current (through 2012) Pictometry aerial imagery for the corridor.

DES - Provide copies of studies on neighborhood (cut-through) traffic. One area mentioned was Fairgate/Wetmore/Campbell

DES - Provide a copy of a Traffic Impact Study completed for the Photech site completed by Clough Harbour, if available. Provide any other available TIS copies.

DES - $\quad$ Provide study/plans for shared use path through Eastman Business Park.
DES - Provide a copy of the School No. 43 walking routes map.
DES - Provide passenger loading data for transit in cooperation with RGRTA
NBD - Provide names, phone numbers, and e-mails for outreach coordination
NYSDOT - Verify if pedestrians and bicyclists are prohibited from using Mount Read Boulevard north of Lyell Avenue or Driving Park Avenue.

NYSDOT - Assemble available as-built and record drawings for the study corridor from NYSDOT records. (Note that BA can personally pick up copies or plans to be copied and returned.)

NYSDOT - $\quad$ Search for and provide any additional traffic data (ADT, turning movements) that may be available for the project corridor. Specific areas of need include Maple Street (just south of I-490) and the NYS Route 104 (West Ridge Road) interchange.

NYSDOT - Provide a copy a recent Traffic Impact Study for the Waste Management Facility. Also provide copies of any other applicable TIS documents.

MCDOT - Advise the study team on availability/timing of turning movement count data for the intersection of Mount Read Boulevard and Stone Road. Provide a copy of the counts if available.

TOG - Provide contact information for industries in the Town of Greece
TOG - $\quad$ Provide LiDAR mapping of the project corridor.
TOG - Provide information on ownership and maintenance jurisdiction for the service roads from the City line north to Stone Road.

GTC - Provide input on an applicable background traffic growth rate for the Mount Read Boulevard Corridor.

BA - Prepare a 1 page overview (summary) of the study that could be distributed to describe the project to stakeholders and the public.

BA - Determine if the CSX tracks beneath Mount Read Boulevard are currently active. Ask if there are any future plans for these tracks.

BA - $\quad$ Verify if there are signs to prohibit pedestrian and bicycle traffic anywhere on Mount Read Boulevard.

BA - Data collection and summary.
HP - Update the Draft Stakeholder Participation Plan per comments received at PAC Meeting \#1.

HP - Start public outreach activities.

DES - City of Rochester Department of Environmental Services
PZ - City of Rochester Department of Planning and Zoning
NBD - City of Rochester Neighborhood and Business Development
NYSDOT - New York State Department of Transportation Region 4
MCDOT - Monroe County Department of Transportation
TOG - Town of Greece
GTC - Genesee Transportation Council
BA - Bergmann Associates
HP - Highland Planning

The above constitutes our understanding of issues discussed and decisions reached during the meeting. Please notify the undersigned, in writing, with any errors or omissions within 5 business days.

Best regards,

## BERGMANN ASSOCIATES



Michael T. Croce, P.E.
Project Manager
cc: $\quad$ All in Attendance, BA Project file

## Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study <br> NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road <br> City PC \# 124464

UPWP Task No. 7574

## Project Advisory Committee (PAC) Meeting \#2

Thursday September 12, 2013@ 10:00 AM
City Hall, Conference Room 300B

## In Attendance:

## NAME REPRESENTING

| Erik Frisch | City of Rochester DES |
| :--- | :--- |
| Zina Lagonegro | City of Rochester Planning \& Zoning |
| Dave Goehring | NYSDOT Region 4 |
| Jim Pond | MCDOT |
| Scott Copey | Town of Greece |
| Mike Croce | Bergmann Associates |
| Tanya Zwahlen | Highland Planning |

## COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT IN ATTENDANCE:

David Balestiere City of Rochester DED
Tony Favro Genesee Transportation Council
Cc. Bergmann Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study Team

## Summary:

The purpose of this meeting was to review key findings from the study of existing conditions and public outreach program, review major comments on Interim Report \#1, build consensus around a statement of why a project is needed, and obtain feedback from the PAC on the results of very early concept development efforts.

## Discussion of Key Findings:

- Refer to attached "Key Findings Summary" document.
- Complete Streets legislation dictates that the needs and accommodation of all users (pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, and motorists) be considered as part of any planning, design, or reconstruction project.
- In discussing the Buffalo Road Traffic Circle, the question was asked of the study team, "Why was it designed as a circle in the first place?" It was explained that the intersection was likely designed to carry heavy volumes of traffic that would be going to and coming from l-490 when it was under construction and ended at Mount Read Boulevard. Over time, signals were likely added to address congestion and/or safety concerns. However, as documented, the combination of design, marking, signing, and signalization do not meet today's standards for a modern roundabout.
- The study team should contact Andy Wheatcraft (Facilities Planner) with regard to pickup and drop-off at School No. 43. Multiple attempts have been made to contact other individuals at the school. Each has proven unsuccessful.
- During the outreach process, some members of the public identified confusion related to the existence of multiple curb cuts at the Lyell Avenue Shopping Center as an issue.
- There may be a public perception that the pedestrian phases at the Mount Read Boulevard and NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) intersection are too short. Pedestrian countdown timers currently exist on all approaches. The perception of inadequate time may be related to the number of lanes that have to be crossed and/or misunderstanding of the purpose of the "walk" and "flashing don't walk" intervals.
- While the existing railroads within the study limits may not be highly utilized today, they are assets to the community and could become busier again in the future in support of continued industrial growth. Recycling centers, the food industry, and the agricultural industry generally find rail sidings attractive and useful.
- The segment of Old Mount Read Boulevard north of NYS Route 104 (West Ridge Road) is owned and maintained by the Town of Greece.
- The open area north of NYS Route 104 (West Ridge Road) and between Old Mount Read Boulevard and Stone Road is now for sale. Some form of future redevelopment is likely.
- The MCDOT Stone Road project has been re-scoped to become a milling and resurfacing project.


## Review of Draft Interim Report \#1:

- PAC members were asked to provide their comments in writing to Erik Frisch and Mike Croce via e-mail after the meeting. The draft report will be modified in response to those comments.
- There may be some confusion introduced into the document related to the discussion of different project elements using different segments of the corridor instead of staying with one consistent set of segments. They study team will consider and address this comment as appropriate.


## Concept Level Purpose and Need and Objectives:

- Refer to attached "Concept Level Purpose \& Need Statement"
- Refer to attached "Concept Level Objectives"
- A "Concept Level Purpose and Need" was developed to help set the stage for future design projects. It is meant to be a useful outcome of the study phase, created with input from the PAC and community. The same is true of the "Concept Level Objectives"
- Members of the PAC were asked to review the "Concept Level Purpose and Need Statement" and "Concept Level Objectives" after the meeting and provide any written comments via e-mail to Erik Frisch and Mike Croce. The documents will be revised prior to presentation at Public Meeting \#2.


## Initial Concept Review Comments:

- The concept for a roundabout at Mount Read Boulevard and NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) was well received. It was noted that safe and efficient operations at a modern roundabout are heavily dependent on proper design.
- While pedestrian accommodation is enhanced at single lane roundabouts where individuals need only cross one direction of traffic at a time, the intersection configuration may also increase the amount of time it takes to complete a crossing by virtue of a longer travel path as compared to a conventional signalized intersection.
- The PAC members present advised the City to consider the application of bicycle lanes along Mount Read Boulevard between NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) and NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) during concept development.
- Consider the application of on-street parking lanes along Mount Read Boulevard between NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) and NYS Route 33 (Lyell Avenue) during concept development.
- The Jay Street signal and turn lanes were installed after the original construction of Mount Read Boulevard as a safety improvement. They should be retained in the concepts.
- It would be preferable, from the NYSDOT's position, to have pick-up and drop-off activities for School No. 43 removed from School No. 43.
- Radii improvements at the NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) intersection should strive to strike a balance between pedestrian accommodation and truck accommodation.
- The PAC asked whether a raised divider is necessary south of NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue). Group consensus was that it was beneficial to prevent left turns into east side properties in close proximity to the intersection. In any case, it would be advisable to pull the median back from the pedestrian crossings unless it can be made wide enough to serve as a legitimate pedestrian refuge.
- Consider alternative access to ABC Supply that would allow for removing the right-in and right-out (outer drive) access to NYS Route 31 (Lyell Avenue) immediately west of Mount Read Boulevard. This access may have once served a post office located in the adjacent plaza which has since been closed. The need to discuss access agreements with the adjacent property owners during future phases of design should be noted in the study report.
- Look at the possibility of connecting the Outer Drives between Emerson and Lexington Avenue. This would require new at-grade crossings of the Rochester \& Southern Railroad.
- Jamestown Container currently has access to the Outer Drive and its trucks make a Uturns at Emerson Street.
- MCDOT and NYSDOT representatives on the PAC both indicated that their respective agencies are approaching dual lane roundabouts with caution and would not advocate them as an appropriate element along the northernmost segment of the Mount Read Boulevard study corridor, especially not if they were proposed solely for the purpose of gateway creation.
- The study team might consider developing a "functional gateway" that changes the number of lanes, uses a change in alignment, or moves the change in median width south toward Joanne Drive to highlight the transition between the City of Rochester and Town of Greece. The extension of sidewalks, lighting, narrowing shoulders, and introduction of curb and street plantings should also be considered as ways to help change the character of the roadway.
- The study team should look at what possibilities exist if the segment of "Old Mount Read Boulevard" is or is not retained.
- The question was asked if the Stone Road intersection could potentially operate with concurrent left turn movements. Is the existing split phasing needed for either geometric (due to intersection skew) or capacity reasons?


## Next Steps:

A. Complete development scenarios for traffic projection (September 2013)
B. Advanced concept development (September-October 2013)
C. Review of advanced concepts with PAC (October 2013)
D. Public Meeting \#2 (November-December 2013)

## Action Items:

HP - Contact Andy Wheatcraft regarding pick-up and drop-off at School No. 43.
BA - Continued concept development.
DES - City of Rochester Department of Environmental Services
PZ - City of Rochester Department of Planning and Zoning
DED - City of Rochester Department of Economic Development
NYSDOT - New York State Department of Transportation Region 4
MCDOT - Monroe County Department of Transportation
TOG - Town of Greece
GTC - Genesee Transportation Council
BA - Bergmann Associates
HP - Highland Planning

The above constitutes our understanding of issues discussed and decisions reached during the meeting. Please notify the undersigned, in writing, with any errors or omissions within 5 business days.

Best regards,

## BERGMANN ASSOCIATES



Michael T. Croce, P.E. Project Manager
cc: $\quad$ All in Attendance, BA Project file

## Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study <br> NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road <br> City PC \# 124464 <br> UPWP Task No. 7574

## Project Advisory Committee (PAC) Meeting \#3

Wednesday December 11, 2013 @ 10:00 AM
City Hall, Conference Room 321B

## In Attendance:

## NAME

Erik Frisch Joe Bovenzi Dave Goehring Jim Pond Scott Copey
Mike Croce
Tom Detrie
Tanya Zwahlen

## REPRESENTING

City of Rochester DES
Genesee Transportation Council
NYSDOT Region 4
MCDOT
Town of Greece
Bergmann Associates
Bergmann Associates
Highland Planning

## COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT IN ATTENDANCE:

David Balestiere City of Rochester DED
Zina Lagonegro City of Rochester Planning \& Zoning
Cc. Bergmann Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study Team

## Summary:

The purpose of this meeting was to review recent updates to the conceptual alternatives and build consensus with the Project Advisory Committee prior to scheduling the next public meeting.

## General:

- The NYSDOT has suggested it can extend the life of the existing pavement section on Mount Read Boulevard for a minimum of 10 years given a regular cycle of appropriate maintenance (resurfacing and milling/resurfacing). Other elements along the corridor including the signals and lighting have reached or surpassed their expected service lives.
- The second public meeting will be held in an open house format with displays and project personnel available to answer questions. The Union Hall near Driving Park Avenue was discussed as a potential location.


## Concept Level Objectives Document:

- Add a mention of transit to bullet item \#2. Where the outer drives are removed one might see an increased demand for transit stops along the main roadways. Transit should also be mentioned to promote multi-modalism and sustainability.


## Concept Level Objectives Document:

- No additional comments were provided by the PAC.


## Buffalo Road (NYS Route 33) Intersection:

- The concept remained essentially unchanged from the last PAC meeting. There were no additional comments on the modern roundabout concept by the PAC.


## Buffalo Road (NYS Route 33) to Lyell Avenue (NYS Route 31):

- The PAC discussed the idea of showing dedicated left turn lanes at both signalized and unsignalized intersections. After discussion, it was decided that the two-way left turn lane would be kept on approaches to the unsignalized side streets and dedicated left turn lanes would be illustrated at signalized locations. Dave Goehring noted that this is consistent with NYSDOT practice.
- The five-lane (base) concept essentially fits within the footprint of the existing roadway and sidewalks. This is advantageous and improves the potential ease of implementation. The concept could be designed into a future resurfacing or rehabilitation project as a safety and multi-modal mobility enhancement.
- The five-lane (base) concept would eliminate parking areas and therefore school pickup and drop-off activities would not continue on Mount Read Boulevard south of Lyell Avenue. Other school area parking options were discussed including parking on both sides without a two-way left turn lane (negates safety benefits of the center two-way left turn lane), parking on the east side of Mount Read Boulevard only (requires additional pedestrian crossings), parking on the west side only (continues to encourage the practice), use of an alternate street for these activities, and on-site changes to facilitate the operation.
- Efforts to reach School No. 43 have been unsuccessful. The PAC recommended continued efforts to discuss this potential impacts with the school and to ensure that the administration is aware of the upcoming public open house.


## Lyell Avenue (NYS Route 31) Intersection:

- The raised island south of Lyell Avenue has been extended per comments received at the last PAC meeting. The remainder of the concept remained unchanged. No additional comments were provided by the PAC.
- An effort should be made to ensure that the ABC Supply company is made aware of the upcoming public open house. The conceptual improvements would impact their access.


## Lyell Avenue (NYS Route 31) to Driving Park Avenue:

- The raised island south of Lyell Avenue has been extended per comments received at the last PAC meeting. The remainder of the concept remained unchanged. There were no additional comments provided by the PAC.


## Buffalo Road (NYS Route 33) to Lyell Avenue (NYS Route 31):

- A right-in and right-out connection at Otis Street was discussed. This connection would be difficult to make given the difference in grade between Otis Street and Mount Read Boulevard. This was dropped from further consideration at this time.
- The PAC discussed the potential for moving access to the City of Rochester DES building north across from Bergen Street and creating a new 4-legged signalized intersection. The current layout of the DES site would not lend itself easily to a change in access. Space for turns and storage west and east of Mount Read Boulevard would be limited. This was dropped from further consideration at this time.
- The MCDOT questioned the length of the third southbound through lane as shown in the current concept. The third lane provides space for deceleration and acceleration at driveways which would be directly connected to Mount Read Boulevard. It also provides accommodation for southbound traffic coming off the southbound (West) Outer Drive at Emerson Street. The length of this lane could be refined during a future design phase based on an in depth examination of prevailing operational, safety, and land use considerations.
- The PAC discussed a southbound deceleration lane for the U-turn located north of Ferrano Street. The median, as shown, would not be wide enough to accommodate a truck U-turn from a deceleration lane. If the third southbound lane was eliminated and the median widened, this suggestion could potentially be revisited during a future design phase.
- The southbound left turn at Emerson Street could be restricted forcing traffic to use the U-Turn to the south (similar to a Michigan Left).
- The PAC noted that homes along the East Outer Drive between Bergen Street and Emerson Street may see a slight increase in emergency response time if the direct connection were removed at Emerson Street as shown in the (base) concept. The potential for a right-in and right-out connection at Bergen Street was briefly discussed. Room for vehicles to stop and turn between the existing East Outer Drive and Mount Read Boulevard would be limited. This was dropped from further consideration at this time.
- Renewed discussion was held regarding ways to bring the proposed northbound and southbound Outer Drives between Emerson Street and Lexington Avenue into Mount

Read Boulevard at a near right angle to improve sight lines. Existing development patterns and topography make this difficult. The two new at-grade railroad crossings shown in the (base) concept would need to provide exceptional access and mobility benefits to gain approval. The issues facing this segment of the corridor cannot be easily solved by a transportation infrastructure solution alone along Mount Read Boulevard. A Comprehensive land use development and access plan should be pursued by the City of Rochester, appropriate agencies, businesses, and land owners for this segment of the corridor. The actual build alternative for this segment of the corridor could do away with the Outer Drives if land use patterns and alternate access were set up to permit that in the future.

- Signal pre-emption for emergency vehicles should be mentioned in the study document.
- The bicycle lane on northbound Mount Read Boulevard at Driving Park Avenue should follow the "jug handle" ramp.
- Additional development north of Driving Park Avenue, west of Mount Read Boulevard and the Eastman Business Park, may include LiDestri Foods. The company recently purchased additional property in the Town of Greece.
- An access management concept that would potentially allow for the elimination of the West Outer Drive between Lexington Avenue and Driving Park Avenue as shown on the (base) concept will be discussed in the study's summary document. The planning and design of access management will need further discussion and consideration by the City of Rochester, Town of Greece, and developers as plans unfold.
- The City of Rochester will be marking bicycle lanes on Emerson Street west of Mount Read Boulevard in the future.


## Driving Park Avenue to Ridgeway Avenue:

- The southbound acceleration lane, where the West Outer Drive connects to Mount Read Boulevard south of Ridgeway Avenue, should merge prior to the development of the southbound right turn lane for Driving Park Avenue.


## Ridgeway Avenue to the West Ridge Road (NYS Route 104) Interchange:

- The shoulder area used for parking adjacent to Kodak could be signed to discourage parking (allowing for pick up and drop off only).
- New traffic counts would be needed to fully evaluate the projected future operations at the West Ridge Road interchange.


## West Ridge Road (NYS Route 104) Interchange to Stone Road:

- Review projected operations at the proposed Joanne Drive intersection. Elimination of the wider median would eliminate the ability to complete a westbound left turn in 2
steps. If there is a significant issue, the access could potentially be moved to Medimount Drive, however this is also adjacent to the proposed curves.
- The Town of Greece is currently developing a Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan and is exploring the possibility of a design standard whereby multi-lane roads with a 14 ft wide curb lane would be restriped to have a 10 ft travel lane and 4 ft shoulder. The 4 ft shoulder would be available for bicyclist travel. The current concept for Mount Read Boulevard would not preclude that treatment.


## Next Steps:

A. Public Meeting \#2 (February 2014)
B. Complete Analyses and Review with PAC (March 2014)
C. Publish final report (spring 2014)

## Action Items:

HP - Continue attempts to reach out to School No. 43.
DES/BA/HP - Coordinate and Prepare for Public Meeting \#2.
DES - City of Rochester Department of Environmental Services
PZ - City of Rochester Department of Planning and Zoning
DED - City of Rochester Department of Economic Development
NYSDOT - New York State Department of Transportation Region 4
MCDOT - Monroe County Department of Transportation
TOG - Town of Greece
GTC - Genesee Transportation Council
BA - Bergmann Associates
HP - Highland Planning

The above constitutes our understanding of issues discussed and decisions reached during the meeting. Please notify the undersigned, in writing, with any errors or omissions within 5 business days.

Best regards,

## BERGMANN ASSOCIATES



Michael T. Croce, P.E.
Project Manager
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## Michael Palumbo

## Chief Operating Officer

Flaum Management Company, Inc.
4/ $15 / 13$

M ount Read Boulevard functions very well today. Some of the light cycles for turn lanes are too fast. The Buffalo Road "roundabout" is dangerous. Consider a jug handle at some of the busier intersections, which would eliminate turn lanes and queue cars away from fast moving traffic.

## David Scalen

Vice President and General Manager

## Regional Distributors, Inc.

## 4/15/13

M ount Read Boulevard functions relatively well today. However, several changes could help improve the ability of Regional Distributors to conduct business:

- Improve the Buffalo Road intersection, which is very confusing
- Improve the road infrastructure, which is aging and looks worn, especially the median, the curbs and lights
- Expand the I-490 interchange updates on M ount Read Boulevard, which look excellent
- Remove the rail bridge between Lexington and Emerson
- Improve intersection control at Lexington Avenue and M ount Read Boulevard
- Remove wires at Ridgeway and Ridge
- Improve traffic management at service roads; trucks navigate service roads differently than cars because they are bigger
- Southbound traffic onto M ount Read from the west side access roads is very difficult at Lexington Avenue. Traffic should be redirected through the intersection.


## Bill Collins

## Maplewood Neighborhood Association

4/24/13

The M aplewood Neighborhood Association (MNA) is a very active organization. It is currently working on the City's waterfront redevelopment plan, park improvements, health initiatives, safety initiatives, code violations, house tours, festivals and musical events.

Today, M ount Read handles north/south traffic very well. However, it also acts as a barrier between industrial zone to the west and the residential to the east. That is a double edge sword. It's a barrier for both pedestrians and bicycles. It also is for cars. East/west traffic is difficult for Driving Park, Lexington, Emerson because the lights along M ount Read wait is long. North of Ridge, it's a barrier. There are few crossings.

East/W est car traffic could work better. North/south bicycle and east/west pedestrian crossings could work better. North of Driving Park it's illegal for bikes to be on M ount Read and that's a major issue, especially given the City and State's Complete Streets legislation. Buffalo Road traffic circle is difficult. Mr. Collins was knocked off his bike at that traffic circle. It's a challenge for cars and especially for bicycles.

What would you change or add to the street if you were the project designer?

- Better and legal bike access for the length. North/south and east/west
- East/west pedestrian access, especially Lexington or Driving Park
- It would be nice to see that space greened up. Anyway to include linear park on the east side, to use some of that space in a way that adds value to eastern neighborhoods, that would be great. Trees, amenities, etc. The neighborhoods to the east of neighborhood have no parks in that area. Linear park would really benefit those neighborhoods.

Regarding the unique, local geographic, cultural, historic or visual concepts that we could incorporate into the design, where did the name Mount Read come from? Was there a mountain? What was it named after? Let's find out.

## Catherine D'Amico

## Chief Financial Officer

## Monro Muffler Brake Inc.

## 4/25/13

M onro moved to its current location in 1995, and received some nice incentive from the City and County. The location provides easy access to expressway. M onro ships from this warehouse to our 900 store chains. M ount Read acts are the company's primary warehouse. M onro added a big addition this year and received additional incentives from the City to do so. They delivered more jobs and growth than anticipated in 1995, and we plan to stay a long time. Today, there are 200-300 employees on M ount Read and 6,000 with the company.

M s. Damico spoke with M onro's facilities director and his assistant. Both are happy with the way M ount Read works today. The access roads work well. It's a little difficult to get to Ridgeway, but access onto M ount Read was most important and it works well.

Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study
NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road
City of Rochester Project ID\# 124464
UPWP Task No. 7574
A bike path is not a good idea, because it's industrial and business traffic. Traffic trailers would be slowed or be dangerous.

M onro M uffler is not fancy. Our vendors and investors don't care about the presentation of the street. We invest in our stores, and it doesn't bother us at all to have aging infrastructure on M ount Read. People are impressed by the industrial park. Roads are maintained and it is clear this is a working area.

Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study<br>NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road<br>City PC \# 124464<br>UPWP Task No. 7574<br>Public Meeting \#1<br>Monday May 20, 2013 6:00-8:00PM<br>School 43 Cafeteria, 1305 Lyell Avenue, Rochester, NY 14606

## Summary:

Erik Frisch (City of Rochester) welcomed meeting participants to the meeting. Mike Croce (Bergmann Associates) provided an overview of the study goals and objectives. The purpose of this meeting was to discuss the purpose of the project, the study area, the study goals, existing conditions, and to solicit information from the public that will be useful for the study.

## Study Area

The study area covers Mount Read Boulevard from NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road Traffic Circle) to Stone Road. The length of the corridor is 4.4 miles and includes portions of the City of Rochester and the Town of Greece. The land use in the area is a mix of commercial, industrial, and residential.

## Study Goal

The goal of the study is to develop a vision for the Mount Read Boulevard Corridor that will improve conditions, operations, safety, and pedestrian/bicyclist accommodation while maintaining access to commercial buildings, industrial facilities, and neighborhoods.

## Desired Outcomes

Desired outcomes for the project could include:

- Support continued economic growth
- Enhance neighborhood character
- Improve safety
- Encourage appropriate speeds
- Address congestion \& operational issues
- Improve pedestrian, bicyclist, \& transit accommodation
- Maintain or improve business access
- Continue to accommodate trucks
- Improve infrastructure conditions and aesthetics
- Eliminate confusion associated with service roads


## Current Schedule

The current schedule includes a study of existing conditions and public outreach to be conducted in spring 2013, future conditions forecasting and concept development that will take place during the summer of 2013, a review of the concept by the PAC and the public that will occur in fall 2013, and the production of a corridor vision document by spring 2014. As of today, the next phases (further study and design) are not programmed. However, it is possible that preliminary design and environmental studies could be initiated by 2016 , detailed design could begin in 2030, and a project could be constructed by 2035 . The overall project could also be broken up into several smaller increments for an optimized, phased approach.

## Existing Conditions

Today, infrastructure conditions are fair. There is some pavement cracking and rutting at the Jay and Lyell intersections. There are potholes and cracking on the service roads. The curbs, gutters, signs and guiderails are at the end of their useful life. Signals and lighting are older and outdated. Bridges are in fair to good condition.

Corridor traffic volumes are relatively stable. Trucks make up 3-10\% of all vehicles on the road (depending on location). The Monroe County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) forecasts a growth rate of 1\% per year for the study area. Today, traffic volumes range from 15,000-20,000 per day, with the busiest segment being l-490 to Lyell Avenue.

There are 11 signalized intersections and numerous stop or yield controlled and uncontrolled ramps. Service roads provide access to businesses \& residents from Lyell Avenue to Joanne Drive. The service roads provide connectivity, but can be confusing.

The Lyell Avenue intersection operates at capacity during the evening peak hour. Most intersections currently have one movement at capacity.

The study team reviewed accident data records from May 2009 - April 2012. There were a total of 375 accidents, $60 \%$ of which were at intersections and $40 \%$ were midblock. One third involved rear end collisions. Accident hot spots include the Lyell Avenue and Lexington Avenue intersections.

There are numerous RGRTA Bus Routes and stops on the corridor. Lyell Avenue and points south are the most heavily used areas for transit.

Bicycles are not restricted or prohibited from Mt. Read today, however there are no separate facilities and few suitable crossings. There are few bicyclists or pedestrians on Mount Read Blvd today due to the number of trucks and vehicle speeds. Comfort is also a factor in the low rate of bicycles and pedestrians observed. The majority of the study area lacks ADA accessible ramps or crosswalks.

## Demographic Data

In 2010, the population in the corridor was 29,542 . Nearly $24 \%$ of population is under age 18. Approximately $30 \%$ of the households leave for work before 7 am, compared to $26 \%$ across the City of Rochester and 25\% across Monroe County. Approximately 82\% of households commute less than 25 minutes. Only $12 \%$ commute less than 10 minutes; therefore, few residents work within the general study area.

The median household income was $\$ 42,000$ in 2010 , showing there is a strong segment of middle income households. There was a $7 \%$ vacancy rate, which is lower than the City of Rochester rate of $10 \%$ and just higher than the Monroe County vacancy rate of $6 \%$.

The average assessed value of homes ranges from $\$ 49,000$ to $\$ 97,000$.

## Land Use

Approximately $73 \%$ of the frontage (within 200 feet) has industrial use. Only $2 \%$ of the area within 200 feet of Mount Read Boulevard is vacant. There are nearly 3,500 residential parcels within $1 / 2$ mile.

The National Park Service recommends a ratio of parkland to population at 6.25 acres per 1,000 persons. Today, there are 64 acres or 2.2 acres per 1,000 people within and around the study area.

The study area has undergone a recent resurgence in development, with Holleder Technology Park, the expansion of Monro Muffler/Brake, the redevelopment of the Canalside Business Center (Old Valeo), Foodlink, and JC Fibers. There is also the potential for additional future redevelopment at Eastman Business Park and other sites in the area.

There are approximately 18 acres of vacant industrial land in the corridor. Conversion of this vacant land to industrial uses could conservatively equate to:

- 250,000 to 300,000 SF of new construction
- $\quad \$ 1.3$ million in additional assessed value
- $\quad 150$ to 300 new jobs
- $\quad \$ 5$ million to $\$ 13$ million in new wages


## Public Outreach

To date, the City has held one Project Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting. The study team has conducted $4-5$ interviews with stakeholders. The City sent notices to 500 residences and businesses along the corridor to advertise the public meeting, emailed 35+ businesses, and developed a project web site at http://www.cityofrochester.gov/mtreadblvdcorridorstudy.

To date, we've heard the following comments from stakeholders:

- Buffalo Road traffic circle is confusing
- Infrastructure looks worn away from I-490
- Congestion and pedestrian accommodation are issues at the Lyell Avenue intersection
- Traffic management at the service road intersections needs improvement
- Future development could include linear buildings in front of the large industrial developments
- Mount Read Boulevard is needed as a bypass corridor for incidents or construction on Route 390
- Mount Read Boulevard acts as a barrier between industries to the west and residences to the east
- Bicycle and pedestrian accessibility needs improvement, but be thoughtful about how it would mix with industrial traffic
- Green it up! Consider a linear park.


## Breakout Groups:

The final segment of the meeting involved the formation of three breakout groups. Each group was facilitated by a member of the study team and asked to discuss a series of corridor related questions. The results of the session were summarized and presented just before the conclusion of the meeting. Results of the breakout group sessions are summarized below:

1. What works well?

- Adequate capacity overall with lots of lanes and handles volume well
- Speed limit
- Timing of lights... until Lyell
- Industrial hub of the region
- Snow removal, because there is lots of space
- Not much diversion off Mount Read into neighborhoods
- Continuous thoroughfare
- U-turns at median breaks (Jay to Lyell)
- Service roads - industrial/residential
- Alternative to NYS Route 390
- Mount Read gets motor vehicles northbound and southbound
- Two lanes in each direction carry traffic well
- Tractor trailer access
- Access control (left turns aren't allowed at every driveway or access point)
- The NYS Route 104 interchange

2. What could work better?

- Trucks run over the curbs; need better turning radii at Lyell
- Better maintenance of medians (garbage, grass)
- More space to have a breakdown safely (southern segment of the corridor)
- Make the light shorter when turning westbound from Lyell on to Mount Read southbound
- Stop trucks from running lights
- Traffic cameras
- Pedestrians need more time to cross at Lyell and throughout the corridor
- Bike lanes or wayfinding to side streets.
- Fix the lane by the school; Students need more room for drop-off and pick-ups
- Accidents at Lyell and Mount Read Plaza; traffic needs to be slowed and there is no safe lane out
- Stop people from driving faster than the speed limit
- Pedestrian signal at Lyell isn't working
- Maltby has cut through traffic; might need a traffic light at Lyell.
- The Mount Read and Driving Park intersection could be improved to reduce congestion caused by heavy truck traffic
- The Lexington and Mount Read intersection could be made less confusing and congested
- A coordinated traffic light system with different weekday and weekend settings is needed; reduce the number of times you hit a red light - especially where red light cameras are in place
- Better consistency of traffic control at intersections
- Wider the service roads
- Facilitate vehicle, bicycle, and pedestrian traffic across Mount Read Boulevard
- Improved truck access; more room to easily complete turning maneuvers
- General maintenance
- Stop instead of yield at NB/SB service roads north of Driving Park
- Service road interaction with ramps
- Building the road like an expressway
- Pedestrian walk signs
- Sidewalks, connectivity for kids to school, especially in winter
- Better bicycle facilities, separate from pedestrians
- Better pedestrian facilities, north/south and east/west; promote crossing and business traffic
- Understanding of the corridor's purpose. Is it a commuter route or a destination?
- Make the "second" turn easier (after you turn off of Mount Read and on to a service road)

3. What would you add or change if you were the project designer?

- Remove service roads implement u-turns
- Roundabouts
- Better signage
- Traffic calming features
- Encourage slower travel speeds
- Mount Read SB to I-490 WB needs a right turn lane
- Right turn lanes throughout the corridor
- Better signage, especially on the service road SB to Ridgeway because it's two-way traffic and that is not always understood
- Slow lane needed to help decelerate at Ridgeway
- Longer stacking lane and longer light for left turn lane at Lyell Avenue
- Reduce three lanes to two lanes, add bike/pedestrian accommodations (not everyone agreed)
- Get rid of Buffalo Road traffic circle; some were open to a roundabout
- Cosmetic improvements like grass median and trees; try to make it less commercial feeling, like Oxford Street.
- Move the roadway into the center and add more green space on the outsides rather than installing a median no one will maintain
- Maintain u-turns from Lyell to I-490
- Roundabout at Buffalo Road, Emerson, Driving Park?
- Encourage restaurant, drug store, residential services
- Improve pedestrian accommodation and safety
- No [need for] bike accommodations in the middle area.
- Separate facility for improved pedestrian and bicyclist mobility
- Sound barrier for homes north of railroad
- Lyell intersection needs pedestrian refuge areas, wider sidewalks, better aesthetics, medians (only if well maintained)
- Remove Ridge Road ramps or install signals at Mount Read
- North of Lyell, squish traffic into the middle and add green to the outside, on one side add linear park and on the other, add curves
- Maintain Mount Read as a viable emergency response route
- T intersection at Buffalo Road traffic circle

4. What unique local, cultural, geographic, visual, or historical concepts could we incorporate into the design?

- Why is it called Mount Read?
- Something easy to maintain and graffiti proof
- Highlight Mount Read Plaza
- Green Lexington, watch for brownfields
- Historic signs at:
- Haloid Street, where Xerox started
- Avery Street, the first Ragu sauce made
- General Otis on Lyell
- First bowling alley in area
- Former Aquinas stadium on Mount Read at Ridgeway

Erik Frisch encouraged meeting participants to take comment sheets, and either hand them in or mail them to frische@cityofrochester.gov. Attendees were advised they could visit the project website for more information and submit additional comments by May 30, 2013.

The above constitutes our understanding of issues discussed and decisions reached during the meeting. Please notify the undersigned, in writing, with any errors or omissions within 5 business days.

Best regards,
Highland Planning LLC


Tanya Mooza Zwahlen, AICP
Public Outreach Coordinator
cc: BA Project file

CITY OF ROCHESTER
NEW YORK

MEETING MINUTES

## Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road <br> City PC \# 124464

UPWP Task No. 7574

## Focus Group \#1

Monday June 24, 2013 8:30-10AM
Northwest Quadrant NSC, 1099 Jay Street Building D, Suite 200 Rochester, NY 14611

## Participants:

Erik Frisch, City of Rochester
Ms. Lee, property owner
Patrick Ho, Rochester Optical
Tanya Zwahlen, Highland Planning
Jennifer Topa, Highland Planning

## Introduction

Tanya Zwahlen welcomed focus group participants to the meeting and reviewed the agenda. After introductions, Tanya provided an overview of the study to date and set objectives for the focus group.

The goal of the study is to develop a vision for the Mount Read Boulevard Corridor that will improve conditions, operations, safety, and pedestrian/bicyclist accommodation while maintaining access to commercial buildings, industrial facilities, and neighborhoods. The current schedule includes a study of existing conditions and public outreach to be conducted in spring/summer 2013, future conditions forecasting and concept development that will take place during the summer of 2013, a review of a proposed concept in fall 2013, and the production of a corridor vision document by spring 2014. The next phases (further study and design) are not programmed. However, it is possible that preliminary design and environmental studies could be initiated by 2016, detailed design could begin in 2030, and a project could be constructed by 2035. The overall project could also be broken up into several smaller increments for an optimized, phased approach.

To date, the City has held one Project Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting. The study team has conducted 4-5 interviews with stakeholders. The City sent notices to 500 residences and businesses along the corridor to advertise the public meeting, emailed $35+$ businesses, and developed a project web site at http://www.cityofrochester.gov/mtreadblvdcorridorstudy. The first public meeting was held at School \#43 on May 20, 2013.

## Focus Group Summary

1. What do you think about replacing the signalized traffic circle with a roundabout (e.g. Buffalo Road)? What about a signalized T-intersection?

Participants commented that the traffic circle either needs to be developed into a true roundabout or changed back to a signalized intersection. Participants were favorable about a roundabout as long as necessary signage was provided to help drivers understand which lane they need to be in. If a roundabout is designed for this area, the traffic light should be removed.
2. How does the interchange with I-490 work? We heard there was a need for a southbound right turn lane to Westbound I-490 at the public meeting.

At the light before the I-490 entrance, a queue forms if someone stops at the light and does not turn right. It can cause a large back up at certain times of the day. A "Right Turn Only" designation would alleviate this issue.
3. There is lots of pavement ( 6 lanes) between I-490 and Lyell. Assuming it became twolanes in each direction, how would you rather see the left over space used? A center two way turn lane? A wider median? Green/hardscaped? Wider green curb lawns? Bicycle lanes? On-street parking?

It would be "a total disaster" if the lanes were reduced from 6 lanes to 4 lanes. The preference was for future design to make the roadway as simple as possible. Green space will need maintenance, and that may not be provided regularly by the city or state. Participants are concerned about weeds growing in the median today and the current lack of maintenance by the state. A new design should require less maintenance. The first priority for this project should be safety and movement of vehicles, as opposed to creating more green space. The City can develop space for green space elsewhere. A concrete divider median would suffice on Mount Read.
4. The intersection at Lyell was a big topic of discussion at the public meeting. We heard there is a need for longer left-turn light cycle, pedestrian refuge areas, wider sidewalks, better aesthetics, and medians. Do you agree? What would your priorities be if we had to pick and choose order?

This was the most talked about topic at the focus group. The group expressed that the left hand turn lane is very dangerous because of the tractor trailer traffic. Safety should be the first concern. There should be a yield/right lane turn to help with the traffic queue on Mount Read that is turning onto Lyell Avenue. It was also suggested that the road could be widened to help with this issue.
5. Are there established groups who could maintain medians? Or could we create a special tax assessment district to pay for City extra maintenance?

It is unlikely that residents would maintain a median. Those present would prefer that maintenance be conducted by the City or the State. It was suggested that perhaps the City and State could come to an agreement to allow the City to maintain this area, as they have with plowing.
6. If no one stood up to take ownership of them, would you be okay with a hard scape?

Hardscape would be acceptable. The less maintenance required the better.
7. We heard issues about business access right up near Lyell Avenue. Are there things that would make that better?

It is difficult and sometimes dangerous for vehicles leaving the Lyell Avenue parking lots. NB and SB right turn lanes should be created to help the flow of traffic at the Mt. Read/Lyell intersection. It would be helpful to reduce traffic stopping at the red light by creating a yield or right turn only lane. There may be too many curb cuts right now with the residential area. One possibility for the long-term would be to rezone the stretch of Mount Read Boulevard between I-490 and Lyell Avenue as commercial and to work toward reducing the number of curb cuts onto Mount Read.
8. Are there issues on Mount Read Boulevard associated with School \#43 pick-up and dropoff? How could we make that better?

Double parked cars on Mount Read in front of the school at drop-off and pick-up are problematic. A nearby side street might be safer for drop-off and pick-up at School \#43. Alternatively, a portion of the parking lot or playground could be converted into a drop-off zone to get cars off of Mt. Read Boulevard. Tanya will speak to the principal about their modernization plan.

## 9. Additional Comments

Currently, pot holes are dangerous and undesirable. The median on Lyell near Mt. Read has been driven over so many times that it is all broken down. The new design should include either a center turn lane or areas that can be driven or crossed over.

The above constitutes our understanding of issues discussed and decisions reached during the meeting. Please notify the undersigned, in writing, with any errors or omissions within five business days.

Best regards,

## Highland Planning LLC



Tanya Mooza Zwahlen, AICP
Public Outreach Coordinator

CITY OF ROCHESTER
NEW YORK

MEETING MINUTES

## Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road <br> City PC \# 124464 <br> UPWP Task No. 7574

## Focus Group \#2: Lyell to Ridgeway

## Monday June 24, 2013 4:00-5:30PM

Northwest Quadrant NSC, 1099 Jay Street Building D, Suite 200 Rochester, NY 14611

## Participants:

Ed Anachino
John Yaeckel
Mike Visconte, Lyell-Otis Neighborhood Association
Andreas Ryan, Maplewood Neighborhood Association
Lynn Jones, Resident
Tanya Zwahlen, Highland Planning

## Introduction

Tanya Zwahlen welcomed focus group participants to the meeting and reviewed the agenda. After introductions, Tanya provided an overview of the study to date and set objectives for the focus group.

The goal of the study is to develop a vision for the Mount Read Boulevard Corridor that will improve conditions, operations, safety, and pedestrian/bicyclist accommodation while maintaining access to commercial buildings, industrial facilities, and neighborhoods. The current schedule includes a study of existing conditions and public outreach to be conducted in spring/summer 2013, future conditions forecasting and concept development that will take place during the summer of 2013, a review of a proposed concept in fall 2013, and the production of a corridor vision document by spring 2014. The next phases (further study and design) are not programmed. However, it is possible that preliminary design and environmental studies could be initiated by 2016, detailed design could begin in 2030, and a project could be constructed by 2035. The overall project could also be broken up into several smaller increments for an optimized, phased approach.

To date, the City has held one Project Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting. The study team has conducted 4-5 interviews with stakeholders. The City sent notices to 500 residences and businesses along the corridor to advertise the public meeting, emailed $35+$ businesses, and developed a project web site at http://www.cityofrochester.gov/mtreadblvdcorridorstudy. The first public meeting was held at School \#43 on May 20, 2013.

## Focus Group Summary

## 1. How do the outer drives work? Do you find them confusing?

The outer drives help with congestion, but they are confusing at the major intersections. Drivers "overshoot" signage. That being said, we don't need more signs. The area has a need for green space. Perhaps Mt. Read can be taken away entirely and it can be filled in with low maintenance green space. Truck traffic is prevalent, and the group expressed that the road should not be decreased or downsized.
2. What would you think about removing the outer drives if businesses had direct access back to Mount Read? What if U-turns were needed because left turns weren't allowed?

Mt. Read is more commercial now than it was in the 1980's. Speeds are high, especially during the PM peak hour. This project should focus on improving traffic flow. The group discussed the possibility of rezoning the area between I-490 and Lyell Avenue as commercial. However, there was a concern that rezoning might attract more undesirable businesses.
3. Are there opportunities to replace a signal with a roundabout (e.g. Emerson) if they were at least two lanes in the circulatory roadway and provided enough pavement to accommodate tractor trailers?

This is a good idea, as long as capacity on Mt. Read is maintained. Slower traffic is not good. In fact, several participants voiced their desire to see speed increased to 45MPH.
4. We heard at the public meeting that the Lyell intersection needs pedestrian refuge areas, wider sidewalks, better aesthetics, and well maintained medians. Do you agree? Are there established groups who could maintain these medians? Or could we create a special tax assessment district to pay for extra maintenance? If no one stood up to take ownership of them, would you be okay with a hardscape?

The group unanimously agreed that the project should not build anything that that will not be maintained. Traffic signals should be changed today to allow longer time for trucks to turn and longer time for pedestrians to cross. Future design should accommodate tractor trailers at the intersections between Buffalo to Stone.
5. Are transit upgrades opportunities needed? What kind? If they existed would you use them?

No.
6. There is a lack of pedestrian crossing opportunities at the intersections. What would make those crossings better? If they existed would you use them? Where are the most important places to add more pedestrian crossings?

Pedestrians traveling east to west need a longer pedestrian signal at Lyell. Trucks also need a longer signal to turn. Today, trucks have a wide turning radius. There are lots of cars entering and exiting the Lyell Avenue plaza. A near term solution might be to take out the median and reduce curb cuts on Lyell in front of plaza.
7. How do you feel about the on and off ramps near Ridgeway? Do they work? Any safety concerns?

Ridgeway, in general, lacks signage. The outer drives, in general, are confusing. However, the north/south outer drive on the west side of Mount Read is particularly confusing south of Ridgeway.
8. Do you think speeds on Mount Read Boulevard are appropriate? Should they be slower? If so, how slow?

Speeds should not be slowed. However, the traffic lights should accommodate pedestrians. Speed bumps are needed on Sherman Street to discourage cut through traffic in residential neighborhoods.
9. How do you feel about safety on the corridor as a motorist? Where are the spots you feel the least safe? Ideas on what would make them better?
a) The west side outer drive between Ridgeway to Lexington has several points of conflict.
b) The intersection of Mount Read and Lexington is problematic and could be improved by more signage further down on both Lexington and Mt. Read.
c) The intersection with Driving Park is confusing.
d) Lyell and Mount Read should be improved for pedestrian safety and for trucks turning.
10. Are two or three lanes of traffic needed?

Three lanes are needed. The group was unanimous.
11. Should the design incorporate aesthetic improvements like grass median, trees, ornamental light poles?

Do not incorporate a grass median because it will require maintenance. Rochester is shrinking, and Mount Read is an industrial corridor, not a shopping district.
12. What would you like to be able to do on Mt. Read Blvd. that you can't do today?
a) Drive faster.
b) Cross Mount Read eastbound or westbound as a pedestrian with a reliable pedestrian crossing system that provides enough time on well-marked crosswalks.
c) See trucks turn without taking additional lanes or driving over curbs.
d) Easily and safely navigate intersections of Mount Read and the outer drives.
e) Drive without fear of pot holes.

The above constitutes our understanding of issues discussed and decisions reached during the meeting. Please notify the undersigned, in writing, with any errors or omissions within five business days.

Best regards,

## Highland Planning LLC



Tanya Mooza Zwahlen, AICP
Public Outreach Coordinator

CITY OF ROCHESTER NEW YORK

Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road<br>City PC \# 124464<br>UPWP Task No. 7574

## Focus Group \#3: Ridgeway to Stone

Monday June 25, 2013 4-5:30PM
Northwest Quadrant NSC, 1099 Jay Street Building D, Suite 200 Rochester, NY 14611

## Participants:

Scott DeHollander, Town of Greece, Deputy Commissioner of Engineering
Scott Copey, Town of Greece, Planning
Jim Pond, Monroe County Transportation
Karen St. Aubin, City of Rochester DES
Tanya Zwahlen, Highland Planning

## Introduction

Tanya Zwahlen welcomed focus group participants to the meeting and reviewed the agenda. After introductions, Tanya provided an overview of the study to date and set objectives for the focus group.

The goal of the study is to develop a vision for the Mount Read Boulevard Corridor that will improve conditions, operations, safety, and pedestrian/bicyclist accommodation while maintaining access to commercial buildings, industrial facilities, and neighborhoods. The current schedule includes a study of existing conditions and public outreach to be conducted in spring/summer 2013, future conditions forecasting and concept development that will take place during the summer of 2013, a review of a proposed concept in fall 2013, and the production of a corridor vision document by spring 2014. The next phases (further study and design) are not programmed. However, it is possible that preliminary design and environmental studies could be initiated by 2016, detailed design could begin in 2030, and a project could be constructed by 2035. The overall project could also be broken up into several smaller increments for an optimized, phased approach.

To date, the City has held one Project Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting. The study team has conducted 4-5 interviews with stakeholders. The City sent notices to 500 residences and businesses along the corridor to advertise the public meeting, emailed $35+$ businesses, and developed a project web site at http://www.cityofrochester.gov/mtreadblvdcorridorstudy. The first public meeting was held at School \#43 on May 20, 2013.

## Focus Group Summary

1. How are the outer drives working today? Is there a need for additional signage? Where? What type of signage?

The confusion at the outer drives is not a signage issue, it needs to be solved with engineering. The intersections with the outer drives are confusing. Consider roundabouts at Emerson, Lexington and Lyell. There are 17,000 cars per day on Latta Road, but it operates without service roads. Perhaps they are not needed. That being said, the state may not allow driveways on Mount Read. A bike path would have issue with the rail lines cutting across. There is one very dangerous spot near Ridgeway on the west side, with an on/off combination onto a two way street.
2. What would a gateway treatment look like for the Town of Greece and/or City of Rochester?

The gateway opportunity at Stone or Medimont should use geometry to slow speeds and indicate to the driver that Mount Read transitions to a local road.
3. How do the Ridge Road ramps work? Would a signalized intersection be better at Mount Read?

Is a signal on Mt. Read possible? Consider taking some, but not all, ramps away. You could add two signals on Mt. Read and create space for additional development. This would also be friendlier for bicyclists/pedestrians. On the other hand, it would mean more stops for vehicles and it would also change the character of the road for the northbound traffic.
4. Is the parking along the service road near Kodak necessary?

Yes, if it makes the building more vital. It could be designed better. It should not be expanded. Tanya will call Kodak to receive input directly from them on this matter.
5. How do the ramp connections around Ridgeway work (stops/yields)? Do you have any safety concerns about that area?

There have been spot improvements, but this area is reminiscent of the Inner Loop. The conventional expressway and older ramps create conflicts. The Study Team should review the safety records here. The design should transition, be one or the other.
6. Would the residents along the side road, west of Mt. Read just south of Stone, be okay if they were reconnected with Mt. Read?

Probably not.
7. How do you feel about safety on the corridor as a motorist? Where are the spots you feel the least safe? Ideas on how to make them better?

The three least safe locations are:
a) The outer drives, south of Ridge
b) Interchanges between the outer drives and Mt. Read.
c) Where there are breaks in the median.
8. Is there a need for better pedestrian or bicyclist accommodation in this area?

This area is not friendly for bikes or pedestrians, but the group was not sure if it needs to be. Bike/pedestrian accommodations could be incorporated into the outer drives if they are kept.
9. Is more transit access needed? Where? Would you use it if it were available?

No.
10. Do you think speeds on Mount Read Boulevard are appropriate? Should they be slower? If so how slow?

People want to go faster. Design the road to complement speeds. Don't try to make it move more slowly.

## 11. How does the Stone Road intersection work? Anything that could improve upon it?

Real estate is tight for roundabout. There is heavy volume north/south. The MCDOT Stone Road project created a split phase because of the shallow angle.
12. Throughout the corridor, are two or three lanes of traffic needed?

The decision should be functioned based.
13. Should the design incorporate aesthetic improvements like grass median, trees, and ornamental light poles?

The light poles are old. Light should serve the road's function. Lights do provide the opportunity to make an aesthetic improvement with low maintenance. One participant wondered if the road needs lights at all.
14. What would you like to be able to do on Mt. Read Blvd. that you can't do today?

Nothing. It works well.
15. What elements of local history or culture could be integrated into the design for the corridor that would make it a more interesting place to drive/walk/bike?

There may be an opportunity to celebrate the small businesses that are located on Mount Read and show off what some of the businesses are doing. For example, there is a green energy company and perhaps they could install a windmill.
16. How does the existing lighting work?

It is old. See Jim Pond's drawing.

## 17. Other Comments

a) City DES access at intersections is biggest issue. DES access is tricky, left out of operations, heavy equipment. Traffic is heavy at rush hour.
b) Traffic signals should be linked. They are not today. Consider a flashing yellow at Lyell.
c) Do not include grass medians. They are hard to maintain. The "appropriate" road section may not need median if there is hardscape.

The above constitutes our understanding of issues discussed and decisions reached during the meeting. Please notify the undersigned, in writing, with any errors or omissions within five business days.

Best regards,
Highland Planning LLC


Tanya Mooza Zwahlen, AICP
Public Outreach Coordinator


## City of Rochester

Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study
City of Rochester Project ID \#124464
UPWP Task No. 7574
Public Meeting \#2 Meeting Summary
DATE:
March 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2014 ;$ 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm
LOCATION: UA Local 13, 1850 Mt . Read Boulevard

## I. Meeting Overview

Meeting participants were welcomed and asked to sign-in. A list of meeting attendees is included as Appendix A. Meeting participants were given a project information sheet and a comment card (included as Appendix B and C, respectively). Representatives from the City of Rochester, Monroe County DOT, Town of Greece, Genesee Transportation Council, Bergmann Associates, and Highland Planning facilitated an open comment session. Concept plans and graphics for the corridor study were displayed throughout the room (Appendix D and E). Meeting participants had the opportunity to review and discuss the concepts and plans with the project team. Questions or concerns were documented and, if possible, addressed during one-on-one discussions with City or consultant staff.

## II. Comments Received

A compilation of comments received during the public meeting is included below.

## 1. What did you see in the concept plans and graphics for Mount Read Boulevard that you liked?

- Cleaning up the circle at Buffalo Rd would be a great improvement. The overall concept looks great and is an improvement towards the right direction to entice high tech manufacturing companies into the area.
- The improvement of the traffic circle at Buffalo Rd.
- Widen and more gradual exit on / off entrances are well thought out.
- Adding traffic signal at Joanne Drive (and left turn lane) is a good idea - much safer than the present.
- Project is basically good to go, just remember traffic flow and possible congestion.
- Making turns more gradual, increased access to Holden Park, simplifications of traffic flows.
- Resurfacing projects needed.
- Resurfacing access roads.
- Center turn lanes seem like a good idea but unfortunately people don't use them properly and they are rendered useless. Twenty-seven years of driving (20 commercially) tells me this.


## 2. What things you didn't like?

- Nothing really.
- Closing off old Mount Read Boulevard.
- Not sure how the proposed base concept on / off ramp from southbound Mount Read onto Outer drive between Ridgeway Ave and Railroad is really going to function.
- Don't do away with W Outer Dr between Lexington and Ridgeway, will cause more problems than needed.
- Elimination of Outer Dr parallel to Mount Read southbound, between ridgeway and Lexington, will reduce capacity for vehicles, which avoid Mount Read southbound now.
- Bike lanes - not the place for them. Have they brought any truckers into the picture to get their opinion?
- Concept level objectives as stated cater to demands that don't exist while existing insufficiencies do not appear to be addressed adequately.
- No access to Lexington west side service road.
- Reducing driving lanes, providing bike lanes, making things "pedestrian friendly."


## 3. What would you change about or add to the current concepts for Mount Read Boulevard if you were the project designer?

- Possible road design like what was done at Winton Rd and 590 seems that it could make sense at Lexington and Mount Read.
- Bigger signs and lettering for signs at major roads.
- Add a north arm to jog handle at Driving Park to allow through traffic. West Outer drive from Lexington north is quite heavily trafficked and should be continued through and not stopped. A thought is to use south jog handle exclusively for traffic turning onto to Driving Park; and leave W Outer Dr to continue through undivided to Ridgeway.
- No grass or trees will end up catching trash.
- Why not model the road more like Ridge Road with U-turn lanes? Why not eliminate service roads west Outer Drive for example all together? Allow for three or four lanes N in either direction in high traffic areas.
- Three lanes of traffic each direction. Achieved by removal of service roads.
- Keep it, or make it more "driving friendly" (cars, trucks, etc.) with lots of lanes and more frequent and longer duration green lights for Mt. Read (north or south).


## 4. What parts of the plan would you like to see implemented first?

- Lyell to Route 104 , this is the main point of entry for most coming off the expressway for business purposes from Lexington.
- Traffic circle - This is an accident waiting to happen on a daily basis.
- Option B, drawing 4 of 6
- Easy parts of the project first
- Phase D should be last. This is the most expensive phase and the one that is least associated in the objectives.
- Residential sections.
- Driving friendly, with lots of lanes and longer green light time on Mount Read.


## 5. Do you have any feedback about the meeting location, time, format, or facilitation that would help us improve future project meetings?

- No problem.
- Was thinking there would be someone speaking.
- Evening is generally good.
- This was a good meeting location. Should have been publicized better.
- This worked fine.
- This seems like a lot of money spent for no reason.
- Good location and time!
- Needs to be more formal.
- Everything was good.


## 6. Please share any additional comments or thoughts.

- There is zero bike and pedestrian traffic between Lyell and Ridgeway along Mount Read Boulevard. There is massive heavy industrial traffic in that section. Current design seems to do little to expedite this type of traffic or facilitate access to local businesses. In fact, access to West Outer Drive between Lexington and Driving Park will be cut in half. Phase D is by far the most expensive and should be concerned the least in concept level objectives as outlined. Any plans of aesthetic improvements, especially in industrial areas, are ignoring the character of the area. Not to mention, the City and Town of Greece have shown many many times over their lack of dedication to maintaining the green space they create. We would be better off with more lanes of traffic and less trees and grass that will be overgrown or dead before all phases of the project are even completed.


## III. Next Steps

Meeting participants were thanked for their attendance and participation. The presentation boards from the meeting will be available through the City's website. Comments will be accepted through post, email, or by phone for up to two weeks after this meeting date.
highlandplanning

## Appendix A: Meeting Attendees

Jim McIntosh, City of Rochester<br>Erick Frisch, City of Rochester<br>Dan McCuskor, NYSDOT<br>Jim Pond, Monroe County DOT<br>Joe Bovenzi, Genesee Transportation Council<br>Scott Copey, Town of Greece<br>Tom Detrie, Bergmann Associates<br>Mike Croce, Bergmann Associates<br>Anna Liisa Keller, Highland Planning<br>Tanya Zwahlen, Highland Planning<br>Bob Barbarick<br>John Bartolotto, Rochester Optical<br>Marianne S. Beaton<br>Chris Buscemi<br>Eric Buscemi<br>Joseph Catalle<br>Tony Ciorott<br>Tom Cottrone<br>Charlie Ennis

Marsha Enright
Tom Giannone, Monro Muffler
Debbie Giordano
Cindy Kalen
Jules Lowe
Jean McElligott
Christopher Oliveri
Dianne Ostrander
Ron Penders
Alan Priebe
Lisa Priebe
Andreas Rau, Maplewood Neighborhood Association
Richard Reed
Lorraine Robinson
Dale Saladyga, General Motors
David Scanlon, Regional Distributors, Inc.
Rick Shcheen, Shcheen Managment
Steve Stafford
Jim White, Maplewood Neighborhood Association
highlandplanning

MEETING MINUTES

## Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road <br> City PC \# 124464 <br> UPWP Task No. 7574

## GM Components Holdings, LLC Meeting

Friday March 28, 2014 @ 9:00AM
GM Components Conference Room

## In Attendance:

## NAME

Erik Frisch
Dave Goehring
Mike Croce
Robert Randazzo
Neal Evans GM Components Holdings, LLC
Cc. Bergmann Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study Team

## Summary:

The purpose of this meeting was to listen to concerns from GM Components Holdings, LLC regarding concepts presented at the public open house and to obtain information from the company regarding the proposed future use of existing parking areas on site.

- R. Randazzo began the meeting with a history and overview of the plant and its history.
- 1100 employees
- \$150 M investment in the plant within the last calendar year
- Plant produces 10 M injectors per year in addition to several other vehicle components
- Bob Johnson Chevrolet utilizes a portion of the existing parking lot for vehicle storage
- The footprint of the former eastern outer drive along the frontage of the building was acquired by GM in the 1970's.
- E. Frisch provided a summary of the study's background. The study seeks to develop alternatives that work for neighboring businesses and to position the corridor for continued growth.
- N. Evans noted that the site of the proposed roadway improvements is under a NYSDEC Consent Order. Contaminated groundwater is pumped daily.
- The facility's main receiving gate (with scales, guard house, and rolling gates) is located on the driveway immediately adjacent to the proposed jug handle. This driveway services over 40 tractor trailers per day. GM recently invested $\$ 300$ thousand in the driveway.
- GM is considering installing a solar array on one of the parking areas to the north of the building. One proposal would site the array at the location of the proposed jug handle where Bob Johnson currently stores its vehicles.
- M. Croce indicated that access to the driveway and gate can be maintained. He also explained that the purpose of the jug handle is to serve northbound tractor trailer U turns.
- M. Croce asked D. Goehring if the NYSDOT would consider a truck turning bulb-out similar to those found on West Ridge Road (NYS Route 104) near the Lowes plaza. D. Goehring concurred that this would be an appropriate treatment for this corridor.


## Next Steps:

A. Production of the study summary document (spring 2014)
B. Complete Analyses and Review with PAC (spring 2014)
C. Publish final report (summer 2014)

## Action Items:

BA - Develop an alternative concept for accommodating northbound tractor trailer U-turns and submit to the City and NYSDOT for review.
DES - Forward the alternative concept to GM Components for review and comment after BA completes any revisions and addresses City/NYSDOT comments.

DES - City of Rochester Department of Environmental Services NYSDOT - New York State Department of Transportation Region 4
BA - Bergmann Associates

The above constitutes our understanding of issues discussed and decisions reached during the meeting. Please notify the undersigned, in writing, with any errors or omissions within 5 business days.

Best regards,

## BERGMANN ASSOCIATES



Michael T. Croce, P.E.
Project Manager
cc: $\quad$ All in Attendance, BA Project file

## Appendix E: Corridor Vision Plan Documents




Base Concept



CITY OF ROCHESTER
Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road




| LEGEND |
| :---: |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |


| CITY OF ROCHESTER |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road |  |  |  |
| Exhibit 4.1(1) Corridor Vision Plan |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SHEET No. } \\ & 5 \text { of } 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SCALE } \\ \mathbf{1 "}^{\prime \prime}=300^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | Date | PBergmann |



```
NYS Route 104 (West Ridge Road) To Stone Road:
1. Disconnect Old Mount Read Boulvarad from Mount Read Boulverard at Medimount
Retain access to Old Mount Read Boulevard at Joanne Dive
3. Introucee a narower, rased median at Joanne Drive.
4. Introduce curvatur, ust north of Medimunt Drive.
5. Add curb, sidevalks, lighting, and street trees noth of Joanne Drive to
signity a change foom the
highway signify a change
character (notrin)
```



Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study
NYS Route 33 (Buffalo Road) to Stone Road
City of Rochester, NY
Planning Level Opinion of Probable Construction Cost
Base Concept
Exhibit 4.1(2)
/Bergmann


| Summary of Probable Construction Costs (2014 Dollars) ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | Construction Cost (2014) ${ }^{1}$ | Scoping and Engineering ${ }^{2}$ | Construction Phase <br> Engineering Services ${ }^{3}$ | ROW Acquisition ${ }^{4}$ | Total Cost |
| Phase A <br> (Buffalo Road Roundabout) | \$1,980,000 | \$228,000 | \$277,200 | \$75,000 | \$2,560,200 |
| Phase B <br> (Buffalo Road to Lyell Avenue) | \$7,315,000 | \$771,500 | \$1,024,100 | \$150,000 | \$9,260,600 |
| Phase C <br> (Lyell Avenue Intersection) | \$3,520,000 | \$392,000 | \$492,800 | \$100,000 | \$4,504,800 |
| Phase D (Lyell Avenue to Driving Park Avenue) | \$22,880,000 | \$2,348,000 | \$3,203,200 | \$2,800,000 | \$31,231,200 |
| Phase E <br> (Driving Park Avenue Through West Ridge Road Interchange) | \$7,590,000 | \$799,000 | \$1,062,600 | \$1,000,000 | \$10,451,600 |
| Phase F <br> (West Ridge Road Interchange to Stone Road) | \$3,960,000 | \$436,000 | \$554,400 | \$200,000 | \$5,150,400 |
| Total All Phases | \$47,245,000 | \$4,974,500 | \$6,614,300 | \$4,325,000 | \$63,158,800 |

Notes:
1 Construction Cost includes incidentals, contingencies, and other percentage based items (WZTC, Survey, Incidentals, Contingency, etc).
2 Assume $10 \%$ of Construction Cost for Engineering plus an additional allowance for project Scoping
3 Assume 14\% of Construction Cost for Construction Support and Inspection Services.
4 Based upon anticipated impacts of the base concept on approximate highway boundary locations. Does not include acquisitions necessary for property access modifications.
5 Cost Estimate does not include the following items:

- Structure Repair, Rehabilitation, or Reconstruction except Retaining Walls
- Structure Repair, Rehabilitation,

Uroperty Access Modifications
Utility Relocations except Minor Water Main / Hydrant Relocations
Environmental Mitigation including Hazardous Waste / Contaminated Materials Remediation

- Pavement Treatments outside of the proposed conceptual improvement limits



## Appendix F: Future Land Use Projections



## Exhibit 4.3(2)

Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study
Build Out Analysis
Acres of Development 155.9 Between Lexington and Route 104

|  | Acres | SF/Acre | Dev SF | SF/Job | Jobs | AM Trip Generation | PM Trip Generation | LUC | AM Trip Generation Equation | PM Trip Generation Equation | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | 17.6 | 12,000 | 211,500 | 300 | 705 | 349 | 316 | 710 | $\ln (\mathrm{T})=0.80 \ln (\mathrm{X})+1.57$ | $\mathrm{T}=1.12 \mathrm{X}+78.45$ | SF (1000) |
| R\&D | 37.4 | 12,000 | 449,040 | 500 | 898 | 480 | 459 | 760 | $\ln (\mathrm{T})=0.87 \ln (\mathrm{X})+0.86$ | $\ln (\mathrm{T})=0.83 \ln (\mathrm{X})+1.06$ | SF (1000) |
| Manufacturing* | 36.3 | 16,000 | 581,280 | 2000 | 291 | 453 | 438 | 140 | $\mathrm{T}=0.83 \mathrm{X}-29.52$ | $\mathrm{T}=0.78 \mathrm{X}-15.97$ | SF (1000) |
| Warehouse* | 63.1 | 16,000 | 1,010,000 | 5000 | 202 | 295 | 262 | 150 | $\ln (\mathrm{T})=0.55 \ln (\mathrm{X})+1.88$ | $\ln (\mathrm{T})=0.64 \ln (\mathrm{X})+1.14$ | SF (1000) |
| Retail | 1.4 | 10,000 | 14,000 | 500 | 28 | 100 | 56 | 826 | 100 | $\mathrm{T}=2.4 \mathrm{X}+21.48$ | SF (1000) |
|  | 155.9 |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 , 2 6 5 , 8 2 0} \\ (1,000,000) \end{gathered}$ |  | 2,124 | 1677 | 1531 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,265,820 \\ 2,265,820 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 2,230 | 2,297 |  |  |  |  |

*Assume 1M SF of Manufacturing/Warehouse available at Eastman Business Park





## Exhibit 4.3(2)

Mount Read Boulevard Corridor Study
Build Out Analysis

| Site $5 \longrightarrow 4.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Use | Acres | SF/Acre | Dev SF | SF/Job | Jobs | AM Trip Generation | PM Trip Generation |
| Office | 100\% | 4.1 | 12,000 | 49,200 | 300 | 164 | 109 | 134 |
| R\&D | 0\% | 0 | 12,000 | - | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manufacturing* | 0\% | 0 | 16,000 | - | 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warehouse* | 0\% | 0 | 16,000 | - | 5000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0\% | 0 | 10,000 | - | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 100\% | 4.1 |  | 49,200 |  | 164 | 109 | 134 |



| Site 7 |  | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Land Use | Acres | SF/Acre | Dev SF | SF/Job | Jobs | AM Trip Generation | PM Trip Generation |
|  | Office | 0\% | 0 | 12,000 |  | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | R\&D | 0\% | 0 | 12,000 |  | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Manufacturing* | 0\% | 0 | 16,000 | - | 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Warehouse* | 100\% | 7.2 | 16,000 | 115,200 | 5000 | 23 | 90 | 66 |
|  | Retail | 0\% | 0 | 10,000 | - | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 7.2 |  | 115,200 |  | 23 | 90 | 66 |
| Site 8 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Land Use | Acres | SF/Acre | Dev SF | SF/Job | Jobs | AM Trip Generation | PM Trip Generation |
|  | Office | 40\% | 2 | 12,000 | 24,000 | 300 | 80 | 62 | 106 |
|  | R\&D | 60\% | 3 | 12,000 | 36,000 | 500 | 72 | 54 | 57 |
|  | Manufacturing* | 0\% | 0 | 16,000 | - | 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Warehouse* | 0\% | 0 | 16,000 | - | 5000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Retail | 0\% | 0 | 10,000 | -- | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 100\% | 5 |  | 60,000 |  | 152 | 116 | 163 |






[^0]:    cc: $\quad$ All in Attendance, BA Project file

