ROCHESTER SCHOOLS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM

PHASE 2

LEGAL SERVICES

ADDENDUM #1

Prepared by

Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board

February 17, 2016

Request for Proposals dated February 9, 2016, amended, clarified, and modified as follows:

ITEM 1-1 NOTE TO ALL PROPOSERS

IT MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE PROPOSAL THAT EACH ADDENDUM WAS RECEIVED.

ITEM 1-2 Requests for Information ("RFI") - Questions and Answers

The following list represents the RFI questions that were received as of the date/time stipulated in the Request for Proposals.

Q1. In Section 7, the RFP states that "all employees covered by this RFP shall be on the individual's/law firm's payroll, and be paid by the individual /law firm." Does this provision intend to preclude a law firm from subcontracting a portion of the scope to another law firm and that subcontractor law firm would then be responsible for paying their lawyers who are performing the services?

A1. Section 7 is directed to the Prime firm responding to this Request for Proposals. For clarification, the intent of Section 7 is to prevent a Prime firm from "loaning" their employees to an M/WBE firm and then subcontracting work to that M/WBE firm to meet the Business Diversity requirements for the program. Section 7 <u>does not</u> preclude a law firm from subcontracting a portion of the scope to another law firm. If a firm is subcontracted, that subcontracted firm will be responsible for paying <u>its own</u> lawyers who are performing services.