

2020-21 City of Rochester Draft Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report

FOR PUBLIC REVIEW FROM SEPTEMBER 10 – 25, 2021

September 10, 2021

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

| Goal | Category | Source / Amount | Indicator | Unit of Measure | Expected – Strategic Plan | Actual – Strategic Plan | Percent Complete | Expected – Program Year | Actual – Program Year | Percent Complete |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Demolish dilapidated structures | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Buildings Demolished | Buildings | 500 | 138 | 27.60% | 100 | 138 | 138.00% |
| Housing and services for persons with HIV/AIDS and | Non-Homeless Special Needs | HOPWA: \$ | Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added | Household Housing Unit | 675 | 137 | 20.30% | 135 | 137 | 101.48% |
| Improve Public Infrastructure | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 0 | 3400 | 0% | 0 | 3400 | 0% |
| Improve quality of housing stock | Affordable Housing | CDBG: \$ | Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated | Household Housing Unit | 725 | 135 | 18.62% | 145 | 135 | 93.10% |
| Increase access to | Affordable | HOME: \$ | Direct | Households | 400 | 19 | 4.75% | 80 | 19 | 23.75% |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----|---|--------|----|---|---------|
| homeownership | Housing | | Financial Assistance to Homebuyers | Assisted | | | | | | |
| Increase supply of affordable housing | Affordable Housing | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Rental units constructed | Household Housing Unit | 85 | 0 | 0.00% | 17 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Increase supply of affordable housing | Affordable Housing | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Rental units rehabilitated | Household Housing Unit | 125 | 0 | 0.00% | 25 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Increase supply of affordable housing | Affordable Housing | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Homeowner Housing Added | Household Housing Unit | 60 | 0 | 0.00% | 12 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Increase supply of affordable housing | Affordable Housing | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated | Household Housing Unit | 20 | 0 | 0.00% | 4 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Program administration | Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ | Other | Other | 15 | 3 | 20.00% | 3 | 3 | 100.00% |
| Program delivery | Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing | CDBG: \$ | Businesses assisted | Businesses Assisted | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---|---|--------|---|---|---------|
| | Community Development | | | | | | | | | |
| Program delivery | Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Buildings Demolished | Buildings | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Program delivery | Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Other | Other | 5 | 1 | 20.00% | 1 | 1 | 100.00% |
| Provide City planning | Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Other | Other | 5 | 1 | 20.00% | 1 | 1 | 100.00% |
| Provide economic and workforce development | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Facade treatment/business | Business | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 1 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|--|---------------------|------|-----|--------|------|------|---------|
| | | | building rehabilitation | | | | | | | |
| Provide economic and workforce development | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Jobs created/retained | Jobs | 0 | 42 | | 0 | 42 | |
| Provide economic and workforce development | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Businesses assisted | Businesses Assisted | 50 | 34 | 68.00% | 10 | 42 | 420.00% |
| Provide facilities and services in support of need | Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Other | Other | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Provide Homelessness prevention and homeless housi | Homeless | ESG: \$ | Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing | Households Assisted | 550 | 99 | 18.00% | 110 | 99 | 90.00% |
| Provide Homelessness prevention and homeless housi | Homeless | ESG: \$ | Homeless Person Overnight Shelter | Persons Assisted | 7500 | 0 | 0.00% | 1500 | 3265 | 217.67% |
| Provide Homelessness prevention and homeless housi | Homeless | ESG: \$ | Homelessness Prevention | Persons Assisted | 250 | 97 | 38.80% | 50 | 97 | 194.00% |
| Provide Homelessness | Homeless | ESG: \$ | Other | Other | 375 | 191 | 50.93% | 75 | 191 | 254.67% |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------|--|---------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| prevention and homeless housi | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provide public services | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 320050 | 16414 | 5.13% | 64010 | 16414 | 25.64% |
| Provide public services | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Households Assisted | 10920 | 345 | 3.16% | 2184 | 345 | 15.80% |
| Revitalize business/commercial areas | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Other | Other | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Support fair housing education and services | Fair Housing | CDBG: \$ | Other | Other | 0 | 0 | | | | |

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The City deploys its CDBG funds to provide critical services and programs, including housing, public services for senior citizens and youth residents, economic development, slums and blight remediation, and public works. Each of these programs primarily impacts and benefits the city of Rochester' low- and moderate income population.

The City exceeded its 2020-21 goals in providing housing services through the ESG and HOPWA programs. In addition, the City exceeded its goals in businesses assisted and jobs created/retained. This is especially significant, considering the many difficulties faced by local business owners during the COVID-19 pandemic. The Business Development team worked diligently to provide critical support to business owners at this time of need and also made a concerted effort to gather job creation and retention data from the businesses that were supported.

Below, we highlight the many programs implemented by the Department of Recreation and Human Services (DRHS), who worked tirelessly to innovate on our programs to meet the needs of city youth throughout the COVID-19 pandemic.

DRHS current priorities for CDBG public services funding are programs and services that promote youth employment readiness and career development, positive youth development, and civic engagement. In the 2020-21 CDBG program year, DRHS hired 61 youth through the Summer of Opportunity Program and engaged 44 youth in the Youth Employment Training program. A number of youth faced barriers when applying for the necessary documents to become eligible for employment. As a result, the SOOP program extended the application deadline to accommodate delays and an online system was launched for applicants to submit documents directly to the program.

DRHS was able to continue to provide youth entrepreneurship and arts education offerings through the ROC Paint Division mural arts crew. This project traditionally engages Rochester youth and local professional artists to create, develop and install both small and large scale, interior and exterior public arts projects. This year the team focused on the creation of additional coloring books and COVID survival guides for teens; in addition, the youth artists worked in small groups to complete several large murals on wooden panels that will be installed at public libraries in the City.

DRHS continued to support science, technology, engineering, arts, and math (STEAM) educational opportunities through the STEAM Engine allocation. The STEAM Engine consists of two separate program offerings the Rec on the Move STEAM Engine and the ROCmusic program. Rec on the Move/STEAM Engine, which had more than 800 visits during the 2020-21 program year, is a free mobile recreation program that incorporates a STEM focus in everyday play in underutilized parks and green spaces in Rochester. ROCmusic is an after-school year-round community-based music enrichment program that offers tuition-free music instruction, instruments, small-group lessons, and concert performances. The program focuses on classical, contemporary, and cultural music through

instrumental music instruction in orchestral strings, brass, or rhythm section instruments (bass guitar, piano, drums, and DJ/MC skills). In addition to instruction, the students have special access to guest artists and local cultural events, with transportation and meals arranged at no additional cost to the student or their families. In the 2020- 21 program year, the all-virtual program served 125 youth ages 6 to 18. Generally, the program is offered at the David F. Gantt, Frederick Douglass and Edgerton R-Centers, with a few satellites at School 19/ Lightfoot R-Center, Jackson R-Center, and East High School.

DRHS also continued delivery of the Youth Voice One Vision youth leadership board, the Mayor's Youth Advisory Council. Youth Voice One Vision provides youth-led civic engagement support to Rochester youth ages 12 to 25 participating in DRHS leadership training programs. CDBG funding was utilized to support staff to coordinate youth civic and community engagement opportunities, like advising the Rochester Police Chief while being exposed to various sections of the Rochester Police Department (career pathways), partnership with Nazareth College Community Youth Development Program (learning/creating leadership workshops for youth leaders), leadership skills development including social and interpersonal skills, conflict resolution, event planning, service learning, team building, and decision-making.

DRHS also expanded its environmental education program offerings through youth focused workshops at R-Centers and City park locations as well as family friendly programming including bike rides, nature hikes, and guided paddles on the Genesee River. A highlight of DRHS environmental programming was the collaboration between teens from the City's Youth Voice, One Vision program and the County's Urban Ecologist program and residents of the City of Rochester to create and promote the first Children's Outdoor Bill of Rights (COBOR). The COBOR is a list of outdoor activities that all children should have the right to experience, and serves as a tool to help guide priorities and decisions regarding access to nature and funding, so that all of Rochester's children have equitable access to the outdoor experiences that are crucial for their healthy development.

The City of Rochester is dedicated to providing equitable access to high-quality parks and DRHS acts as a steward and champion of our City parks, playgrounds, and green spaces. In addition to investments in public services, DRHS also utilizes CDBG funds to make investments in parks infrastructure. During the 2020-21 program year, DRHS, in partnership with the City's Department of Environmental Services, completed capital improvement projects at TC Hope R-Center and Don Samuel Torres Park and continued development of projects at University Avenue Playground, Troup Street Park, Edgerton R-Center Playground and Lower Maplewood Park Playground. The Don Samuel Torres Park consisted of the demolition and complete re-design of the baseball fields, basketball court, and adult exercise equipment at the site. These upgrades included: (1) the renovation of the baseball fields to have new infield, a home run fence, and new dugouts; (2) the reorientation and expansion of the basketball court (to regulation size), (3) the installation of community amenities including adult fitness equipment near the basketball court and two dominoes tables on an ADA compliant concrete pads in walking distance from the senior housing complex adjacent to the park. Additional amenities include a shade structure near the renovated playground, additional trees and benches throughout the park.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

| | CDBG | HOME | ESG | HOPWA |
|--|-------|------|-------|-------|
| White | 768 | 77 | 1,137 | 55 |
| Black or African American | 1,484 | 68 | 1,950 | 129 |
| Asian | 29 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| American Indian or American Native | 3 | 1 | 23 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 1 | 14 | 20 | 0 |
| Total | 2,285 | 160 | 3,150 | 229 |
| Ethnicity | | | | |
| Hispanic | 2,285 | 74 | 637 | 56 |
| Not Hispanic | 2,023 | 86 | 2,760 | 173 |

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

ESG data as collected by subrecipients, includes a category for *Multiple Races* and *Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused*. However, there is no category for *Multiple Races* or *Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused* in the top portion of the chart above, but 117 individuals identified themselves as *Multiple Races*, and 385 individuals identified themselves as *Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused* with our subrecipients. As such, there were actually 3,652 people served by ESG, but the above chart includes everyone else except those who identified themselves as *Multiple Races*.

HOPWA data is collected with *Other - Multi-Racial* as an option and this option is not provided in the table above. Forty five (45) individuals identified as *Other - Multi-Racial*. As a result, there are an additional 45 individuals served that are not included in the top portion of the above chart count, making a total of 229 individuals served under the HOPWA program.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

| Source of Funds | Source | Resources Made Available | Amount Expended During Program Year |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CDBG | public - federal | 9,797,074 | 6,179,808 |
| HOME | public - federal | 3,363,637 | 494,312 |
| HOPWA | public - federal | 975,953 | 518,092 |
| ESG | public - federal | 708,965 | 593,668 |

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The amount expended column data was determined by reviewing all IDIS vouchers for ESG, HOPWA, CDBG, and HOME funds expended between 7/1/2020 and 6/30/2021. Program expenditures for CDBG, ESG, and HOPWA were significantly impacted by program launched by the City through the CARES Act. These emergency programs assisted people who were impacted by COVID-19. Due to the emergency nature of the programs and needs of the community, these CARES Act programs were at times prioritized over the City's existing, non-emergency program operations and funding. CARES Act funding, expenses, and accomplishments are not reflected in this CAPER document.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

| Target Area | Planned Percentage of Allocation | Actual Percentage of Allocation | Narrative Description |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| CDBG Eligible | 1 | 0 | Other |
| City-wide | 99 | 100 | City-wide |

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The City's HOME program typically leverages significant funding when used for affordable rental housing projects where the City's HOME investment tends to be 3 – 5% of the project's total development costs. Similarly, the City's use of HOME funding to assist low-to-moderate income households to become homeowners leverages purchase mortgages – whether they are funded/insured with the NYS SONYMA or FHA mortgage programs, or are financial through local, regional or national financial institutions.

The City of Rochester does not have a HOME Match requirement for the 2020-21 program year.

| Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year | 10,905,417 |
| 2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year | 273,500 |
| 3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2) | 11,178,917 |
| 4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year | 0 |
| 5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4) | 11,178,917 |

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

| Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|
| Project No. or Other ID | Date of Contribution | Cash (non-Federal sources) | Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges | Appraised Land/Real Property | Required Infrastructure | Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor | Bond Financing | Total Match |
| 307 Ellicott | 08/04/2020 | 43,856 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43,856 |
| 34 Caroline | 07/07/2020 | 41,864 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41,864 |
| E L Tower | 07/07/2020 | 188,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188,000 |

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

| Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$ | Amount received during reporting period \$ | Total amount expended during reporting period \$ | Amount expended for TBRA \$ | Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$ |
| 72,810 | 499,680 | 352,931 | 388,956 | 2,279 |

Table 7 – Program Income

| Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | Total | Minority Business Enterprises | | | | White Non-Hispanic |
| | | Alaskan Native or American Indian | Asian or Pacific Islander | Black Non-Hispanic | Hispanic | |
| Contracts | | | | | | |
| Dollar Amount | 187,652 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187,652 |
| Number | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sub-Contracts | | | | | | |
| Number | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Dollar Amount | 144,156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144,156 | 0 |
| | Total | Women Business Enterprises | Male | | | |
| Contracts | | | | | | |
| Dollar Amount | 187,652 | 187,652 | 0 | | | |
| Number | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Sub-Contracts | | | | | | |
| Number | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Dollar Amount | 3,500 | 3,500 | 0 | | | |

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

| Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| | Total | Minority Property Owners | | | | White Non-Hispanic |
| | | Alaskan Native or American Indian | Asian or Pacific Islander | Black Non-Hispanic | Hispanic | |
| Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dollar Amount | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

| Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| Parcels Acquired | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Businesses Displaced | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Nonprofit Organizations Displaced | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Households Displaced | Total | Minority Property Enterprises | | | | White Non-Hispanic |
| | | Alaskan Native or American Indian | Asian or Pacific Islander | Black Non-Hispanic | Hispanic | |
| Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cost | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

| | One-Year Goal | Actual |
|--|---------------|------------|
| Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units | 110 | 99 |
| Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units | 283 | 163 |
| Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units | 135 | 137 |
| Total | 528 | 399 |

Table 11 – Number of Households

| | One-Year Goal | Actual |
|--|---------------|------------|
| Number of households supported through Rental Assistance | 245 | 185 |
| Number of households supported through The Production of New Units | 29 | 0 |
| Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units | 174 | 135 |
| Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units | 80 | 19 |
| Total | 528 | 339 |

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The City of Rochester, its community and housing development partners all experienced a number of challenges due to the COVID – 19 pandemic that, along with staffing changes and organizational changes, affected the number of HOME funded activities reported as completed during the 2020-21 fiscal year.

The addition of pandemic related funding through the CARES act and related program administration work-loads increased, which along with staff shortages, added to the City's challenges and capacity to maintain the level of production planned for the program year.

Rental projects: Three affordable rental projects completed construction during the program year, though were not closed out as tenant occupancy information was still needed. Charlotte Square III and Liberty Landing together include 83 new units, which exceeds the City's goal of 27 new units produced. In addition, the E L Tower project, contributed 193 rehabbed units rehabbed, for continued affordable rental housing.

Home ownership program: There were fewer home purchase activities completed in 2020-21 than planned. Several issues contributed, including the pandemic, and staff shortages. Interest and activity remained constant, however. It is anticipated that the hiring of new staff will assist us to meet and exceed the identified homeownership goals for 2021-22.

Rental Assistance - Both ESG and HOPWA subrecipient organizations received funding through the CARES Act. Many people who were served in the 2020-21 program year were impacted by COVID-19. Since those individuals and families were served through CARES Act funding, they will not be counted as beneficiaries in this report.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The City of Rochester will continue to assess planned vs. actual numbers.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

| Number of Households Served | CDBG Actual | HOME Actual |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Extremely Low-income | 123 | 0 |
| Low-income | 140 | 4 |
| Moderate-income | 111 | 16 |
| Total | 374 | 20 |

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

The numbers in the above chart are numbers showing only affordable housing activities funded from CDBG and HOME.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The City supports outreach activities through participation in local initiatives and by directly funding Street Outreach activities through ESG. The past six program years the City has funded Person Centered Housing Options (PCHO) for Street Outreach. They provide outreach, assessment, and intake/case management to unsheltered and sheltered homeless individuals. PCHO and the Rapid Engagement Demonstration (RED) Team identify and engage the unsheltered homeless in the City of Rochester. PCHO, The RED Team, the Veteran Administration (VA), and several other local homeless service providers regularly visit soup kitchens, libraries, and public places that unsheltered homeless adults frequent. They also visit places not meant for human habitation where homeless are known to be, including parks, parking garages, vacant and abandoned structures, and encampments. Youth street outreach workers have a mobile outreach team and an RV, and continue to regularly engage homeless and at-risk youth on the street, at recreational centers, and at other locations that youth frequent. The objective of all of the aforementioned groups is to connect, assess, and link the unsheltered homeless person with housing, services, and other benefits.

Additional outreach is conducted by Monroe County DHS during the "Code Blue" season, when temperatures fall below 32 degrees. Under state Executive Order, Code Blue requires that any person requesting emergency shelter when the temperature is below 32 degrees must be provided with shelter.

PCHO has worked closely with the Rochester Police Department (RPD), and has provided training to RPD officers on best practices when engaging with homeless individuals. The RPD has been given information on the wide range of community resources available to them and to any homeless individuals they might encounter. In addition, an actual police protocol procedure document was developed collaboratively with PCHO, Monroe County and City staff from RPD, Security, Neighborhood Service Center and the Housing office. While many of the protocols were being done informally, the document codified procedures and was able to be distributed and be used during training sessions.

Reaching out to homeless persons cont. `

The City of Rochester undertakes several actions to prevent homelessness. During the reporting period, specific preventive assistance included delinquent rental and utility payment assistance, security deposit payments, and legal services for low and low-mod income households facing eviction. Tenant accountability training and eviction prevention services were also provided. The Housing Council at

Pathstone provides foreclosure prevention services. The counseling and resolution service process includes household budget and income analysis as well as negotiation with mortgage lenders and other parties to arrive at appropriate resolutions. Resolutions include refinancing, negotiated repayments and sale. Legal services provided by the Empire Justice Center make mandated representation at settlement hearings and litigation possible. In addition to the City ESG funding used for the outreach activities through PCHO, The City is committed to supporting collaborative efforts to address the unsheltered homeless population. Coordination with service providers is crucial to engaging this population and moving them to permanent housing. The City participates with the Monroe County Department of Human Services, homeless service providers, the faith community and community volunteers on the Chronically Homeless Workgroup which meets monthly. The case conference portion of these meetings help Outreach to find hard to engage persons to ensure they are connected to services and are placed on the prioritization list for permanent supportive housing programs. The second half of the meeting informs the community on the efforts of the Outreach activity, identifies current challenges being faced in engaging and housing the Chronically Homeless and share information on community resources that are available for the unsheltered population.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City takes several actions to address the needs of the homeless, specifically with respect to their emergency shelter and transitional housing needs. The primary funding sources are the federal Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG) and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) which are administered by the Department of Neighborhood and Business Development, Division of Housing. The City's funding allocations for 2020-21 addressed individual program components, but all of the components support emergency shelter or transitional housing needs of homeless persons in some fashion. The ESG Program provides homeless persons with basic shelter and essential supportive services. It can assist with the operational costs of shelters and provide homeless prevention assistance to persons at imminent risk of losing their housing due to eviction, foreclosure or utility shutoffs. ESG is a formula-based program that uses the CDBG formula as the basis for allocating funds to eligible jurisdictions.

The ESG program components funded in 2020-21 include; Coordinated Entry and Street Outreach, Emergency Shelter Operations and Essential Services, Rapid Re-Housing, and Homelessness Prevention. A maximum of 60% of the City's annual ESG allocation goes to Street Outreach/Coordinated Entry and Shelter Operations. Funding requests for shelter operations far exceed the 60% maximum and the funding requests for other program types on an annual basis. The City supports the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons in numerous ways; by providing direct funding for shelter operations including staffing, overhead, and essential services. The City funds the Coordinated Entry system that helps ensure that those who are the most vulnerable are prioritized for permanent supportive and rapid re-housing programs. Coordinated Entry uses the VI-SPDAT to assess persons based on their current needs that will assist them in accessing the most appropriate housing available that will best address their needs. Efficient implementation of this list and the coordinated entry system

reduces the amount of time a person remains homeless while connecting the highest need persons with appropriate resources. The City also participates in the Coordinated Entry Oversight Committee, which continuously monitors the Coordinated Entry process to ensure high standards are being met, review and revise Coordinated Entry policies and procedures, provides Coordinated Entry training to providers and educates the community on the process. Its most recent efforts have been the formation of a Landlord Engagement Workgroup that is working on recruiting landlords with available units to increase the inventory of units available to PSH and RRH programs.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons cont. 1

The City's funding and oversight of homelessness prevention and rapid-rehousing programs offers the homeless (or those at-risk of homelessness) population with resources to divert people from the homeless system and support housing stability. The City's Homelessness Prevention program provides short term case management and funding for rental arrears, security deposits, first month's rent, and utility arrears/deposits to eligible households to maintain and/or regain stability in their current housing. The prevention programming also diverts households away from shelter stays to keep shelter beds free for those who cannot access these same prevention resources. The Rapid Rehousing program connects homeless households who require short term support to secure more stable, permanent housing and remain stable in housing. This helps households that have recently become homeless with their housing emergency, while reducing the length of stay in shelter making homeless episodes as brief as possible. Persons can access the Rapid Rehousing program via Coordinated Entry and the Prioritization List.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Youth being discharged from foster care or other institutional settings typically return to their family of origin or another responsible family member or adult. When no such adult can be located, the youth is placed in a community program specifically designed to prepare youth for independent living, such as The Villa of Hope or Hillside Children's Center. It is rare that a youth enters the homeless system directly from foster care. Shelters have been instructed to immediately contact the Monroe County Department of Human Services to report the failed discharge plan if a youth is attempting to access homeless services directly from foster care. Youth entering the homeless system are directed first to the Center for Youth for assessment. The youth is then connected to a caseworker who attempts to re-unite the youth with his/her family or other responsible adult and link him/her to appropriate services. If such a re-uniting is not possible, the youth is referred again to a community program that prepares youth to transition to independent living.

Hospitals have been encouraged to not discharge people with no available housing after hours or weekends so that they can first go to MCDHS for assessment and placement into a shelter that can best meet their needs. When this is not possible or patient leaves against medical advice, hospital social work staff have been provided with information on local emergency shelters (e.g., physical layouts, staffing, hours open) so a referral is made to the shelter that can best meet the person's needs. Hospital staff calls the shelter to confirm a bed is available, any required follow-up care is communicated to shelter staff, and the patient is provided with a sufficient supply of medication. A partnership between the YWCA and the University of Rochester Strong Health provides enhanced shelter services for persons being discharged from Strong Memorial Hospital. The goal is to prevent re-hospitalization, link to mainstream benefits and access permanent housing as quickly as possible.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless cont. 1

Inpatient facilities licensed or operated by the NYSOMH and NYSOASAS are encouraged to refer individuals to housing consistent with the level of care required by the patient and to not discharge patients until a comprehensive discharge plan is in place. Prior to discharge, individuals in need of supervised housing, and who agree to a referral, are referred to the Monroe County Single Point of Access (SPOA). The SPOA facilitates housing assistance for eligible individuals and connects persons to mental health care coordination services. Through HSN, the CoC will continue to educate stakeholders about the primary providers of licensed mental health residential beds (DePaul Community Services, East House, Housing Options Made Easy, Rochester Psychiatric Center), intensive case management programs (Strong Memorial Hospital, Rochester General Health System, Unity Health System), and care coordination services (ACT Team, Project Link, MICA Net). Ex-offenders are most frequently discharged to their families or to independent living under the supervision of parole. New York State Parole has contracts with several community agencies to place ex-offenders when no other housing can be located (e.g., Salvation Army Adult Rehabilitation Center, Grace House, and DuBois Residential Program). Spiritus Christi Prison Outreach (SCPO) utilizes ESG funding to provide emergency and transitional housing for the re-entry population. SCPO also provides PSH for the re-entry population and Delphi Rising provides RRH using CoC funding. The CoC Team and the Monroe County Re-Entry Task Force will continue to work closely together, utilizing common members, to assist ex-offenders to successfully transition back into the community. Linking ex-offenders to mainstream resources will reduce the number of ex-offenders from re-entering the homeless system and returning to the criminal justice system. The Veterans Administration (VA) and the Veterans Outreach Center (VOC) will continue to exclusively serve veterans in the CoC geography. The VA and RHA are partners for the HUD VASH program and have developed the local VASH referral/application process and coordinated support services. The VA also partners with two Salvation Army programs-Booth Haven and Safe Haven-that have beds for veterans through the Grant and Per Diem program. Soldier ON has been awarded a SSVF grant to provide prevention and rapid re-housing services to veterans and their families in Monroe County, a programs previously administrated by VOC. The VOC and the VA will continue to operate programs for veterans returning from Iraq and Afghanistan to welcome them home and link them with employment and other mainstream resources to secure and stabilize their income. The YWCA has a

MOU with the VOC to provide services to female veterans.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless cont. 2

To reduce the time in ES or TH, the CoC has adopted a Housing First approach, which has resulted in eliminating pre-conditions (e.g., sobriety, minimum income threshold, pending documentation) that were previously barriers to accessing housing. Adopting Harm Reduction strategies, part of the Housing First model, also reduces terminations from permanent housing due to relapse, non-compliance with medications, etc. In addition, ESG funds have been used for rapid rehousing efforts, especially for families, to move them quickly to PH and reduce length of stay in shelter and prevent future episodes of homelessness. Data on the length of time that homeless individuals and families spend in CoC and ESG-funded ES, TH, and SH programs is collected in HMIS and is used to track changes over time. Over 70 community agencies in the HSN, where members are educated on best practices and tools that can be used to reduce the length of time individuals stay homeless. To reduce the extent to which individuals and families leaving homelessness experience additional homeless episodes, the CoC will utilize prevention, diversion, and short-term rental assistance, and arrears payments. The Monroe County DHS provides diversion/prevention assistance to assist those at risk of homelessness with payments for rent arrears, back taxes, mortgage payments, auto repairs, and tools/uniforms for jobs. Returns to homelessness are also decreased as the result of less stringent compliance demands for participants, automatic renewals of leases, and ensuring that discharges are in accordance with fair housing requirements. Data in HMIS is used to determine if and when individuals and families leaving TH, RRH, and PSH experience another episode of homelessness in those cases where either they exit to homelessness or they exit to permanent housing, but subsequently re-enter the homeless system in the CoC geography. To improve the housing stability of persons in permanent supportive housing, the CoC will utilize the Coordinated Entry System to insure that households are directed to the housing and services that will best meet the needs of that household. Special attention is given to increasing access to mainstream supportive services and enhancing case/care management options to ensure effective integration of homeless housing & community-based services and supports.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The CoC is investing in rapid rehousing so that those who enter emergency shelters exit quickly. It is providing diversion assistance for those who have a viable alternative to a shelter but require some support. The CoC is currently utilizing a Moving On model that determines housing stability and case management needs of PSH participants. As households become stable in PSH participants that no longer need case management but still need the rent subsidy are supported to transition to other

community affordable housing opportunities, including Housing Choice Vouchers, Empire State Supportive Housing (ESSHI) programs and other privately subsidized housing. This frees up PSH units for homeless households that need the intensive case management provided in PSH.

Helping homeless persons cont. 1

The CoC will assist homeless households with children through RRH projects by working with TH projects serving families to help them restructure using a RRH model & by soliciting housing organizations without such programs to create new RRH projects. ESG funding supports a new Rapid Rehousing Partnership (RRP). RRH responds quickly, secures appropriate PH, and uses an array of benefits/supports. The RRP is expanding to serve as a forum for broad implementation of a local RRH system. Training/published tool kits will help agencies to understand the RRH approach and best practices. Efforts to find other funding sources will continue. The average length of time that participants remain in Emergency Shelter (ES) is 19 days, Transitional Housing (TH) 85 days, and Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) 1,625 days proving that PSH model is a best practice for housing stability. To reduce time, the CoC has encouraged PSH providers to adopt a Housing First approach, which has resulted in many eliminating preconditions (e.g., sobriety, minimum income threshold) that are barriers to housing entry. The current average time from entering the homeless system to housing is 42 days. ESG funds have been used for rapid rehousing efforts, especially for families, to prevent them from remaining homeless or lengthening an episode of homelessness. Data on length of time that homeless individuals/families spend in CoC and ESG-funded ES, TH, and SH programs are included in HMIS and will be used to track changes over time. Non-HUD funded projects are represented among the community agencies in the Housing Services Network, where members are educated on best practices and tools that can be used to reduce the length of time individuals stay homeless. To reduce additional homeless episodes, the CoC will utilize prevention, diversion, short-term rental assistance, and arrears payments. The Monroe County DHS Diversion Unit will continue to assist those at risk of homelessness with payment for such costs as back taxes, mortgage payments, auto repairs, and tools/uniforms for jobs. Returns to homelessness are also decreased as the result of less stringent compliance demands for participants, automatic renewals of leases, and ensuring that discharges are in accordance with fair housing requirements. Data in HMIS can be used to determine if and when individuals and families leaving TH, RRH, and PSH experience another episode of homelessness in those cases where either (1) they exit to homelessness or (2) they exit to permanent housing, but subsequently re-enter the homeless system in the CoC geography. The CoC will utilize the local Coordinated Access System to improve the likelihood of placing individuals and families in housing where they would either stay longer or move more quickly to self-sufficiency. Attention will be given to increasing access to mainstream supportive services and enhancing case/care management options to ensure effective integration of homeless housing and community-based services and supports. Agencies will be encouraged to adopt a housing first model, which would allow more participants to remain in their units and reduce the number exiting a project because of alcohol-or drug-related noncompliance.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The City of Rochester has continued efforts to coordinate activities with those of the Rochester Housing Authority (RHA). These efforts involve planning and development of new public housing, affordable housing, rehabilitation of existing public housing, resident and program participant self-sufficiency training, home ownership opportunities, and crime prevention and control. RHA continues to provide quality affordable housing and services for its residents. RHA meets regularly with resident councils, resident Board Commissioners, staff and neighborhood associations to address needs and discuss upcoming projects. All suggestions and recommendations from these sources were taken into consideration when preparing the Annual and five-year Capital Improvement plan which outlines capital projects for its Public Housing properties. Due to ever changing conditions, items are prioritized, but can fluctuate within the plan from year to year as priorities change. RHA utilizes funds from its operations budget and Capital Fund Program to address physical needs of its properties. Projects this past year included resident service requests, unit turnovers, interior and exterior renovations, security and building systems upgrades such as fire systems, elevators, and HVAC components. Some specific upcoming projects are: Parking lot and sidewalk improvements at the Holland site on Hudson Avenue; exterior building and site improvements at Bronson Court; roof and porch replacement at many of the scattered site single and two-family homes; installation of security systems at various locations; window replacement, facade improvements and landscaping at Atlantic Townhouses. Exterior repairs are nearly completed at Lake Tower. There are typically, multiple projects in several stages of completion and more are in various stages of planning, and design. RHA has submitted a New York State Homes and Community Renewal tax credit application for partial financing of the comprehensive redevelopment of the housing complex located on Federal Street, which includes 35 units of surrounding scattered sites. RHA is reviewing its portfolio for other opportunities to reposition its properties to maintain affordability and pursue various sources of funding for rehabilitation. RHA was awarded a grant as part of the NYS Preservation Opportunity Program (NYS-POP) and has utilized this funding to conduct property needs assessments on numerous properties to identify deficiencies to assist in the prioritization of capital improvement projects. RHA also conducts an annual inspection with trained certified inspectors of all public housing units, sites, building exteriors, building systems and common areas in accordance with HUD's Uniform Physical Conditions Standards (UPCS). Work orders are generated for all deficiencies and reports given to property management for all resident issues and needs.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The RHA Resident Service Department is also working hard to partner with other agencies that can assist our residents in self-sufficiency initiatives and goals which include homeownership. Graduates from the Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) program are recognized at monthly Board Meetings. Many have been

gainfully employed, earned college degrees, and purchased homes. Since 2017 RHA has assisted 75 families in purchasing a home. In 2020, there were 29 graduates of the FSS program who collectively saved over \$130,000 in escrow savings over the five-year period. RHA is in the beginning phase of implementation of their Section 32 Homeownership Plan, which allows them to sell PHA owned property to residents and low-income families. RHA continues to work with and seek out additional community partners to help further its mission. RHA is in the process of implementing its revised Section 3 plan which is designed to provide better training, employment, and life skills for its participants along with development of Section 3 businesses. RHA currently has 21 Section 3 businesses on its registry. RHA continues to work with resident councils and the Resident Advisory Board (RAB) to address resident need. RHA is committed to improving communication between management and its residents and program participants and continues to work with area partners to provide services. We host many functions during the year ranging from Senior outings to job fairs. In 2020-2021 RHA in partnership with Trillium hosted on-site Covid – 19 vaccine clinics to ensure resident had access to vaccines.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Rochester Housing Authority (RHA) is not a troubled housing authority.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The evolution of the State “Uniform” Code with the adoption of the ICC Codes, has changed dramatically. The Existing Building Code takes into consideration the need to be reasonable when converting old buildings for housing units without compromising safety. We have had a number of old buildings converted to residential dwellings that provide new healthy and safe living environments for a variety of income levels. Some barriers with the redevelopment of older buildings do exist because of environmental abatement costs and other code driven regulations, but with the help of DHCR and other funding streams, we have seen a large uptick in large housing projects both new construction and renovations of existing buildings. . The City has recently begun updating the Zoning Code, which seeks to implement the goals of Rochester 2034, the recently adopted Comprehensive Plan. This Plan proposes to expand housing options in the City of Rochester. The Code update will support this goal.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City focused on meeting the critical needs of vulnerable populations in the 2020-21 program. The COVID-19 pandemic impacted and intensified residents’ housing, financial, and physical needs. Through the HUD funding, the City worked to address those needs, although the \$12.6 million that the City received in CARES Act funding was entirely dedicated to addressing these emergency needs.

The City’s Housing team worked continuously with housing providers, partners, and members of the Continuum of Care to ensure that residents in need of housing assistance, support, shelter, and legal aid received services. Youth programming and services were adapted to be safe and socially distant in-person or to be deployed virtually, as needed, so that youth could continue to benefit from these programs. The City’s business development team continued to work with grassroots organizations and neighborhood leaders to conduct outreach to underserved business owners on the numerous services and products that are offered to support them. The City continued to work with businesses and the underserved to assist in any way possible to retain its current employees. Job creation during the COVID-19 crisis has been severely impacted during this time as business owners did not have sufficient incoming revenue to create new positions. Many businesses, through the City’s assistance, were able to retain positions and help the economy.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City continued its efforts to combat childhood lead-poisoning through its Lead Hazard Control Program. The primary goal of the program is to reduce childhood lead poisoning by producing lead-safe affordable housing for families with children under age six. The strategy of the program is to employ

primary prevention by addressing lead based paint hazards in housing before a child becomes lead-poisoned. This goal has been expanded to not only address lead hazards, but to also address other environmental health hazards which particularly affect children with asthma. The Lead Hazard Control Program is funded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Healthy Homes

A total of 66 units were produced at a cost of \$1,219,982. Of this amount, \$283,854 was CDBG and \$936,128 was OHHLHC. This production was not typical due to the Covid-19 pandemic which suspended all production for several months in 2020. Participating landlords were required to produce a 10% funding match and agree to maintain assisted units as affordable and lead-safe for a period of five years. Each unit that received lead hazard control assistance received a healthy homes supplemental award to address other environmental health hazards. A comprehensive assessment was completed which included an identification and evaluation of 29 health hazards.

Through a partnership with local lead-based paint evaluation firms, the City provided a combined lead-based paint inspection/risk assessment for each unit enrolled in the Lead Hazard Control Program. The risk assessment provides an evaluation of the housing unit and child play areas to determine the presence of lead-based paint and identifies existing lead hazards. The completed report summarizes these findings and includes a remediation plan if needed. A total of 68 lead based paint risk assessments were produced. Other efforts that contributed to the program include contractor training where 19 construction workers completed coursework through the City's training program which provided EPA certification to undertake lead abatement work. This accomplishment has expanded the base of qualified workers available to undertake lead hazard control work for at-risk property owners. Other activities that were completed include a city-wide outreach and education program which included the use of a multitude of media platforms, TV and radio ads, direct training, billboard displays, postcard mailings and informational webinars.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Rochester now ranks #4 for cities in the United States for poverty rate and #1 among midsize cities and #1 among midsize cities and #1 for child poverty rate overall. In response to these dire statistics, the entire Rochester community has been convened and is collaborating via the Rochester Monroe Anti-Poverty Initiative (RMAPI) since 2015. RMAPI's goal is to reduce poverty by 50% by 2030, and is asking each partner organization to rethink their role and impact on these issues. RMAPI's strategic framework focuses on the following strategies 1. Connecting and Coordinating Service Provision 2. Enabling Sustainable Employment 3. Designing and Advocating for Effective Benefits and Policies 4. Ensuring Learning and Data-Driven Action. Each strategy is also informed by the guiding principles of addressing trauma, combatting structural racism, and building community assets.

In January 2016 RMAPI and partners selected initial target neighborhoods in which to pilot and evaluate the impact of new strategies. The City of Rochester is formally partnered with RMAPI to support data analysis, program design, and impact evaluation. Over the course of the last several years RMAPI and

partners have launched several pilot strategies including the Bridges to Success life coaching program, Family Independence Initiative peer support groups, Kiva Rochester microloan program, OWN Rochester coop business incubation program, the Commuter Vanpool Pilot Program, and others. While program impact is still being evaluated, these programs have served over 400 individuals and initial data shows positive trends. In addition to efforts directly tied to RMAPI, the City of Rochester also has many long running programs and services which are aimed at impacting issues of poverty, including housing programs aimed at ensuring access to quality affordable housing, neighborhood service centers which foster neighborhood community group activities and mitigate issues of vacancy and blight, and recreation centers and libraries that provide supplemental educational opportunities and safe healthy environments for families.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In order to provide greater clarity regarding planning functions and to emphasize the importance of long-range planning in Rochester, the City created a new Planning Office in 2017. That office led the development of a new Citywide Housing Market Study in 2018, and the development of a new comprehensive plan, *Rochester 2034*, which was adopted unanimously by City Council in November 2019 with broad community support (and input from a wide variety of housing stakeholders). These documents, along with the City's longstanding Housing Policy, adopted in 2008, inform the city's housing programs, strategies, initiatives, and investments.

In early 2020, the Planning Office lead development of a new Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI), which highlights issues and recommended actions to advance fair housing choices for city residents, and new 5-Year Consolidated Plan for the 2020-2024 program years, to inform investment of the City's HUD allocation dollars (CDBG, HOME, ESG, HOPWA).

The City also works closely with nonprofit housing and social service providers to implement and continuously improve its many housing and community development programs.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families cont. 1

As an outgrowth of these collaborative efforts, the City launched the Mayor's Office of Community Wealth Building in January 2018 with the goal of ensuring that everyday Rochesterians are not left behind in Rochester's recovery. Community Wealth Building is an intentionally inclusive process of collaboration among a broad array of stakeholders focused on advancing community-centered policies, programs, initiatives, and systems. OCWB's efforts to launch financial empowerment centers in fall 2019 focuses on safe banking access, debt alleviation, access to credit, and savings among residents. Additionally, the office's city accelerator initiative garnered insights from women and minority-owned small businesses via surveying and four focus groups to inform the establishment of a robust entrepreneurial ecosystem to connect new and existing ventures to regional services and track operational effectiveness across the network. Applying a racial equity lens to all of its activities, OCWB is the lead partner on the City's Race, Equity, and Leadership (REAL) Initiative which aims to address

inequities identified in regional workforce planning, grantmaking, community engagement, and media relations. Under the REAL initiative, OCWB collaborates with critical partners including the Rochester City Council, Greater Rochester Chamber of Commerce, and St. Joseph Neighborhood in full support and alignment with RMAPI's guiding principles. Collectively, these and other activities enjoin the creation of inclusive economic and community development through the democratic ownership of assets and opportunities to build intergenerational wealth within historically disenfranchised communities. These efforts transpire across individual, family, group, institutional, and regional levels.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City continuously meets with local housing partners. We also maintain a close partnership with Monroe County.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

In 2020, the City of Rochester engaged Mullin and Lonergan Associates to develop a new Analysis of Impediments of Fair Housing Choice (AI). The prior AI had been developed in 2015. Unfortunately, the 2020 AI shows the persistence of a number of longstanding issues related to fair housing choice and opportunity in the City of Rochester. For example:

- More than one-third of the City's census tracts (30 of 88) are classified as racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty (R/ECAPs).
- R/ECAPs scored "lowest" or "lower" in an analysis of access to opportunity, and analysis found that these areas also align with the areas identified as "low demand" in the 2018 Citywide Housing Market Study.
- An analysis of Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) lending data found that while the overall approval rate for applicants in Monroe County (outside the city) was 84%, the approval rate citywide was only 70%, only 64% for Hispanic or Latino city applicants were approved, and only 55% of Black or African American city applicants were approved.

Despite these issues, a public policy analysis in the 2020 AI found that the "geographical distribution of the City's investments using federal and local funds are consistent with affirmatively furthering fair housing choice" for a range of programs, including rehab, homebuyer services, and affordable and supportive housing development.

More and better work is still needed, however. The 2020 AI includes a Fair Housing Action Plan that identified four impediments to fair housing choice in Rochester and 12 recommended actions that the City and community partners should take over the next five years.

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected all aspects of the City's actions related to Fair Housing, with many relief activities and programs providing resources to assist those affected. Related moratoria on housing evictions and foreclosure actions, which in the City of Rochester disproportionately affect low income and minority populations. The City of Rochester's housing related services for 2020-21 have been focused on immediate assistance to residents as they faced ongoing challenges brought on by the pandemic, dedicated toward stabilizing housing situations and accessing available resources.

Three of the recommended actions that were identified for completion in the short term (by the end of 2021 Program Year), have been rescheduled due to ongoing activities related to the COVID -19 pandemic, and are identified to be completed by the end of the 2022 Program Year.:

- Develop a new prominent, easy-to-find webpage with fair housing information on the City's website.
- Make the City's fair housing webpage accessible for persons who are visually impaired and translate it into Spanish.
- Designate a City department/staff liaison for Fair Housing and provide its contact information on the Fair Housing webpage.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The City of Rochester continually monitors the performance of housing and community development activities to assure that they are carried out in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and to ensure that performance goals are achieved. All CDBG-funded DRHS public service programs are overseen by a management-level City/DRHS employee and implemented by City staff. The few subrecipients (Roc Paint and ROCmusic) provide services to youth at City facilities under direct City supervision. DRHS program managers verify that services were provided and ensure accuracy for any invoices submitted by subrecipients. All subrecipient invoice documentation is also reviewed by Grants Accounting prior to processing any payments. DRHS staff complete payroll certifications or time distribution forms certifying the time spent working on the grant-funded programs which are then reviewed by City Grants Accounting prior to any reimbursement draws. DRHS staff also collect and compile all demographic and program accomplishments for CDBG-funded programs.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Our customers had an opportunity to comment on the **CAPER during the 15 day public comment period running from September 10-25, 2021**. A public notice was published online and sent to news outlets. The report was available for review at various City locations, including City Hall, and online.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City did not make any significant changes to its program objectives.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

N/A

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic affected the City of Rochester's ability to undertake any type of on-site inspections during its 2020-21 fiscal year. As a result, no inspections were made of any HOME assisted rental housing projects or units. In July 2021, subsequent to the end of the City's fiscal year, the City of Rochester was able to begin scheduling on-site inspections at a few locations. The intention is to be able to have all needed inspections completed by the end of calendar year 2021, or by the end of the City's 2021-22 fiscal year.

The City is coordinating with respective property managers to schedule 2020-21 and 2021-22 needed inspections, while being sensitive to the property managers' guidelines related to protecting the health and safety of residents and staff. As summer 2021 continues, the COVID-19 Delta variant is impacting perception of safety for vulnerable populations the local community and a number of property managers have post-poned on-site access to their rental communities until at least much later in 2021.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

All of the City's affordable housing projects require an affirmative marketing plan. Consistently, our projects are marketed to households that would not typically have access to new units. This includes units marketed in neighborhood newsletters, and advertised on radio that would reach minority and other underserved markets, in neighborhoods where projects are located, and to populations identified as lease likely to apply. Populations least likely to apply may include those whose race or ethnicities are noted as not currently residing in the project area, based upon available census data.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

The City of Rochester receipted \$220,500 in program income in the 2020-21 program year. No program income funds were expended in the 2020-21 program year, as these funds are allocated to two large projects – Zion Hill Apartments and St. Bernard's Apartments. These two large projects are expected to be completed early in the 2021-22 program year.

Other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing cont. 5

City of Rochester
Draft 2020-21 CAPER

32

Flower City Apartments is an affordable rental housing rehabilitation project which includes 150 units at three locations within the City of Rochester. The project includes 83 studios, 54 one-bedrooms, and 13 two-bedroom apartments, all of which were various states of disrepair. The units were unregulated, or not rent-restricted prior to this initiative, but were considered “naturally affordable”. This project will ensure that these units remain affordable for households with incomes ranging from at or below 30% to 60% MFI. Fifty-six (56) of the 150 units will be set aside for supportive housing. Included in the 56 supportive housing units will be ten (10) units for previously incarcerated individuals, 11 units for individuals with HIV/AIDS, and the other 35 supportive housing units will serve formerly homeless and chronically homeless individuals. All households living in the supportive housing units will receive on site supportive services and rental subsidies. It is anticipated that the residents will remain in the buildings during construction and move into a newly renovated unit when completed. A Relocation Coordinator was hired to work with the residents to develop individualized, temporary relocation plans to meet their needs during construction. The total development cost is \$18.8 million. The City provided the project with a \$300,000 HOME funded permanent loan, and a 10% Shelter Rent PILOT. The project started in Spring 2021, and will be complete in late 2022. 270 On East is a rental housing development that is part of the revitalization efforts resulting from the City’s Inner Loop East infill project. This 118,450 square foot affordable housing development includes 50 indoor parking spaces and a 14 space surface lot. The project will create 112 units, including studios, one-, and two-bedroom apartments affordable to a diverse range of incomes, with units targeted to households with incomes at or below 50%, 60%, and 80% of MFI. Fifty-five (55) units are to be set-aside for supportive housing for frail elderly and formerly homeless veterans, all of whom will receive on-site services and rental assistance. Total development cost is \$30.5 million. The City sold the parcel for the project, provided a locally-funded loan of \$1,500,000 for permanent financing, and a Shelter Rent PILOT agreement.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The City of Rochester was largely developed prior to 1940 and has the second oldest housing stock in the nation among cities of its size. As such, many of the opportunities to create affordable housing stock consist of rehabilitation of existing housing stock. The City has long recognized that the NYS Fire Prevention and Building Code posed barriers to the development of affordable housing when existing structures are rehabilitated or adaptively re-used. The code was developed as a “new construction” standard and makes it very costly, if not impossible, to effectively redevelop existing structures. Projects also experience significant delays because they must obtain variances from the state code. The City has worked successfully with the State of New York to address this issue by replacing the former code with the NYS Enhanced International Building Code. The City has continued to monitor the NYS Building Code and the new local zoning code for adverse effects to the development of affordable housing. The HOME allocation has been utilized for affordable housing development and rehabilitation as well as homeownership financial assistance. HOME funds are not used to provide tenant-based rental assistance. The City allocates HOME funding to a variety of rental activities designed to prioritize

maintaining and increasing the supply of safe, adequate rental housing that is affordable to households below 60% of the area median family income while deconcentrating poverty and supporting diverse neighborhoods.

Southeast Towers is a 376-unit occupied rehabilitation project located in Rochester's City Center. The project includes the demolition of 40 sub-standard units, and the preservation of 336 units of affordable housing. The City approved \$1,400,000 in HOME funding and a 30-year PILOT for the project. Upon completion there will be 36 studios, 164 one-bedroom units, 112 two-bedroom units, and 24 three-bedroom units. There will be 11 HOME assisted units. The City's Housing and Code Enforcement teams have worked closely with both the development team and tenant's union, to foster an environment of collaboration, align expectations and goals, and to ensure that developer can successfully complete this \$120 million rehab in an economically prudent manner without compromising on their ability to meet the needs of the tenants. The project has undergone a Rental Assistance Demonstration II (RAD II) conversion, and all of the units will be affordable to households at or below 50% or 60% of MFI. Construction began in 2019, and is anticipated to be completed in 2022.

Other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing cont. 1

Liberty Landing is a supportive and community affordable rental housing project in center city Rochester that consists of 33 units for households at or below 30%, 50% and 60% MFI. Ten of the units are set aside for formerly homeless military veteran households at or below 30% of the MFI; each of these tenants will receive rental assistance, case management, and other supportive services provided by Veterans Outreach Services. The project includes 23 one-bedroom units, and 10 two-bedroom units. There are 5 HOME assisted units. Total development cost is \$10.8 million, and the City provided a \$300,000 HOME loan for construction and permanent financing and a PILOT for the project. Construction is completed, the project is fully leased up, and project close out is anticipated to occur by the end of calendar year 2021. The E L Tower project includes the rehabilitation and preservation of an existing affordable rental project that includes 193 one-bedroom units of housing. Populations served include low-income households, persons with disabilities and/or issues with chronic homelessness. Units are affordable to households with income at or below 50%, 60% or 80% MFI. There are 11 HOME Assisted units. Formerly known as Southview Towers, the project is an existing former HUD Section 236 rental property. The property owner has partnered with a local service provider, Person Centered Housing Options, Inc., to provide on-site comprehensive care management. Total development cost is \$24.9 million, and the City provided a loan of \$650,000, of which \$154,797 are HOME funds, and a PILOT for the project. Construction is completed, final payments have been made, and the project is in the process of being closed out.

Other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing cont. 2

St. Bernard's is an affordable rental housing project for seniors aged 55 and older that entails the rehabilitation of 147 existing units and new construction of 13 units, for a total of 160 units. Units are affordable to households at 40%, 50% and 60% MFI. Upon completion, the project will include 14

studios, 120 one-bedroom units and 26 two-bedroom units. There will be 11 HOME assisted units. Total development cost is \$27.8 million, and the City is providing a \$600,000 HOME loan for construction and permanent financing, and a PILOT. Construction is nearing completion, and the project is anticipated to be closed-out during the 2021-22 fiscal year. Jefferson Wollensak is an affordable rental housing project that includes the adaptive repurposing of an historic local industrial building on Hudson Avenue in northeast Rochester that is included in the National Register of Historic Places, and new construction on Jefferson Avenue in southwest Rochester. The project includes 41 units serving households with income at or below 50% MFI, with 7 units set aside for households with intellectual or developmental disabilities and 8 HOME-assisted units. Supportive services will be provided by the New York State Office of Persons with Developmental Disabilities. The total development cost is \$13.9 million and the City provided sale of two parcels of land, a \$300,000 HOME funded loan, and a PILOT for the project. The project has completed and is fully leased up. They are in the process of closing out the project. The Pueblo Nuevo project, which is being undertaken in two phases, will result in 104 units of affordable rental housing; creation of the El Camino Community Center which will include a community, business and education center; and dedicated community greenspace. Pueblo Nuevo's project scope, size and locations represents a strategic "purpose built" approach to transform this neighborhood by providing needed affordable housing close to public transportation, existing amenities, support services and job opportunities. The project includes a number of sites, most of which are in close proximity to the La Marketa at the International Plaza site on North Clinton Avenue and the nearby El Camino neighborhood area.

Other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing cont. 3

The first phase of the project, Pueblo Nuevo I, is a supportive and community affordable rental development of 75 units that will be affordable to households with income of up to 50%, 60% and 90% MFI. It includes the adaptive repurposing of a former school building into apartments, the rehabilitation of an existing two-family structure, and new construction consisting of a two story apartment building and multiple triplex structures. When completed there will be 59 one-bedroom units, 2 two-bedroom units and 14 three-bedroom units. The project will serve 27 households at or below 50% MFI, including 19 units set-aside for those with Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities. Additionally 44 units will be affordable to households with income at or below 60% MFI, and four (4) units for households at or below 90% MFI. There will be 11 HOME assisted units. Pueblo Nuevo I was awarded project funding by New York State in early 2020, including capital funding and a housing services agreement with the for ongoing case management and supportive services from the NYS Office for Persons With Developmental Disabilities. Total development cost for Pueblo Nuevo I is \$23.7 million. In April 2020, the City of Rochester approved a HOME loan of \$368,000 for construction and permanent financing, sale of City-owned land and a PILOT. Pueblo Nuevo I closed on financing in late July 2020, and construction is nearing completion with all Certificates of Occupancy anticipated to be issued by September 2021. Lease-up of completed triplex units is underway. The second phase of the project, Pueblo Nuevo II, closed on project financing and started construction in May 2021. The City of Rochester sold the land upon which the houses are being constructed, along with a Shelter Rent PILOT to assist the project.

Pueblo Nuevo II will create 29 units of affordable rental housing all targeted to households at or below 50% MFI, on multiple sites near and adjoining Pueblo Nuevo I sites. Upon completion, these units will further transform the immediate neighborhood. There will be five single family houses and twelve duplexes, all of which will be two-bedroom units. Five units will be targeted to households with income at or below 30% MFI, and an addition five units will benefit from Project Based Housing Choice Vouchers from the Rochester Housing Authority. Total development cost is \$13 million.

Other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing cont. 4

The L2P Westside project is a scattered site rent-to-own program modeled after a highly successful program in Cleveland, OH. Construction began on 41 single-family houses at the end of 2020, with an anticipated completion date of Spring 2022. For the first 15 years the properties will be rental, managed by the owner. In Year 11, the management will begin working with the tenants to ensure they are ready for ownership. Beginning in Year 16, eligible tenants will be offered the opportunity to purchase the property at a discount, to remain affordable to households at or below 60% MFI. The 2- and 3-bedroom homes are in the Southwest quadrant of Rochester, on previously vacant City-owned land which the City sold along with a Shelter Rent PILOT towards the project. Seven of the homes are designed with accommodations for households with mobility concerns, and an additional two are designed to accommodate households with visual impairments. Total development cost for the project is \$12.7 million. Edna Craven Estates (CDS Clifford and Joseph) is a 164-unit affordable rental housing project that includes the construction of seven buildings on three adjacent parcels totaling 214,229 square-feet. The project rents will be affordable to extremely low-income, very low-income, and low-income households, including eight (8) units with project based section 8 vouchers (PBVs). The unit mix is comprised of 37 one-bedroom, 96 two-bedroom, 24 three-bedroom, and five (5) four-bedroom units, including 48 units set-aside for survivors of/those fleeing domestic violence and persons with mental illnesses. The households in the set-aside units will receive on-site supportive services, and will have rental subsidies to ensure they do not pay more than 30% of their incomes toward rent. Total development cost for the project is \$48.8 million. The City's participation in the project includes the sale of 23 parcels for the development, a \$1,000,000 HOME funded permanent loan, and a Shelter Rent PILOT that will run coterminous with the permanent financing.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

| Number of Households Served Through: | One-year Goal | Actual |
|--|---------------|--------|
| Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family | 55 | 24 |
| Tenant-based rental assistance | 80 | 86 |
| Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds | 0 | 0 |
| Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds | 0 | 0 |
| | | |

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

This chart shows that 110 households were served through HOPWA. However, this chart does not capture that an additional 27 households were served through HOPWA permanent housing activities, bringing the total number of households served by HOPWA to 137.

Both agencies received HOPWA-CV funding through the CARES Act. As a result, individuals who were impacted by COVID-19 and received services from these organizations are not reflected in the above table.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

| | |
|---|--|
| Recipient Name | ROCHESTER |
| Organizational DUNS Number | 002465805 |
| EIN/TIN Number | 166002551 |
| Identify the Field Office | BUFFALO |
| Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance | Rochester/Irondequoit/Greece/Monroe County CoC |

ESG Contact Name

| | |
|-------------|--------------------|
| Prefix | Mrs |
| First Name | Carol |
| Middle Name | 0 |
| Last Name | Wheeler |
| Suffix | 0 |
| Title | Manager of Housing |

ESG Contact Address

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Street Address 1 | Neighborhood & Business Development 30 Church St. |
| Street Address 2 | 0 |
| City | Rochester |
| State | NY |
| ZIP Code | - |
| Phone Number | 5854286152 |
| Extension | 0 |
| Fax Number | 0 |
| Email Address | wheelerc@cityofrochester.gov |

ESG Secondary Contact

| | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Prefix | Ms |
| First Name | Amy |
| Last Name | Ventura |
| Suffix | 0 |
| Title | CDBG Coordinator |
| Phone Number | 5854287816 |
| Extension | 0 |
| Email Address | amy.ventura@cityofrochester.gov |

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2020
Program Year End Date 06/30/2021

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: VETERANS OUTREACH CENTER

City: VETERANS OUTREACH CENTER

State: NY

Zip Code: 99999,

DUNS Number:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Catholic Charities Community Services

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14622, 2467

DUNS Number: 098131683

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 84000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COORDINATED CARE SERVICES, INC.

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14611, 1153

DUNS Number: 151624996

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 179148

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Spiritus Christi Prison Outreach / Neilson

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14609, 7143

DUNS Number: 161582433

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 40782

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Center for Youth Services

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14620, 1707

DUNS Number: 085991974

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 19000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ALTERNATIVES FOR BATTERED WOMEN

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14604, 9601

DUNS Number: 094406261

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 31469

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: ROCHESTER AREA INTERFAITH HOSPITALITY NETWORK

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14607, 2013

DUNS Number: 170113752

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 48394

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE SALVATION ARMY

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14604, 1410

DUNS Number: 062517941

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 38000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA OF WESTERN NEW YORK

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14608, 1208

DUNS Number: 825036361

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 44000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YWCA OF ROCHESTER & MONROE COUNTY

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14604, 1109

DUNS Number: 073699720

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 42000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Saving Grace Ministries of Rochester

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14621, 3868

DUNS Number: 964583061

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 18000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Person Center Housing Option

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14611, 2538

DUNS Number: 080008611

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 33500

CR-65 – ESG Persons Assisted

See ESG – SAGE Report attachment.

4d. Street Outreach

| Number of Persons in Households | Total |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| Adults | 0 |
| Children | 0 |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | 0 |
| Missing Information | 0 |
| Total | 0 |

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

| Number of Persons in Households | Total |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| Adults | 0 |
| Children | 0 |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | 0 |
| Missing Information | 0 |
| Total | 0 |

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

| | Total |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Male | 0 |
| Female | 0 |
| Transgender | 0 |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | 0 |
| Missing Information | 0 |
| Total | 0 |

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

| | Total |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Under 18 | 0 |
| 18-24 | 0 |
| 25 and over | 0 |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | 0 |
| Missing Information | 0 |
| Total | 0 |

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

| Number of Persons in Households | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Subpopulation | Total | Total Persons Served – Prevention | Total Persons Served – RRH | Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters |
| Veterans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Victims of Domestic Violence | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elderly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HIV/AIDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chronically Homeless | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Persons with Disabilities: | | | | |
| Severely Mentally Ill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chronic Substance Abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Disability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (Unduplicated if possible) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Number of New Units - Rehabbed | 0 |
| Number of New Units - Conversion | 0 |
| Total Number of bed-nights available | 70,873 |
| Total Number of bed-nights provided | 43,889 |
| Capacity Utilization | 61.93% |

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

| | Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year | | |
|---|---|----------------|---------------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Expenditures for Rental Assistance | 7,434 | 10,929 | 1,546 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance | 13,159 | 27,623 | 15,869 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services | 0 | 78,307 | 35,464 |
| Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal Homelessness Prevention | 20,593 | 116,859 | 52,879 |

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

| | Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year | | |
|---|---|----------------|----------------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Expenditures for Rental Assistance | 1,370 | 3,426 | 10,666 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance | 1,504 | 8,197 | 5,692 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services | 80,911 | 125,124 | 167,882 |
| Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing | 83,785 | 136,747 | 184,240 |

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

| | Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year | | |
|--------------------|---|---------|---------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Essential Services | 157,285 | 71,331 | 213,473 |
| Operations | 44,700 | 293,819 | 33,648 |
| Renovation | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Major Rehab | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conversion | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 201,985 | 365,150 | 247,121 |

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

| | Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year | | |
|-----------------|---|--------|--------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Street Outreach | 58,381 | 58,173 | 57,904 |
| HMIS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Administration | 50,550 | 51,370 | 51,524 |

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

| Total ESG Funds Expended | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 415,294 | 728,299 | 593,668 |

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

| | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Other Non-ESG HUD Funds | 255,317 | 30,675 | 1,452,925 |
| Other Federal Funds | 276,571 | 512,136 | 490,426 |
| State Government | 243,047 | 333,112 | 133,973 |
| Local Government | 420,637 | 133,973 | 44,000 |
| Private Funds | 124,391 | 636,762 | 176,714 |
| Other | 308,653 | 1,965,094 | 7,557 |
| Fees | 1,842 | 501,469 | 0 |
| Program Income | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Match Amount | 1,630,458 | 4,113,221 | 2,305,595 |

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

| Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2,045,752 | 4,841,520 | 2,899,263 |

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities



Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

Grantee: City of Rochester
**Subrecipients: Trillium Health and Catholic Charities
and Community Services, Inc.**

Data: 2020-21 Program Year

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 11/30/2023)

The CAPER report for HOPWA formula grantees provides annual information on program accomplishments that supports program evaluation and the ability to measure program beneficiary outcomes as related to: maintain housing stability; prevent homelessness; and improve access to care and support. This information is also covered under the Consolidated Plan Management Process (CPMP) report and includes Narrative Responses and Performance Charts required under the Consolidated Planning regulations. Reporting is required for all HOPWA formula grantees. The public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 41 hours per manual response, or less if an automated data collection and retrieval system is in use, along with 60 hours for record keeping, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. HUD's requirements for reports submitted by HOPWA formula grantees are supported by 42 U.S.C. § 12911 and HUD's regulations at 24 CFR § 574.520(a). Grantees are required to report on the activities undertaken only, thus there may be components of these reporting requirements that may not be applicable. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number. While confidentiality is not assured, HUD generally only releases this information as required or permitted by law.

Overview. The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides annual performance reporting on client outputs and outcomes that enables an assessment of grantee performance in achieving the housing stability outcome measure. The CAPER fulfills statutory and regulatory program reporting requirements and provides the grantee and HUD with the necessary information to assess the overall program performance and accomplishments against planned goals and objectives.

HOPWA formula grantees are required to submit a CAPER demonstrating coordination with other Consolidated Plan resources. HUD uses the CAPER data to obtain essential information on grant activities, project sponsors, housing sites, units and households, and beneficiaries (which includes racial and ethnic data on program participants). The Consolidated Plan Management Process tool (CPMP) provides an optional tool to integrate the reporting of HOPWA specific activities with other planning and reporting on Consolidated Plan activities.

Table of Contents

PART 1: Grantee Executive Summary

1. Grantee Information
2. Project Sponsor Information
5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment
 - a. Grantee and Community Overview
 - b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan
 - c. Barriers or Trends Overview

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

1. Sources of Leveraging
2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

PART 3: Accomplishment Data: Planned Goals and Actual Outputs

PART 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

1. Housing Stability: Permanent Housing and Related Facilities
2. Prevention of Homelessness: Short-Term Housing Payments
3. Access to Care and Support: Housing Subsidy Assistance with Supportive Services

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes

PART 6: Annual Report of Continued Use for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (Only)

PART 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

- A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility Based Units, Master Leased Units ONLY)
- B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Continued Use Periods. Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation of a building or structure are required to operate the building or structure for HOPWA-eligible beneficiaries for a ten (10) years period. If no further HOPWA funds are used to support the facility, in place of completing Section 7B of the CAPER, the grantee must submit an Annual Report of Continued Project Operation throughout the required use periods. This report is included in Part 6 in CAPER. The required use period is three (3) years if the rehabilitation is non-substantial.

Record Keeping. Names and other individual information must be kept confidential, as required by 24 CFR 574.440. However, HUD reserves the right to review the information used to complete this report for grants management oversight purposes, except for recording any names and other identifying information. **In the case that HUD must review client-level data, no client names or identifying information will be retained or recorded. Information is reported in aggregate to HUD without personal identification. Do not submit client or personal information in data systems to HUD.**

In connection with the development of the Department's standards for Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS), universal data elements are being collected for clients of HOPWA-funded homeless assistance projects. These project sponsor records would include: Name, Social Security Number, Date of Birth, Ethnicity and Race, Gender, Veteran Status, Disabling Conditions, Residence Prior to Program Entry, Zip Code of Last Permanent Address, Housing Status, Program Entry

Date, Program Exit Date, Personal Identification Number, and Household Identification Number. These are intended to match the elements under HMIS. The HOPWA program-level data elements include: Income and Sources, Non-Cash Benefits, HIV/AIDS Status, Services Provided, Housing Status or Destination at the end of the operating year, Physical Disability, Developmental Disability, Chronic Health Condition, Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Domestic Violence, Medical Assistance, and T-cell Count. Other HOPWA projects sponsors may also benefit from collecting these data elements. HMIS local data systems must maintain client confidentiality by using a closed system in which medical information and HIV status are only shared with providers that have a direct involvement in the client's case management, treatment and care, in line with the signed release of information from the client.

Operating Year. HOPWA formula grants are annually awarded for a three-year period of performance with three operating years. The information contained in this CAPER must represent a one-year period of HOPWA program operation that coincides with the grantee's program year; this is the operating year. More than one HOPWA formula grant awarded to the same grantee may be used during an operating year and the CAPER must capture all formula grant funding used during the operating year. Project sponsor accomplishment information must also coincide with the operating year this CAPER covers. Any change to the period of performance requires the approval of HUD by amendment, such as an extension for an additional operating year.

Final Assembly of Report. After the entire report is assembled, number each page sequentially.

Filing Requirements. Within 90 days of the completion of each program year, grantees must submit their completed CAPER to the CPD Director in the grantee's State or Local HUD Field Office, and to the HOPWA Program Office: at HOPWA@hud.gov. Electronic submission to HOPWA Program office is preferred; however, if electronic submission is not possible, hard copies can be mailed to: Office of HIV/AIDS Housing, Room 7248, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C., 20410.

Definitions

Adjustment for Duplication: Enables the calculation of unduplicated output totals by accounting for the total number of households or units that received more than one type of HOPWA assistance in a given service category such as HOPWA Subsidy Assistance or Supportive Services. For example, if a client household received both TBRA and STRMU during the operating year, report that household in the category of HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance in Part 3, Chart 1, Column [1b] in the following manner:

| HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance | | [1] Outputs: Number of Households |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. | Tenant-Based Rental Assistance | 1 |
| 2a. | Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units | |
| 2b. | Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies | |
| 3a. | Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year | |
| 3b. | Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year | |
| 4. | Short-term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance | 1 |
| 5. | Adjustment for duplication (subtract) | 1 |
| 6. | TOTAL Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1-4 minus Row 5) | 1 |

Administrative Costs: Costs for general management, oversight, coordination, evaluation, and reporting. By statute, grantee administrative costs are limited to 3% of total grant award, to be expended over the life of the grant. Project sponsor administrative costs are limited to 7% of the portion of the grant amount they receive.

Beneficiary(ies): All members of a household who received HOPWA assistance during the operating year including the one individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance as well as any other members of the household (with or without HIV) who benefitted from the assistance.

Chronically Homeless Person: An individual or family who : (i) is homeless and lives or resides individual or family who: (i) Is homeless and lives or resides in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter; (ii) has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 1 year or on at least 4 separate occasions in the last 3 years; and (iii) has an adult head of household (or a minor head of household if no adult is present in the household) with a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability (as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002)), post traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from a brain injury, or chronic physical illness or disability, including the co-occurrence of 2 or more of those conditions. Additionally, the statutory definition includes as chronically homeless a person who currently lives or resides in an institutional care facility, including a jail, substance abuse or mental health treatment facility, hospital or other similar facility, and has resided there for fewer than 90 days if such person met the other criteria for homeless prior to entering that facility. (See 42 U.S.C. 11360(2)) This does not include doubled-up or overcrowding situations.

Disabling Condition: Evidencing a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability, chronic physical illness, or disability, including the co-occurrence of two or more of these conditions. In addition, a disabling condition may limit an individual's ability to work or perform one or more activities of daily living. An HIV/AIDS diagnosis is considered a disabling condition.

Facility-Based Housing Assistance: All eligible HOPWA Housing expenditures for or associated with supporting facilities including community residences, SRO dwellings, short-term facilities, project-based rental units, master leased units, and other housing facilities approved by HUD.

Faith-Based Organization: Religious organizations of three types: (1) congregations; (2) national networks, which include national denominations, their social service arms (for example, Catholic Charities, Lutheran Social Services), and networks of related organizations (such as YMCA and YWCA); and (3) freestanding religious organizations, which are incorporated separately from congregations and national networks.

Grassroots Organization: An organization headquartered in the local community where it provides services; has a social services budget of \$300,000 or less annually, and six or fewer full-time equivalent employees. Local affiliates of national organizations are not considered "grassroots."

HOPWA Eligible Individual: The one (1) low-income person with HIV/AIDS who qualifies a household for HOPWA assistance. This person may be considered "Head of Household." When the CAPER asks for information on eligible individuals, report on this individual person only. Where there is more than one person with HIV/AIDS in the household, the additional PWH/A(s), would be considered a beneficiary(s).

HOPWA Housing Information Services: Services dedicated to helping persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families to identify, locate, and acquire housing. This may also include fair housing counseling for eligible persons who may encounter discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, familial status, or handicap/disability.

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Total: The unduplicated number of households receiving housing subsidies (TBRA, STRMU, Permanent

Housing Placement services and Master Leasing) and/or residing in units of facilities dedicated to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families and supported with HOPWA funds during the operating year.

Household: A single individual or a family composed of two or more persons for which household incomes are used to determine eligibility and for calculation of the resident rent payment. The term is used for collecting data on changes in income, changes in access to services, receipt of housing information services, and outcomes on achieving housing stability. Live-In Aides (see definition for Live-In Aide) and non-beneficiaries (e.g. a shared housing arrangement with a roommate) who resided in the unit are not reported on in the CAPER.

Housing Stability: The degree to which the HOPWA project assisted beneficiaries to remain in stable housing during the operating year. See *Part 5: Determining Housing Stability Outcomes* for definitions of stable and unstable housing situations.

In-kind Leveraged Resources: These are additional types of support provided to assist HOPWA beneficiaries such as volunteer services, materials, use of equipment and building space. The actual value of the support can be the contribution of professional services, based on customary rates for this specialized support, or actual costs contributed from other leveraged resources. In determining a rate for the contribution of volunteer time and services, use the criteria described in 2 CFR 200. The value of any donated material, equipment, building, or lease should be based on the fair market value at time of donation. Related documentation can be from recent bills of sales, advertised prices, appraisals, or other information for comparable property similarly situated.

Leveraged Funds: The amount of funds expended during the operating year from non-HOPWA federal, state, local, and private sources by grantees or sponsors in dedicating assistance to this client population. Leveraged funds or other assistance are used directly in or in support of HOPWA program delivery.

Live-In Aide: A person who resides with the HOPWA Eligible Individual and who meets the following criteria: (1) is essential to the care and well-being of the person; (2) is not obligated for the support of the person; and (3) would not be living in the unit except to provide the necessary supportive services. See *24 CFR 5.403 and the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide* for additional reference.

Master Leasing: Applies to a nonprofit or public agency that leases units of housing (scattered-sites or entire buildings) from a landlord, and subleases the units to homeless or low-income tenants. By assuming the tenancy burden, the agency facilitates housing of clients who may not be able to maintain a lease on their own due to poor credit, evictions, or lack of sufficient income.

Operating Costs: Applies to facility-based housing only, for facilities that are currently open. Operating costs can include day-to-day housing function and operation costs like utilities, maintenance, equipment, insurance, security, furnishings, supplies and salary for staff costs directly related to the housing project but not staff costs for delivering services.

Outcome: The degree to which the HOPWA assisted household has been enabled to establish or maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, (per the regulations at 24 CFR 574.310(b)) and to reduce the risks of homelessness, and improve access to HIV treatment and other health care and support.

Output: The number of units of housing or households that receive HOPWA assistance during the operating year.

Permanent Housing Placement: A supportive housing service that helps establish the household in the housing unit, including but not limited to reasonable costs for security deposits not to exceed two months of rent costs.

Program Income: Gross income directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. See grant administration

requirements on program income at 2 CFR 200.307.

Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA): A rental subsidy program that is tied to specific facilities or units owned or controlled by a project sponsor. Assistance is tied directly to the properties and is not portable or transferable.

Project Sponsor Organizations: Per HOPWA regulations at 24 CFR 574.3, any nonprofit organization or governmental housing agency that receives funds under a contract with the grantee to provide eligible housing and other support services or administrative services as defined in 24 CFR 574.300. Project Sponsor organizations are required to provide performance data on households served and funds expended.

SAM: All organizations applying for a Federal award must have a valid registration active at sam.gov. SAM (System for Award Management) registration includes maintaining current information and providing a valid DUNS number.

Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (STRMU) Assistance: A time-limited, housing subsidy assistance designed to prevent homelessness and increase housing stability. Grantees may provide assistance for up to 21 weeks in any 52-week period. The amount of assistance varies per client depending on funds available, tenant need and program guidelines.

Stewardship Units: Units developed with HOPWA, where HOPWA funds were used for acquisition, new construction and rehabilitation that no longer receive operating subsidies from HOPWA. Report information for the units is subject to the three-year use agreement if rehabilitation is non-substantial and to the ten-year use agreement if rehabilitation is substantial.

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA): TBRA is a rental subsidy program similar to the Housing Choice Voucher program that grantees can provide to help low-income households access affordable housing. The TBRA voucher is not tied to a specific unit, so tenants may move to a different unit without losing their assistance, subject to individual program rules. The subsidy amount is determined in part based on household income and rental costs associated with the tenant's lease.

Transgender: Transgender is defined as a person who identifies with, or presents as, a gender that is different from the person's gender assigned at birth.

Veteran: A veteran is someone who has served on active duty in the Armed Forces of the United States. This does not include inactive military reserves or the National Guard unless the person was called up to active duty.

Housing Opportunities for Person With AIDS (HOPWA) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outputs and Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date: 11/30/2023)

Part 1: Grantee Executive Summary

As applicable, complete the charts below to provide more detailed information about the agencies and organizations responsible for the administration and implementation of the HOPWA program. Chart 1 requests general Grantee Information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A. Do not leave any section blank.

1. Grantee Information

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------|
| HUD Grant Number NYH20F003 | | Operating Year for this report From (mm/dd/yy) 07/01/2020 To (mm/dd/yy) 06/30/2021 | | |
| Grantee Name City of Rochester, NY | | | | |
| Business Address | | 30 Church Street | | |
| City, County, State, Zip | | Rochester | Monroe | NY 14614 |
| Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN) | | 16-6002551 | | |
| DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs): | | 002465805 | System for Award Management (SAM):: Is the grantee's SAM status currently active? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, provide SAM Number: | |
| Congressional District of Grantee's Business Address | | 25 | | |
| *Congressional District of Primary Service Area(s) | | 25 | | |
| *City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s) | | Cities: Rochester | | Counties: Monroe |
| Organization's Website Address Cityofrochester.gov | | Is there a waiting list(s) for HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Services in the Grantee Service Area? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section what services maintain a waiting list and how this list is administered. | | |

* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee.

2. Project Sponsor Information

Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3. Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------|
| Project Sponsor Agency Name Catholic Charities Community Services | | Parent Company Name, if applicable The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rochester | | |
| Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency | Marilyn Palmieri – Director of Supportive Services | | | |
| Email Address | Marilyn.palmieri@dor.org | | | |
| Business Address | 1099 Jay Street , Building J | | | |
| City, County, State, Zip, | Rochester, Monroe, NY 14611 | | | |
| Phone Number (with area code) | (585) | 339 | 9800 | X250 |
| Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN) | 35-231041 | | Fax Number (with area code) 585-287-5590 | |
| DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs): | 603722661 | | | |
| Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address | 25 | | | |
| Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s) | 25 | | | |
| City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s) | Cities: Rochester, Greece, Irondequoit, Gates, Webster, Henrietta, East Rochester, Brighton, Pittsford, Fairport, Penfield Counties: Monroe | | | |
| Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year | \$371,885 | | | |
| Organization's Website Address | cccsrochester.org | | | |
| Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Please check if yes and a faith-based organization. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please check if yes and a grassroots organization. <input type="checkbox"/> | | Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered. | | |
| Project Sponsor Agency Name | | Parent Company Name, if applicable | | |
| Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency | | | | |
| Email Address | | | | |
| Business Address | | | | |
| City, County, State, Zip, | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|----------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | |
| Phone Number (with area code) | | | | |
| Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN) | | | Fax Number (with area code) | |
| DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNS): | | | | |
| Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address | | | | |
| Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s) | | | | |
| City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s) | Cities: | | Counties: | |
| Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year | | | | |
| Organization's Website Address | | | | |
| Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> | | Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered. | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Project Sponsor Agency Name Trillium Health | | Parent Company Name, if applicable | | |
| Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency | Javier Elias , Supervisor , Housing Services | | | |
| Email Address | jelias@trilliumhealth.org | | | |
| Business Address | 259 Monroe Ave | | | |
| City, County, State, Zip, | Rochester, Monroe, NY 14607 | | | |
| Phone Number (with area code) | 585-210-4187 | | | |
| Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN) | | | Fax Number (with area co | |
| DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNS): | | | | |
| Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address | | | | |
| Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s) | | | | |
| City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s) | Cities: Rochester | | Counties: Monroe, Wayne, Livingston, Ontario | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year | | |
| Organization's Website Address | | |
| WWW.trilliumhealth.org | | |
| Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> | Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered. | |

5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment

a. Grantee and Community Overview

Provide a one to three page narrative summarizing major achievements and highlights that were proposed and completed during the program year. Include a brief description of the grant organization, area of service, the name(s) of the program contact(s), and an overview of the range/type of housing activities provided. This overview may be used for public information, including posting on HUD's website. *Note: Text fields are expandable.*

Trillium Health: Trillium Health is a community health organization in the City of Rochester, that specializes in serving underserved persons with medical(HIV care, general medicine), mental health, caremanagement and housing services. We cover Monroe, Ontario, Wayne, Livingston, Steuben , Seneca, Yates, and Schuyler counties. The program contact is Javier Elias, Supervisor , Housing Services. Trillium Health is contracted to assist HIV+ individuals and families with ongoing rental assistance(LTBRA), emergency assistance to prevent homelessness(STRMU) and assistance with relocation costs like first month's rent , security deposits and utility deposits(PHP).

Catholic Charities: The HOPWA year 2020-2021 became the year to help our consumers through COVID 19 and support them through the vaccination process for COVID 19. From July 2020 to June 2021 the main focus for consumers was to continue their follow up with their HIV+ providers, maintain their housing, and remain COVID 19 negative. Some consumers were too afraid of COVID 19 to go to the clinic for their care and met with providers virtually. Hospitals, clinics, Emergency Departments, and Laboratories had to sanitize and be sure patients and staff all wore masks. Housing providers worked remotely at home. Housing services were still provided even though home visits were not being done with consumers due to COVID 19. COVID 19 supplies were given out to consumers who needed them such as masks, cleaning supplies, hand sanitizer, bus passes, and for some consumers even food. Many consumers who were homebound saw their mental illness symptoms increase. Housing staff offered support to consumers during COVID 19 on the phone and email. The contacts were very crucial to the consumers. When vaccinations for COVID 19 were available most consumers got vaccinated. Many of the long term housing consumers showed great resilient during the pandemic and have maintained their housing.

Here is a description of our organization:

Catholic Charities Community Services, Inc., (CCCS) 1099 Jay Street, Building J, Rochester, NY 14611. CCCS's regional office is at: One Keuka Business Park, Penn Yan, NY 14527. CCCS provides multiple services to enhance and strengthen the independence, inclusion, and individuality of persons with disabilities and those who are living with significant health challenges in the following counties: Monroe, Wayne, Cayuga, Seneca, Ontario, Livingston, Yates, Schuyler, Tompkins, Steuben, Chemung, and Tioga. CCCS Executive Director is Ms. Lori Van Auken. Program contact for CCCS HOPWA grant is Supportive Services Director Ms. Marilyn Palmieri. Specific HOPWA housing activities at CCCS include: Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Short Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU) and Permanent Housing Placement (PHP).

Outcomes in the 2020-2021 contract year are: Of the total HOPWA grant funds allotted for 2020-2021 (\$371,885), 62% of the entire grant is used to pay TBRA rents. TBRA was used to maintain long term consumers in stable housing that otherwise would be at a high risk of homelessness without a rental subsidy. CCCS projected to serve 31 households with TBRA in 2020-21. Actual number served 31. In looking at other resources for long term rent subsidy in the community Trillium Health is taking applications for consumers to be placed on their waiting list; Rochester Housing Authority accepted new applications for their project-based housing and Section 8 Wait Lists are at least 3 years long.

Persons Living With HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) who have undetectable viral loads can live as long as any person who is HIV-. The treatments for HIV+ have gotten so much better that many consumers only

take 1 pill a day for their HIV+. The advancement in treatments for Hepatitis C have also prolonged consumers lives. Consumers who are enrolled in rent subsidy programs are staying in the program for as long as they are eligible. Staff continue to discuss going back to work with consumers. Consumers often don't return to work due to mental illnesses, other chronic illnesses, childcare issues, transportation issues, no high school equivalency, and multiple doctor's appointments. Many consumers haven't worked in 20 years or stopped working when they were diagnosed with HIV+. Many consumers who are age 50-70 do not want to go back to work. Recently COVID 19 has put many consumers who had been working out of work and struggling to get basic needs met. Many consumers have never had gainful employment and are challenged to start the employment process from the beginning. Most consumers living with HIV+ have Social Security benefits that they are not willing to risk by returning to work. Waiting lists persist due to people with HIV+ living longer and healthier lives. The average age of the participants in CCCS HOPWA TBRA Program is 54 years old. Waiting list for long term rent subsidies at CCCS: 5 individuals. This number is down from 2020 due to the continued support of the New York State AIDS Institute HomeSOURCE Program at CCCS which offers at least 35 long term rent subsidy slots to HIV+ persons waiting who are high Medicaid/Emergency room utilizers. The HomeSOURCE Program offers Housing and Retention Services, monthly follow up with clients, referrals to employment and career services, etc. It has already addressed the needs of many participants who have been on the HOPWA waiting list for three or more years.

b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Provide a narrative addressing each of the following four items:

1. **Outputs Reported.** Describe significant accomplishments or challenges in achieving the number of housing units supported and the number households assisted with HOPWA funds during this operating year compared to plans for this assistance, as approved in the Consolidated Plan/Action Plan. Describe how HOPWA funds were distributed during your operating year among different categories of housing and geographic areas to address needs throughout the grant service area, consistent with approved plans.

TH: The majority of HOPWA funds (95%+) were utilized in monroe county (specifically within the city of Rochester) The contract year began with 37 households receiving LTBRA. We ended the contract year with 54 households receiving this type of assistance. Because of COVID, it was difficult to intake new households and conduct annual recertifications. We overcame this , by utilizing existing technology (Zoom calls, mail, email, phone calls) to ensure that we Made contact with our program participants. The amount of households that sought STRMU decreased due to the factr that there were multiple eviction moratoruiums in effect (state and federal) . Households that did access funds , were already facing housing instability prior to the beginning of the pandemic. HOPWA STRMU funds helped eligible households the opportunity to remain housed , and prevent infection.

Utilization of HOPWA funds was down primarily because of COVID. The biggest impact was a reduced number of applications requesting 1st month's rent and security deposits

CCCS: During the 2020-2021 HOPWA contract period:

- Projected number of participants served with TBRA in 2020-2021 is 31. Actual served-31.
- Two TBRA cases were closed during 2020-2021. One new case in TBRA was opened in 2020-2021.
- Of the two closures, one consumer moved to a supportive nursing facility as medical needs did

not allow for independent housing, and one consumer closed due to non-compliance with program requirements.

- One of two closures were for stable housing reasons. Longevity has been a key for the TBRA Program. Consumers who are living with HIV+ are remaining healthier longer with better medications and better care.
- During 2020-2021 CCCS projected to serve 13 households with STRMU funds and actually served 1. This is due to receiving COVID CARES funds.
- CCCS projected to serve 15 households with PH Placement funds and actually served 9. This is due to receiving COVID CARES funds.
- Approximately 6 participants utilized 20 bus passes funded by this grant throughout the year.

2. Outcomes Assessed. Assess your program's success in enabling HOPWA beneficiaries to establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, and improve access to care. Compare current year results to baseline results for clients. Describe how program activities/projects contributed to meeting stated goals. If program did not achieve expected targets, please describe how your program plans to address challenges in program implementation and the steps currently being taken to achieve goals in next operating year. If your program exceeded program targets, please describe strategies the program utilized and how those contributed to program successes.

TH: During the contract year, because of COVID, we maintained contact with our participants via phone, or mail. We did not do face to face contact. Our HOPWA staff maintained monthly contact with all HOPWA TBRA participants. This allowed us to keep the lines of communication open and address any concerns they had about their housing, food, medical and emotional needs. We ended the contract year at capacity. Our current wait list is at 55 households.

CCCS: CCCS 2020-2021 HOPWA grant annually has projected outcome measures that are targets for program services met. Projections are based on current expenditures. The projected number of households to be served and the actual numbers for 2020-2021 are below.

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| • TBRA-Projected-31 | Actual-31 |
| • STRMU-Projected-13 | Actual-1 |
| • PH Placement-Projected-19 | Actual-9 |
| • Bus Passes-Projected-20 | Actual-20 |

CCCS spends at least 2/3 of the annual HOPWA contract amount on TBRA. TBRA rent subsidies are a program priority. Due to TBRA: Participants housing is more appropriate and more stable, their income is more stable, and medical appointment adherence is stable. HOPWA staff are always aware of other programs and resources participants can be referred to if needed such as Medical Transportation, Health Homes Care Management, Employment Services, Engagement Services, Mental Health Services, etc.

3. Coordination. Report on program coordination with other mainstream housing and supportive services resources, including the use of committed leveraging from other public and private sources that helped to address needs for eligible persons identified in the Consolidated Plan/Strategic Plan.

TH: Because we serve a very specific target population (HIV+), we are generally the payer of last resort. We do welcome and encourage referrals from outside agencies like Stong Hospital ID clinic, Anthony Jordan HC, various health homes agencies, and local homeless services providers.

CCCS: CCCS HOPWA Program continues collaborations/memorandums of understandings with agencies that serve PLWHA's and serve the homeless. Programs include:

- Monroe County Continuum of Care,
- Monroe County Emergency Housing,
- Homeless Services Network,
- Housing Council,
- Monroe County TANF,
- Rochester Area Task Force on AIDS,
- Trillium Health,
- Providence Housing Corp.
- Unity Health Infectious Disease Clinic,
- Rochester Housing Authority,
- University of Rochester Medical Center Infectious Disease Clinic,
- Anthony Jordan Health Centers,
- Rochester Regional Health,

Internally there are several programs that HOPWA collaborates with to link participants into care such as:

Care Management,
Employment,
Supportive Case Management,
Medical Transportation,
NYS AIDS Institute HomeSOURCE,
Behavioral Health,

Leveraged funds are listed on page 14.

4. Technical Assistance. Describe any program technical assistance needs and how they would benefit program beneficiaries.

TH: N/A

CCCS: CCCS can access Technical Support through our state regional HUD office in Buffalo, NY and from our grantee: The City of Rochester. CCCS can access specific community wide data from Rochester Area Task Force on AIDS and the Monroe County Department of Health.

c. Barriers and Trends Overview

Provide a narrative addressing items 1 through 3. Explain how barriers and trends affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed in the previous section.

1. Describe any barriers (including regulatory and non-regulatory) encountered in the administration or implementation of the HOPWA program, how they affected your program's ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed, and,

actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement. Provide an explanation for each barrier selected.

TH: The main barrier was COVID. We were unable to meet with LTBRA or STRMU applicants face to face. In addition, we were not able to conduct annual in home inspections . This will continue until we can safely return to the community.

CCCS:
HOPWA/HUD Regulations-The COVID 19 pandemic has presented new challenges for the federal government. The current HOPWA contracts allowed for adding new consumers who were struggling and losing their housing through HOPWA COVID.
Supportive Services-The HOPWA Program itself tends to be a supportive service to CCCS’s Care Coordination Department. Care Managers can assist HOPWA staff in triaging housing problem areas with consumers. Programs work together to pool resources and help consumers maintain their housing and their health outcomes. The barrier’s are more basic like child care, transportation, access to grocery stores, medical appointments, job interviews, and appointments at social services.
Housing Affordability/Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents-Fair Market Rents (FMR) are adjusted by HUD annually as a guide for consumers who are looking for new apartments. Rents tend to go up as FMR’s go up. Consumers often times have to sacrifice the area they want to live in in order to afford an apartment.
Multiple Diagnosis’-As staff work with consumers on a daily basis they discover that an HIV+ diagnosis for their consumer is the gateway to getting their consumer into services. Once enrolled, multiple issues come to the forefront such as chronic mental illness, chronic homelessness, drug and alcohol use, diabetes, renal failure, developmental disabilities, asthma, etc. Many of these chronic illnesses affect the consumer’s quality of health and housing.
Credit history, Housing availability, Rent history, and Criminal history-Consumers find that adequate housing becomes unavailable if they have struggled with the above four barriers. Housing retention is a key to HOPWA TBRA success. There are barriers to getting into an adequate apartment and there are barriers to retaining an apartment such as evictions, apartment foreclosure, change of ownership, apartment being unfit to live in, and not having consistent income to name a few.

- Describe any trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, and provide any other information important to the future provision of services to this population.

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HOPWA/HUD Regulations | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Availability | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination/Confidentiality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Diagnoses | <input type="checkbox"/> Eligibility | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Assistance or Training |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supportive Services | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit History | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rental History | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Criminal Justice History |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Affordability | <input type="checkbox"/> Geography/Rural Access | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain further | |

Recent statistics from the National Centers for Disease Control and NYS Dept. of Health:
 1. DOH reports new diagnosis’ of HIV+ decreased 45%.

2. In 2019; 107,649 New Yorkers are living with HIV+. Of these 75% were at least 40 yo and 56% were 50 or older.
3. In 2019 in NYS 350 HIV+ mothers gave birth to 360 infants. Of those: one infant was HIV+, 257 infants were uninfected, 81 were presumed uninfected, and 20 were indeterminate.
4. NYS tracking HIV+ from Mother to Child Transfer are reporting it is almost eliminated.
5. 2019 NYS new infections of HIV+ Risk-55% MSM, 21% Heterosexual, 19% Unknown.
6. In the US in 2019 1,189,700.00 are living with HIV+/AIDS.
7. In 2019 in the US ages 13-24 new infections-AFA-51%, Latino-30%, W-14%.

Important factors:

- Undetectable viral loads prevent transmission to another person.
- Testing and Treatment should be easily accessible to all who seek it.
- Testing for HIV as a routine process for annual physicals.
- Address sexual health and awareness. Meet patients where they are at.
- Increase statewide access to Pre Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP).

3. Identify any evaluations, studies, or other assessments of the HOPWA program that are available to the public.

Studies and data found at: CDC.gov, NYSDOH.gov, HUD.gov, Field office in Buffalo, NY.

End of PART 1

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income**1. Sources of Leveraging**

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars. In Column [1], identify the type of leveraging. Some common sources of leveraged funds have been provided as a reference point. You may add Rows as necessary to report all sources of leveraged funds. Include Resident Rent payments paid by clients directly to private landlords. Do NOT include rents paid directly to a HOPWA program as this will be reported in the next section. In Column [2] report the amount of leveraged funds expended during the operating year. Use Column [3] to provide some detail about the type of leveraged contribution (e.g., case management services or clothing donations). In Column [4], check the appropriate box to indicate whether the leveraged contribution was a housing subsidy assistance or another form of support.

Note: Be sure to report on the number of households supported with these leveraged funds in Part 3, Chart 1, Column d.

A. Source of Leveraging Chart

| [1] Source of Leveraging | [2] Amount of Leveraged Funds | [3] Type of Contribution | [4] Housing Subsidy Assistance or Other Support |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Public Funding | | | |
| Ryan White-Housing Assistance | \$321,383 | NYS AIDS Institute Grant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Ryan White-Other | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Housing Choice Voucher Program | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Low Income Housing Tax Credit | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| HOME | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Continuum of Care | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Emergency Solutions Grant | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Other Public: | \$396,667 | NYS AIDS Institute Grant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Other Public: | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Other Public: | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Other Public: | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Other Public: | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Private Funding | | | |
| Grants | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| In-kind Resources | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Other Private: | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Other Private: | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Other Funding | | | |
| Grantee/Project Sponsor (Agency) Cash | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance |

| | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support |
| Resident Rent Payments by Client to Private Landlord | | | |
| TOTAL (Sum of all Rows) | \$718,050 | | |

Excel tab #1

2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

In Section 2, Chart A, report the total amount of program income and resident rent payments directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. Include resident rent payments collected or paid directly to the HOPWA program. Do NOT include payments made directly from a client household to a private landlord.

Note: Please see report directions section for definition of program income. (Additional information on program income is available in the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide).

A. Total Amount Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Collected During the Operating Year

| Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Collected | | Total Amount of Program Income (for this operating year) |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Program income (e.g. repayments) | 0 |
| 2. | Resident Rent Payments made directly to HOPWA Program | 0 |
| 3. | Total Program Income and Resident Rent Payments (Sum of Rows 1 and 2) | 0 |

B. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended To Assist HOPWA Households

In Chart B, report on the total program income and resident rent payments (as reported above in Chart A) expended during the operating year. Use Row 1 to report Program Income and Resident Rent Payments expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance Programs (i.e., TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Master Leased Units, and Facility-Based Housing). Use Row 2 to report on the Program Income and Resident Rent Payment expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct Housing Costs.

| Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on HOPWA programs | | Total Amount of Program Income Expended (for this operating year) |
|---|---|--|
| 1. | Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance costs | 0 |
| 2. | Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct housing costs | 0 |
| 3. | Total Program Income Expended (Sum of Rows 1 and 2) | 0 |

End of PART 2

Excel tab #2

PART 3: Accomplishment Data Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.

1. HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

| | HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual | [1] Output: Households | | | | [2] Output: Funding | |
|------|---|---------------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | HOPWA Assistance | | Leveraged Households | | HOPWA Funds | |
| | | a. | b. | c. | d. | e. | f. |
| | | Goal | Actual | Goal | Actual | HOPWA Budget | HOPWA Actual |
| | HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance | [1] Output: Households | | | | [2] Output: Funding | |
| 1. | Tenant-Based Rental Assistance | 86 | 86 | | | | \$388,955.94 |
| 2a. | Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served) | 0 | 0 | | | | \$0 |
| 2b. | Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served) (Households Served) | 0 | 0 | | | | \$ |
| 3a. | Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served) | 0 | 0 | | | | \$0 |
| 3b. | Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served) | 0 | 0 | | | | \$0 |
| 4. | Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance | 38 | 24 | | | | \$33,201.58 |
| 5. | Permanent Housing Placement Services | 44 | 30 | | | | \$37,696.84 |
| 6. | Adjustments for duplication (subtract) | | | | | | |
| 7. | Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (Columns a – d equal the sum of Rows 1-5 minus Row 6; Columns e and f equal the sum of Rows 1-5) | 168 | 140 | | | | \$459,854.36 |
| | Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing) | [1] Output: Housing Units | | | | [2] Output: Funding | |
| 8. | Facility-based units; Capital Development Projects not yet opened (Housing Units) | | | | | | |
| 9. | Stewardship Units subject to 3- or 10- year use agreements | | | | | | |
| 10. | Total Housing Developed (Sum of Rows 8 & 9) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Supportive Services | [1] Output: Households | | | | [2] Output: Funding | |
| 11a. | Supportive Services provided by project sponsors that also delivered <u>HOPWA</u> housing subsidy assistance | | | | | | |
| 11b. | Supportive Services provided by project sponsors that only provided supportive services. | | 6 | | | | \$1,120.00 |
| 12. | Adjustment for duplication (subtract) | | | | | | |
| 13. | Total Supportive Services (Columns a – d equals the sum of Rows 11 a & b minus Row 12; Columns e and f equal the sum of Rows 11a & 11b) | | 6 | | | | \$1,120.00 |
| | Housing Information Services | [1] Output: Households | | | | [2] Output: Funding | |
| 14. | Housing Information Services | | | | | | |
| 15. | Total Housing Information Services | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 |

| Grant Administration and Other Activities | | [1] Output: Households | | | | [2] Output: Funding | |
|---|---|------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| 16. | Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resources | | | | | | |
| 17. | Technical Assistance (if approved in grant agreement) | | | | | | |
| 18. | Grantee Administration (maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant) | | | | | | \$28,753.00 |
| 19. | Project Sponsor Administration (maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded) | | | | | | \$ 28,364.16 |
| 20. | Total Grant Administration and Other Activities (Sum of Rows 16 – 19) | | | | | \$ | \$57,117.16 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Expended | | | | | | [2] Outputs: HOPWA Funds Expended | |
| | | | | | | Budget | Actual |
| 21. | Total Expenditures for operating year (Sum of Rows 7, 10, 13, 15, and 20) | | | | | | \$518,091.52 |

Excel tab #3

2. Listing of Supportive Services

Report on the households served and use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. Do NOT report on supportive services leveraged with non-HOPWA funds.

Data check: Total unduplicated households and expenditures reported in Row 17 equal totals reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 13.

| Supportive Services | | [1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u> | [2] Output: Amount of HOPWA Funds Expended |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| 1. | Adult day care and personal assistance | | |
| 2. | Alcohol and drug abuse services | | |
| 3. | Case management | | |
| 4. | Child care and other child services | | |
| 5. | Education | | |
| 6. | Employment assistance and training | | |
| 7. | Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR §574.310 | | |
| 8. | Legal services | | |
| 9. | Life skills management (outside of case management) | | |
| 10. | Meals/nutritional services | | |
| 11. | Mental health services | | |
| 12. | Outreach | | |
| 13. | Transportation | 6 | \$1,120.00 |
| 14. | Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify: | | |
| 15. | Sub-Total Households receiving Supportive Services (Sum of Rows 1-14) | 6 | |
| 16. | Adjustment for Duplication (subtract) | | |
| 17. | TOTAL Unduplicated Households receiving Supportive Services (Column [1] equals Row 15 minus Row 16; Column [2] equals sum of Rows 1-14) | 6 | \$1,120.00 |

Excel tab #4

3. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU) Summary

In Row a, enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended on Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) Assistance. In Row b, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with mortgage costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row c, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both mortgage and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row d, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with rental costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row e, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with utility costs only (not including rent or mortgage costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In row g, report the amount of STRMU funds expended to support direct program costs such as program operation staff.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Row a, column [1] and the total amount of HOPWA funds reported as expended in Row a, column [2] equals the household and expenditure total reported for STRMU in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Columns b and f, respectively.

Data Check: The total number of households reported in Column [1], Rows b, c, d, e, and f equal the total number of STRMU households reported in Column [1], Row a. The total amount reported as expended in Column [2], Rows b, c, d, e, f, and g, equal the total amount of STRMU expenditures reported in Column [2], Row a.

| Housing Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU) | | [1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u> Served | [2] Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended on STRMU during Operating Year |
|---|--|---|--|
| a. | Total Short-term mortgage, rent and/or utility (STRMU) assistance | 24 | \$33,201.58 |
| b. | Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage costs ONLY. | 1 | \$1,489.00 |
| c. | Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage and utility costs. | 0 | |
| d. | Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental costs ONLY. | 21 | \$27,599.98 |
| e. | Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental and utility costs. | 1 | \$1,384.15 |
| f. | Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with utility costs ONLY. | 1 | \$1,541.15 |
| g. | Direct program delivery costs (e.g., program operations staff time) | | |

End of PART 3

Excel tab #5**Part 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes**

In Column [1], report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, by type.

In Column [2], enter the number of households that continued to access each type of housing subsidy assistance into next operating year. In Column [3], report the housing status of all households that exited the program.

Data Check: The sum of Columns [2] (Number of Households Continuing) and [3] (Exited Households) equals the total reported in Column [1].

Note: Refer to the housing stability codes that appear in Part 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes.

Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)
A. Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance

| | [1] Output: Total Number of Households Served | [2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year | [3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting | | [4] HOPWA Client Outcomes |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Tenant-Based Rental Assistance | 86 | 81 | 1 Emergency Shelter/Streets | 1 | Unstable Arrangements |
| | | | 2 Temporary Housing | | Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness |
| | | | 3 Private Housing | 2 | Stable/Permanent Housing (PH) |
| | | | 4 Other HOPWA | | |
| | | | 5 Other Subsidy | | |
| | | | 6 Institution | | |
| | | | 7 Jail/Prison | | Unstable Arrangements |
| | | | 8 Disconnected/Unknown | | |
| | | | 9 Death | 2 | Life Event |
| Permanent Supportive Housing Facilities/ Units | 0 | 0 | 1 Emergency Shelter/Streets | | Unstable Arrangements |
| | | | 2 Temporary Housing | | Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness |
| | | | 3 Private Housing | | Stable/Permanent Housing (PH) |
| | | | 4 Other HOPWA | | |
| | | | 5 Other Subsidy | | |
| | | | 6 Institution | | |
| | | | 7 Jail/Prison | | Unstable Arrangements |
| | | | 8 Disconnected/Unknown | | |
| | | | 9 Death | | Life Event |

B. Transitional Housing Assistance

| | [1] Output: Total Number of Households Served | [2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year | [3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting | | [4] HOPWA Client Outcomes |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Transitional/ Short-Term Housing Facilities/ Units | 0 | 0 | 1 Emergency Shelter/Streets | | Unstable Arrangements |
| | | | 2 Temporary Housing | | Temporarily Stable with Reduced Risk of Homelessness |
| | | | 3 Private Housing | | Stable/Permanent Housing (PH) |
| | | | 4 Other HOPWA | | |
| | | | 5 Other Subsidy | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | | 6 Institution | | |
| | | | 7 Jail/Prison | | Unstable Arrangements |
| | | | 8 Disconnected/unknown | | |
| | | | 9 Death | | Life Event |
| B1: Total number of households receiving transitional/short-term housing assistance whose tenure exceeded 24 months | | | 0 | | |

Excel tab #6

Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Subsidy Assistance)

Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column [1].

In Column [2], identify the outcomes of the households reported in Column [1] either at the time that they were known to have left the STRMU program or through the project sponsor's best assessment for stability at the end of the operating year.

Information in Column [3] provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required.

At the bottom of the chart:

- In Row 1a, report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the prior operating year.
- In Row 1b, report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the two prior operating years.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Column [1] equals the total reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Column b.

Data Check: The sum of Column [2] should equal the number of households reported in Column [1].

Assessment of Households that Received STRMU Assistance

| [1] Output: Total number of households | [2] Assessment of Housing Status | | [3] HOPWA Client Outcomes |
|--|--|----|---|
| | Maintain Private Housing <u>without</u> subsidy (e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support) | 23 | Stable/Permanent Housing (PH) |
| | Other Private Housing without subsidy (e.g. client switched housing units and is now stable, not likely to seek additional support) | 1 | |
| | Other HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance | | |
| | Other Housing Subsidy (PH) | 1 | |
| | Institution (e.g. residential and long-term care) | | |
| | Likely that additional STRMU is needed to maintain current housing arrangements | | Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness |
| | Transitional Facilities/Short-term (e.g. temporary or transitional arrangement) | | |
| | Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangement (e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days) | | |
| | Emergency Shelter/street | | Unstable Arrangements |
| | Jail/Prison | | |
| | Disconnected | | |
| | Death | | Life Event |

| | |
|--|---|
| 1a. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the prior operating year (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in two consecutive operating years). | 5 |
| 1b. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the two prior operating years (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in three consecutive operating years). | 2 |

Excel Tab #7

Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support

1a. Total Number of Households

Line [1]: For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based, PHP and Master Leasing) and HOPWA funded case management services. Use Row c to adjust for duplication among the service categories and Row d to provide an unduplicated household total.

Line [2]: For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA funded case management services.

Note: These numbers will help you to determine which clients to report Access to Care and Support Outcomes for and will be used by HUD as a basis for analyzing the percentage of households who demonstrated or maintained connections to care and support as identified in Chart 1b below.

| Total Number of Households | |
|---|------------|
| 1. For Project Sponsors that provided HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded services: | |
| a. Housing Subsidy Assistance (duplicated)-TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility-Based Housing, and Master Leasing | 140 |
| b. Case Management | 0 |
| c. Adjustment for duplication (subtraction) | 3 |
| d. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows a and b minus Row c) | 137 |
| 2. For Project Sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded service: | |
| a. HOPWA Case Management | 0 |
| b. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors without Housing Subsidy Assistance | 0 |

1b. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a, Row 1d above, report the number of households that demonstrated access or maintained connections to care and support within the operating year.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a, Row 2b, report the number of households that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the operating year.

Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts below.

| Categories of Services Accessed | [1] For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following: | [2] For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following: | Outcome Indicator |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| 1. Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on-going housing | 137 | | Support for Stable Housing |
| 2. Had contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan (may include leveraged services such as Ryan White Medical Case Management) | 93 | | Access to Support |
| 3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan | 135 | | Access to Health Care |
| 4. Accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance | 137 | | Access to Health Care |
| 5. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income | 136 | | Sources of Income |

Chart 1b, Line 4: Sources of Medical Insurance and Assistance include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or use local program name | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Veterans Affairs Medical Services AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) State Children's Health Insurance Program | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental Assistance |
|--|---|--|

- MEDICARE Health Insurance Program, or (SCHIP), or use local program name
use local program name

Chart 1b, Row 5: Sources of Income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| • Earned Income | • Child Support | • General Assistance (GA), or use local program name |
| • Veteran's Pension | • Social Security Disability Income (SSDI) | • Private Disability Insurance |
| • Unemployment Insurance | • Alimony or other Spousal Support | • Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) |
| • Pension from Former Job | • Veteran's Disability Payment | • Other Income Sources |
| • Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | • Retirement Income from Social Security | |
| | • Worker's Compensation | |

1c. Households that Obtained Employment

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a, Row 1d above, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a, Row 2b, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

***Note:** This includes jobs created by this project sponsor or obtained outside this agency.*

***Note:** Do not include jobs that resulted from leveraged job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.*

| Categories of Services Accessed | [1 For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following: | [2] For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following: |
|--|---|---|
| Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job | 3 | |

End of PART 4

Excel Tab #8:**PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes (optional)**

1. This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4 and to help Grantees determine overall program performance. Completion of this worksheet is optional.

| Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance | Stable Housing (# of households remaining in program plus 3+4+5+6) | Temporary Housing (2) | Unstable Arrangements (1+7+8) | Life Event (9) |
|---|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) | 54 | | | 1 |
| Permanent Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units | | | | |
| Transitional/Short-Term Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units | | | | |
| Total Permanent HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance | 54 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Reduced Risk of Homelessness: Short-Term Assistance | Stable/Permanent Housing | Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness | Unstable Arrangements | Life Events |
| Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU) | 23 | | | |
| Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance | 23 | | | |

Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes**Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation**

3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self-sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed.

4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance.

5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).

6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

Unstable Arrangements

1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).

7 = Jail /prison.

8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

Tenant-based Rental Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Other Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Tenure Assessment. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

STRMU Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution. Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements, with additional STRMU assistance; Transitional Facilities/Short-term; and Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangements. Unstable Situation is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

End of PART 5

PART 6: Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY)

The Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used, they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

1. General information

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| HUD Grant Number(s) | Operating Year for this report <i>From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Final Yr <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 1; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 2; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 3; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 4; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 5; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 6; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 7; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 8; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 9; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 10 |
| Grantee Name | Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy) |

2. Number of Units and Non-HOPWA Expenditures

| Facility Name: | Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds | Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year |
|--|--|--|
| Total Stewardship Units (subject to 3- or 10- year use periods) | | |

3. Details of Project Site

| | |
|--|--|
| Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project | |
| Site Information: Project Zip Code(s) | |
| Site Information: Congressional District(s) | |
| Is the address of the project site confidential? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not list <input type="checkbox"/> Not confidential; information can be made available to the public |
| If the site is not confidential: Please provide the contact information, phone, email address/location, if business address is different from facility address | |

End of PART 6

Excel tab #9

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries, and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based Units, Permanent Housing Placement and Master Leased Units ONLY)

Note: Reporting for this section should include *ONLY* those individuals, beneficiaries, or households that received and/or resided in a household that received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 7, Column b. (e.g., do not include households that received HOPWA supportive services *ONLY*).

Section 1. HOPWA-Eligible Individuals Who Received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

a. Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Living with HIV/AIDS

In Chart a., provide the total number of eligible (and unduplicated) low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year. This total should include only the individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance, NOT all HIV positive individuals in the household.

| Individuals Served with Housing Subsidy Assistance | Total |
|--|-------|
| Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance. | 137 |

Chart b. Prior Living Situation

In Chart b, report the prior living situations for all Eligible Individuals reported in Chart a. In Row 1, report the total number of individuals who continued to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance from the prior operating year into this operating year. In Rows 2 through 17, indicate the prior living arrangements for all new HOPWA housing subsidy assistance recipients during the operating year.

Data Check: The total number of eligible individuals served in Row 18 equals the total number of individuals served through housing subsidy assistance reported in Chart a above.

| Category | | Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Receiving Housing Subsidy Assistance |
|--|---|---|
| 1. | <u>Continuing</u> to receive HOPWA support from the prior operating year | 75 |
| New Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance support during Operating Year | | |
| 2. | Place not meant for human habitation (such as a vehicle, abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport, or outside) | 4 |
| 3. | Emergency shelter (including hotel, motel, or campground paid for with emergency shelter voucher) | 2 |
| 4. | Transitional housing for homeless persons | 2 |
| 5. | Total number of new Eligible Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance with a Prior Living Situation that meets HUD definition of homelessness (Sum of Rows 2 – 4) | 8 |
| 6. | Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as Shelter Plus Care, SHP, or SRO Mod Rehab) | |
| 7. | Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility | |
| 8. | Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center | |
| 9. | Hospital (non-psychiatric facility) | |
| 10. | Foster care home or foster care group home | |
| 11. | Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility | |
| 12. | Rented room, apartment, or house | 54 |
| 13. | House you own | |
| 14. | Staying or living in someone else's (family and friends) room, apartment, or house | |
| 15. | Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher | |
| 16. | Other | |
| 17. | Don't Know or Refused | |
| 18. | TOTAL Number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals (sum of Rows 1 and 5-17) | 137 |

c. Homeless Individual Summary

In Chart c, indicate the number of eligible individuals reported in Chart b, Row 5 as homeless who also are homeless Veterans and/or meet the definition for Chronically Homeless (See Definition section of CAPER). The totals in Chart c do not need to equal the total in Chart b, Row 5.

| Category | Number of Homeless Veteran(s) | Number of Chronically Homeless |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| HOPWA eligible individuals served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance | 0 | 0 |

Excel tab #10

Section 2. Beneficiaries

In Chart a, report the total number of HOPWA eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS who received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (*as reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a*), and all associated members of their household who benefitted from receiving HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (resided with HOPWA eligible individuals).

Note: See definition of HOPWA Eligible Individual

Note: See definition of Transgender.

Note: See definition of Beneficiaries.

Data Check: The sum of each of the Charts b & c on the following two pages equals the total number of beneficiaries served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as determined in Chart a, Row 4 below.

a. Total Number of Beneficiaries Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

| Individuals and Families Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance | Total Number |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified the household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (equals the number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a) | 137 |
| 2. Number of ALL other persons diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individuals identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance | |
| 3. Number of ALL other persons NOT diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individual identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy | 92 |
| 4. TOTAL number of ALL <u>beneficiaries</u> served with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1, 2, & 3) | 229 |

b. Age and Gender

In Chart b, indicate the Age and Gender of all beneficiaries as reported in Chart a directly above. Report the Age and Gender of all HOPWA Eligible Individuals (those reported in Chart a, Row 1) using Rows 1-5 below and the Age and Gender of all other beneficiaries (those reported in Chart a, Rows 2 and 3) using Rows 6-10 below. The number of individuals reported in Row 11, Column E, equals the total number of beneficiaries reported in Part 7, Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

| HOPWA Eligible Individuals (Chart a, Row 1) | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | A. | B. | C. | D. | E. |
| | | Male | Female | Transgender M to F | Transgender F to M | TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D) |
| 1. | Under 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. | 18 to 30 years | 13 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 3. | 31 to 50 years | 28 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 4. | 51 years and Older | 26 | 32 | | | 58 |
| 5. | Subtotal (Sum of Rows 1-4) | 67 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 137 |
| All Other Beneficiaries (Chart a, Rows 2 and 3) | | | | | | |
| | | A. | B. | C. | D. | E. |
| | | Male | Female | Transgender M to F | Transgender F to M | TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D) |
| 6. | Under 18 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 7. | 18 to 30 years | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 8. | 31 to 50 years | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 9. | 51 years and Older | 8 | 4 | | | 12 |
| 10. | Subtotal (Sum of Rows 6-9) | 46 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| Total Beneficiaries (Chart a, Row 4) | | | | | | |
| 11. | TOTAL (Sum of Rows 5 & 10) | 113 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 229 |

c. Race and Ethnicity*

In Chart c, indicate the Race and Ethnicity of all beneficiaries receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Section 2, Chart a, Row 4. Report the race of all HOPWA eligible individuals in Column [A]. Report the ethnicity of all HOPWA eligible individuals in column [B]. Report the race of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [C]. Report the ethnicity of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [D]. The summed total of columns [A] and [C] equals the total number of beneficiaries reported above in Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

| Category | | HOPWA Eligible Individuals | | All Other Beneficiaries | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | [A] Race [all individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a, Row 1] | [B] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino] | [C] Race [total of individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a, Rows 2 & 3] | [D] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino] |
| 1. | American Indian/Alaskan Native | | | | |
| 2. | Asian | | | | |
| 3. | Black/African American | 82 | 3 | 44 | 3 |
| 4. | Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | | | | |
| 5. | White | 30 | 2 | 25 | 3 |
| 6. | American Indian/Alaskan Native & White | | | | |
| 7. | Asian & White | | | | |
| 8. | Black/African American & White | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 9. | American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American | | | | |
| 10. | Other Multi-Racial | 25 | 25 | 20 | 20 |
| 11. | Column Totals (Sum of Rows 1-10) | 137 | 30 | 92 | 26 |
| Data Check: Sum of Row 11 Column A and Row 11 Column C equals the total number HOPWA Beneficiaries reported in Part 3A, Section 2, Chart a, Row 4. | | | | | |

*Reference (data requested consistent with Form HUD-27061 Race and Ethnic Data Reporting Form)

Excel tab #11**Section 3. Households****Household Area Median Income**

Report the income(s) for all households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.

Data Check: The total number of households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance should equal Part 3C, Row 7, Column b and Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a. (Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance).

Note: Refer to <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/il.html> for information on area median income in your community.

| Percentage of Area Median Income | | Households Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. | 0-30% of area median income (extremely low) | 103 |
| 2. | 31-50% of area median income (very low) | 12 |
| 3. | 51-80% of area median income (low) | 22 |
| 4. | Total (Sum of Rows 1-3) | 137 |

Part 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities**B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance**

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with HOPWA funds. If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor should complete Part 6: Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a, Project Site Information, and 2b, Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

1. Project Sponsor Agency Name (Required)

N/A

2. Capital Development**2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)**

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

| Type of Development this operating year | HOPWA Funds Expended this operating year (if applicable) | Non-HOPWA funds Expended (if applicable) | Name of Facility: |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> New construction | \$ | \$ | Type of Facility [Check <u>only one</u> box.] <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent housing <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing <input type="checkbox"/> Supportive services only facility |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitation | \$ | \$ | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition | \$ | \$ | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Operating | \$ | \$ | |
| a. | Purchase/lease of property: | | Date (mm/dd/yy): |
| b. | Rehabilitation/Construction Dates: | | Date started: Date Completed: |
| c. | Operation dates: | | Date residents began to occupy: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet occupied |
| d. | Date supportive services began: | | Date started: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet providing services |
| e. | Number of units in the facility: | | HOPWA-funded units = Total Units = |
| f. | Is a waiting list maintained for the facility? | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year</i> |
| g. | What is the address of the facility (if different from business address)? | | |
| h. | Is the address of the project site confidential? | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not publish list <input type="checkbox"/> No, can be made available to the public |

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a, please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

| | Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless | Number Designated to Assist the Homeless | Number Energy-Star Compliant | Number 504 Accessible – Mobility Units - Sensory Units |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|--|
| Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired <u>with or without</u> rehab | | | | |
| Rental units rehabbed | | | | |
| Homeownership units constructed (if approved) | | | | |

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor

Charts 3a, 3b, and 4 are required for each facility. In Charts 3a and 3b, indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a. Check one only

- ☐ Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
☐ Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units:

| Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor | | Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | SRO/Studio/0 bdrm | 1 bdrm | 2 bdrm | 3 bdrm | 4 bdrm | 5+bdrm |
| a. | Single room occupancy dwelling | | | | | | |
| b. | Community residence | | | | | | |
| c. | Project-based rental assistance units or leased units | | | | | | |
| d. | Other housing facility <u>Specify:</u> | | | | | | |

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

| Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing | | Output: Number of Households | Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor |
|---|---|------------------------------|---|
| a. | Leasing Costs | | |
| b. | Operating Costs | | |
| c. | Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units | | |
| d. | Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) <u>Specify:</u> | | |
| e. | Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract) | | |
| f. | TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a through d minus Row e) | | |



HUD ESG CAPER FY2020

Grant: **ESG: Rochester - NY - Report** Type: **CAPER**

Report Date Range

7/1/2020 to 6/30/2021

Q01a. Contact Information

| | |
|------------------|---------------------------------|
| First name | Amy |
| Middle name | |
| Last name | Ventura |
| Suffix | |
| Title | |
| Street Address 1 | 30 Church St Rm 224B |
| Street Address 2 | NEIGHBORHOOD & BUSINESS DEV |
| City | Rochester |
| State | New York |
| ZIP Code | 14614 |
| E-mail Address | amy.ventura@cityofrochester.gov |
| Phone Number | (585)428-7816 |
| Extension | |
| Fax Number | |

Q01b. Grant Information

As of 8/13/2021

| Fiscal Year | Grant Number | Current Authorized Amount | Total Drawn | Balance | Obligation Date | Expenditure Deadline |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 2020 | E20MC360003 | \$708,965.00 | \$305,077.40 | \$403,887.60 | 8/3/2020 | 8/3/2022 |
| 2019 | E19MC360003 | \$685,960.00 | \$651,771.79 | \$34,188.21 | 8/13/2019 | 8/13/2021 |
| 2018 | E18MC360003 | \$674,005.00 | \$661,207.20 | \$12,797.80 | 8/22/2018 | 8/22/2020 |
| 2017 | E17MC360003 | \$987,067.00 | \$974,540.85 | \$12,526.15 | 10/19/2017 | 10/19/2019 |
| 2016 | E16MC360003 | \$701,419.00 | \$685,395.80 | \$16,023.20 | 8/3/2016 | 8/3/2018 |
| 2015 | E15MC360003 | \$709,872.00 | \$699,487.10 | \$10,384.90 | 10/26/2015 | 10/26/2017 |
| 2014 | E14MC360005 | \$666,000.00 | \$666,000.00 | \$0 | 9/16/2014 | 9/16/2016 |
| 2013 | E13MC360005 | \$571,885.00 | \$571,885.00 | \$0 | 9/16/2013 | 9/16/2015 |
| 2012 | | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | |
| Total | | \$5,705,173.00 | \$5,215,365.14 | \$489,807.86 | | |

ESG Information from IDIS

CAPER reporting includes funds used from fiscal year:

2019, 2020

Project types carried out during the program year

Enter the number of each type of projects funded through ESG during this program year.

| | |
|---|---|
| Street Outreach | 1 |
| Emergency Shelter | 7 |
| Transitional Housing (grandfathered under ES) | 2 |
| Day Shelter (funded under ES) | 0 |
| Rapid Re-Housing | 1 |
| Homelessness Prevention | 1 |

Q01c. Additional Information

HMIS

Comparable Database

| | |
|--|-----|
| Are 100% of the project(s) funded through ESG, which are allowed to use HMIS, entering data into HMIS? | Yes |
| Have all of the projects entered data into Sage via a CSV - CAPER Report upload? | Yes |
| Are 100% of the project(s) funded through ESG, which are allowed to use a comparable database, entering data into the comparable database? | Yes |
| Have all of the projects entered data into Sage via a CSV - CAPER Report upload? | Yes |

Q04a: Project Identifiers in HMIS

| Organization Name | Organization ID | Project Name | Project ID | HMIS Project Type | Method for Tracking ES | Affiliated with a residential project | Project IDs of affiliations | CoC Number | Geocode | Victim Service Provider | HMIS Software Name | Report Start Date | Report End Date | CSV Exception? | Uploaded via emailed hyperlink? |
|---|-----------------|--|------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Family Promise of Greater Rochester | 264 | Family Promise of Greater Rochester | 264 | 1 | 0 | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| Coordinated Care Services, Inc. | 281 | CCSI - RRH Partnership - ESG | 351 | 13 | | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| Coordinated Entry | 418 | Coordinated Entry | 418 | 14 | | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| The Center For Youth Services | 70 | Center for Youth - BCP Shelter RHY 12-17 | 212 | 1 | 0 | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-08-01 | 2021-07-30 | No | Yes |
| Veteran's Outreach Center | 54 | VOC Richard's House Transitional Program | 207 | 2 | | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| Person Centered Housing Options | 411 | PCHO ESG Outreach and Engagement Team | 397 | 4 | | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| Catholic Family Center | 3 | CRS Homelessness Prevention ESG | 346 | 12 | | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| YWCA of Rochester and Monroe County | 17 | YWCA Emergency Housing for Families | 400 | 1 | 0 | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| Salvation Army | 49 | Salvation Army - Booth Haven - Emergency | 50 | 1 | 0 | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-07-01 | No | Yes |
| Saving Grace Ministries Rochester, Inc. | 371 | Grace House | 372 | 2 | | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| Spiritus Christi Prison Outreach, Inc. | 8 | Spiritus Christi Emergency Housing | 445 | 1 | 0 | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| Volunteers of America of Western NY | 64 | VOA The Guest House | 248 | 1 | 0 | | | NY-500 | 365544 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | No | Yes |
| Willow Domestic Violence Center | | Emergency Domestic Violence Services | Provider | 1 | 0 | 0 | | NY-500 | 369055 | 1 | | 2020-07-01 | 2021-06-30 | Yes | Yes |

Q05a: Report Validations Table

| | |
|---|------|
| Total Number of Persons Served | 3652 |
| Number of Adults (Age 18 or Over) | 2772 |
| Number of Children (Under Age 18) | 880 |
| Number of Persons with Unknown Age | 0 |
| Number of Leavers | 2865 |
| Number of Adult Leavers | 2480 |
| Number of Adult and Head of Household Leavers | 2569 |
| Number of Stayers | 402 |
| Number of Adult Stayers | 294 |
| Number of Veterans | 202 |
| Number of Chronically Homeless Persons | 352 |
| Number of Youth Under Age 25 | 714 |
| Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children | 59 |
| Number of Adult Heads of Household | 2711 |
| Number of Child and Unknown-Age Heads of Household | 92 |
| Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More | 24 |

Q06a: Data Quality: Personally Identifying Information (PII)

| Data Element | Client Doesn't Know/Refused | Information Missing | Data Issues | Total | % of Error Rate |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| Name | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0.88 % |
| Social Security Number | 283 | 387 | 86 | 369 | 20.70 % |
| Date of Birth | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 6.90 % |
| Race | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 6.90 % |
| Ethnicity | 3 | 252 | 0 | 3 | 6.98 % |
| Gender | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 6.90 % |
| Overall Score | | | | 372 | 10.19 % |

Q06b: Data Quality: Universal Data Elements

| | Error Count | % of Error Rate |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Veteran Status | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Project Start Date | 387 | 10.60 % |
| Relationship to Head of Household | 254 | 6.96 % |
| Client Location | 387 | 13.81 % |
| Disabling Condition | 4 | 0.11 % |

Q06c: Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality

| | Error Count | % of Error Rate |
|---|-------------|--------------------|
| Destination | 541 | 18.88 % |
| Income and Sources at Start | 307 | 10.95 % |
| Income and Sources at Annual Assessment | 6 | 25.00 % |
| Income and Sources at Exit | 162 | 6.31 % |

Q06d: Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness

| | Count of Total Records | Missing Time in Institution | Missing Time in Housing | Approximate Date Started DK/R/missing | Number of Times DK/R/missing | Number of Months DK/R/missing | % of Records Unable to Calculate |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ES, SH, Street Outreach | 610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1.63 % |
| TH | 208 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10.62 % |
| PH (All) | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Total | 995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.22 % |

Q06e: Data Quality: Timeliness

| | Number of Project Start Records | Number of Project Exit Records |
|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0 days | 1513 | 1269 |
| 1-3 Days | 883 | 698 |
| 4-6 Days | 214 | 331 |
| 7-10 Days | 105 | 137 |
| 11+ Days | 186 | 421 |

Q06f: Data Quality: Inactive Records: Street Outreach & Emergency Shelter

| | # of Records | # of Inactive Records | % of Inactive Records |
|--|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Outreach or ES - NBN) | 145 | 4 | 2.76 % |
| Bed Night (All Clients in ES - NBN) | 15688 | 0 | 0.00 % |

Q07a: Number of Persons Served

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Adults | 2639 | 2358 | 281 | 0 | 0 |
| Children | 632 | 0 | 539 | 93 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3265 | 2358 | 814 | 93 | 0 |
| For PSH & RRH – the total persons served who moved into housing | 208 | 55 | 153 | 0 | 0 |

Q08a: Households Served

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|--|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Total Households | 2803 | 2366 | 569 | 199 | 0 |
| For PSH & RRH – the total households served who moved into housing | 99 | 52 | 47 | 0 | 0 |

Q08b: Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| January | 217 | 179 | 37 | 1 | 0 |
| April | 261 | 207 | 50 | 5 | 0 |
| July | 288 | 237 | 59 | 3 | 0 |
| October | 288 | 240 | 44 | 4 | 0 |

Q09a: Number of Persons Contacted

| | All Persons Contacted | First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH | First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH | First contact – Worker unable to determine |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Once | 169 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 2-5 Times | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 6-9 Times | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10+ Times | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Total Persons Contacted | 178 | 0 | 26 | 0 |

Q09b: Number of Persons Engaged

| | All Persons Contacted | First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH | First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH | First contact – Worker unable to determine |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Once | 159 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 2-5 Contacts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-9 Contacts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10+ Contacts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Persons Engaged | 159 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Rate of Engagement | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 |

Q10a: Gender of Adults

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Male | 1558 | 1505 | 53 | 0 |
| Female | 1068 | 840 | 228 | 0 |
| Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female) | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male) | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female) | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 2637 | 2358 | 279 | 0 |

Q10b: Gender of Children

| | Total | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Male | 292 | 265 | 27 | 0 |
| Female | 333 | 269 | 64 | 0 |
| Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 628 | 535 | 93 | 0 |

Q10c: Gender of Persons Missing Age Information

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Q10d: Gender by Age Ranges

| | Total | Under Age 18 | Age 18-24 | Age 25-61 | Age 62 and over | Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused | Data Not Collected |
|---|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Male | 1850 | 292 | 163 | 1274 | 121 | 0 | 0 |
| Female | 1399 | 333 | 221 | 821 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female) | 9 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male) | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female) | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 3265 | 628 | 388 | 2102 | 147 | 0 | 0 |

Q11: Age

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Under 5 | 200 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 - 12 | 267 | 0 | 262 | 5 | 0 |
| 13 - 17 | 161 | 0 | 73 | 88 | 0 |
| 18 - 24 | 388 | 338 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 - 34 | 763 | 611 | 152 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 - 44 | 558 | 503 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 - 54 | 482 | 466 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 - 61 | 301 | 294 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 62+ | 147 | 146 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3265 | 2358 | 814 | 93 | 0 |

Q12a: Race

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| White | 1137 | 887 | 227 | 23 | 0 |
| Black or African American | 1950 | 1358 | 533 | 59 | 0 |
| Asian | 20 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 23 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 20 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Multiple Races | 117 | 70 | 39 | 8 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3265 | 2358 | 814 | 93 | 0 |

Q12b: Ethnicity

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino | 2760 | 2021 | 581 | 71 | 0 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 637 | 363 | 232 | 22 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3400 | 2386 | 814 | 93 | 0 |

Q13a1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start

| | Total Persons | Without Children | Adults in HH with Children & Adults | Children in HH with Children & Adults | With Children and Adults ☾ | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Mental Health Problem | 900 | 824 | 43 | 7 | -- | 26 | 0 |
| Alcohol Abuse | 92 | 89 | 3 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Drug Abuse | 229 | 223 | 3 | 0 | -- | 3 | 0 |
| Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 347 | 343 | 2 | 0 | -- | 2 | 0 |
| Chronic Health Condition | 255 | 239 | 9 | 4 | -- | 3 | 0 |
| HIV/AIDS | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Developmental Disability | 133 | 95 | 10 | 16 | -- | 12 | 0 |
| Physical Disability | 479 | 448 | 21 | 6 | -- | 4 | 0 |

☾ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q13b1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Exit

| | Total Persons | Without Children | Adults in HH with Children & Adults | Children in HH with Children & Adults | With Children and Adults ☾ | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Mental Health Problem | 822 | 755 | 37 | 5 | -- | 25 | 0 |
| Alcohol Abuse | 83 | 81 | 2 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Drug Abuse | 209 | 203 | 3 | 0 | -- | 3 | 0 |
| Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 322 | 318 | 2 | 0 | -- | 2 | 0 |
| Chronic Health Condition | 240 | 226 | 8 | 3 | -- | 3 | 0 |
| HIV/AIDS | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Developmental Disability | 119 | 89 | 7 | 11 | -- | 12 | 0 |
| Physical Disability | 427 | 401 | 20 | 2 | -- | 4 | 0 |

☾ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q13c1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions for Stayers

| | Total Persons | Without Children | Adults in HH with Children & Adults | Children in HH with Children & Adults | With Children and Adults ☾ | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Mental Health Problem | 103 | 92 | 8 | 2 | -- | 1 | 0 |
| Alcohol Abuse | 13 | 12 | 1 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Drug Abuse | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Chronic Health Condition | 28 | 25 | 2 | 1 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| HIV/AIDS | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Developmental Disability | 20 | 12 | 3 | 5 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Disability | 57 | 52 | 1 | 4 | -- | 0 | 0 |

☾ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q14a: Domestic Violence History

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Yes | 742 | 523 | 108 | 111 | 0 |
| No | 1943 | 1692 | 171 | 80 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 179 | 171 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Total | 2864 | 2386 | 279 | 199 | 0 |

Q14b: Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Yes | 451 | 270 | 404 | 108 | 0 |
| No | 290 | 253 | 35 | 2 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 742 | 523 | 439 | 111 | 0 |

Q15: Living Situation

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Homeless Situations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher | 584 | 502 | 80 | 2 | 0 |
| Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth) | 43 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Place not meant for habitation | 308 | 281 | 16 | 11 | 0 |
| Safe Haven | 17 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Host Home (non-crisis) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interim Housing ☞ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 952 | 839 | 98 | 15 | 0 |
| Institutional Settings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility | 42 | 39 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center | 67 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility | 70 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility | 360 | 358 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Foster care home or foster care group home | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Long-term care facility or nursing home | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 561 | 552 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| Other Locations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons | 9 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client in a public housing unit | 14 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy | 115 | 80 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with VASH subsidy | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client with GPD TIP subsidy | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with other housing subsidy | 13 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher | 121 | 102 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment or house | 319 | 269 | 42 | 8 | 0 |
| Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment or house | 472 | 343 | 66 | 63 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 142 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 1216 | 967 | 178 | 71 | 0 |
| Total | 2729 | 2358 | 279 | 92 | 0 |

☞ Interim housing is retired as of 10/1/2019.

Q16: Cash Income - Ranges

| | Income at Start | Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers | Income at Exit for Leavers |
|---|-----------------|--|----------------------------|
| No income | 1376 | 2 | 1081 |
| \$1 - \$150 | 43 | 0 | 56 |
| \$151 - \$250 | 53 | 0 | 48 |
| \$251 - \$500 | 190 | 1 | 249 |
| \$501 - \$1000 | 504 | 5 | 476 |
| \$1,001 - \$1,500 | 115 | 6 | 118 |
| \$1,501 - \$2,000 | 100 | 2 | 100 |
| \$2,001+ | 87 | 2 | 92 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 169 | 0 | 125 |
| Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment | 0 | 268 | 0 |
| Number of Adult Stayers Without Required Annual Assessment | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Total Adults | 2637 | 292 | 2345 |

Q17: Cash Income - Sources

| | Income at Start | Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers | Income at Exit for Leavers |
|--|-----------------|--|----------------------------|
| Earned Income | 193 | 5 | 196 |
| Unemployment Insurance | 153 | 5 | 158 |
| SSI | 374 | 0 | 341 |
| SSDI | 167 | 2 | 155 |
| VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation | 27 | 0 | 25 |
| VA Non-Service Connected Disability Pension | 11 | 0 | 10 |
| Private Disability Insurance | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Worker's Compensation | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| TANF or Equivalent | 118 | 4 | 125 |
| General Assistance | 149 | 1 | 241 |
| Retirement (Social Security) | 18 | 0 | 16 |
| Pension from Former Job | 15 | 0 | 12 |
| Child Support | 52 | 0 | 43 |
| Alimony (Spousal Support) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Other Source | 12 | 0 | 13 |
| Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit | 0 | 18 | 2 |

Q19b: Disabling Conditions and Income for Adults at Exit

| | AO: Adult with Disabling Condition | AO: Adult without Disabling Condition | AO: Total Adults | AO: % with Disabling Condition by Source | AC: Adult with Disabling Condition | AC: Adult without Disabling Condition | AC: Total Adults | AC: % with Disabling Condition by Source | UK: Adult with Disabling Condition | UK: Adult without Disabling Condition | UK: Total Adults | UK: % with Disabling Condition by Source |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Earned Income | 45 | 97 | 142 | 31.44 % | 6 | 45 | 51 | 11.73 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 259 | 43 | 302 | 85.59 % | 14 | 17 | 31 | 45.06 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) | 137 | 9 | 146 | 94.08 % | 7 | 2 | 9 | 77.89 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation | 20 | 2 | 22 | 91.14 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Private Disability Insurance | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Worker's Compensation | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 % | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) | 44 | 37 | 81 | 54.52 % | 17 | 24 | 41 | 41.34 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Retirement Income from Social Security | 13 | 2 | 15 | 86.80 % | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.00 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Pension or retirement income from a former job | 7 | 4 | 11 | 63.64 % | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Child Support | 9 | 20 | 29 | 31.38 % | 5 | 9 | 14 | 35.71 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Other source | 226 | 148 | 374 | 60.05 % | 6 | 32 | 38 | 15.66 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| No Sources | 513 | 465 | 978 | 52.74 % | 15 | 68 | 83 | 18.04 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Unduplicated Total Adults | 1176 | 779 | 1955 | | 54 | 170 | 224 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Q20a: Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources

| | Benefit at Start | Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers | Benefit at Exit for Leavers |
|---|------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program | 1234 | 15 | 1210 |
| WIC | 60 | 0 | 62 |
| TANF Child Care Services | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| TANF Transportation Services | 6 | 0 | 9 |
| Other TANF-Funded Services | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Other Source | 8 | 0 | 9 |

Q21: Health Insurance

| | At Start | At Annual Assessment for Stayers | At Exit for Leavers |
|---|----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Medicaid | 2134 | 38 | 1918 |
| Medicare | 169 | 2 | 149 |
| State Children's Health Insurance Program | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| VA Medical Services | 79 | 0 | 69 |
| Employer Provided Health Insurance | 14 | 1 | 13 |
| Health Insurance Through COBRA | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Private Pay Health Insurance | 18 | 0 | 21 |
| State Health Insurance for Adults | 18 | 1 | 19 |
| Indian Health Services Program | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Other | 24 | 0 | 25 |
| No Health Insurance | 797 | 0 | 655 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 148 | 6 | 120 |
| Number of Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment | 0 | 353 | 0 |
| 1 Source of Health Insurance | 2190 | 40 | 1968 |
| More than 1 Source of Health Insurance | 137 | 1 | 127 |

Q22a2: Length of Participation – ESG Projects

| | Total | Leavers | Stayers |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| 0 to 7 days | 1015 | 967 | 48 |
| 8 to 14 days | 503 | 465 | 38 |
| 15 to 21 days | 364 | 326 | 38 |
| 22 to 30 days | 308 | 287 | 21 |
| 31 to 60 days | 488 | 425 | 63 |
| 61 to 90 days | 176 | 139 | 37 |
| 91 to 180 days | 161 | 102 | 59 |
| 181 to 365 days | 110 | 61 | 49 |
| 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) | 115 | 69 | 46 |
| 731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs) | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| 1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3265 | 2865 | 400 |

Q22c: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 7 days or less | 9 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 to 14 days | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 to 21 days | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 to 30 days | 14 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 to 60 days | 28 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 to 180 days | 17 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 181 to 365 days | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (persons moved into housing) | 84 | 25 | 59 | 0 | 0 |
| Average length of time to housing | 54.00 | 30.00 | 65.00 | -- | -- |
| Persons who were exited without move-in | 98 | 50 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| Total persons | 182 | 75 | 107 | 0 | 0 |

Q22d: Length of Participation by Household Type

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 7 days or less | 1015 | 804 | 159 | 52 | 0 |
| 8 to 14 days | 503 | 415 | 71 | 17 | 0 |
| 15 to 21 days | 364 | 244 | 109 | 11 | 0 |
| 22 to 30 days | 308 | 210 | 92 | 6 | 0 |
| 31 to 60 days | 488 | 347 | 134 | 7 | 0 |
| 61 to 90 days | 176 | 106 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 91 to 180 days | 161 | 111 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 181 to 365 days | 110 | 64 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) | 115 | 45 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs) | 24 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3265 | 2358 | 814 | 93 | 0 |

Q22e: Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 7 days or less | 581 | 122 | 372 | 87 | 0 |
| 8 to 14 days | 46 | 22 | 23 | 1 | 0 |
| 15 to 21 days | 38 | 16 | 21 | 1 | 0 |
| 22 to 30 days | 56 | 20 | 35 | 1 | 0 |
| 31 to 60 days | 111 | 39 | 71 | 1 | 0 |
| 61 to 180 days | 179 | 67 | 110 | 2 | 0 |
| 181 to 365 days | 45 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) | 53 | 33 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 731 days or more | 50 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (persons moved into housing) | 1159 | 405 | 661 | 93 | 0 |
| Not yet moved into housing | 110 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| Data not collected | 169 | 163 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Total persons | 1438 | 623 | 722 | 93 | 0 |

Q23c: Exit Destination – All persons

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|---------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Permanent Destinations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy | 530 | 282 | 248 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy | 72 | 31 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons | 67 | 30 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| Staying or living with family, permanent tenure | 107 | 43 | 25 | 39 | 0 |
| Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure | 34 | 25 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy | 23 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client in a public housing unit | 12 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 850 | 432 | 377 | 41 | 0 |
| Temporary Destinations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher | 198 | 141 | 53 | 4 | 0 |
| Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth) | 60 | 53 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house) | 145 | 90 | 38 | 17 | 0 |
| Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house) | 111 | 80 | 25 | 6 | 0 |
| Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside) | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Safe Haven | 13 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Host Home (non-crisis) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 551 | 398 | 119 | 34 | 0 |
| Institutional Settings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster care home or group foster care home | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center | 14 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility | 31 | 16 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Long-term care facility or nursing home | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 77 | 54 | 14 | 9 | 0 |
| Other Destinations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Deceased | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 839 | 816 | 21 | 2 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 118 | 112 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed) | 424 | 301 | 121 | 2 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 1387 | 1234 | 148 | 5 | 0 |
| Total | 2865 | 2118 | 658 | 89 | 0 |
| Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations | 510 | 173 | 296 | 41 | 0 |
| Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation | 25 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 0 |
| Percentage | 17.96 % | 8.18 % | 45.96 % | 50.00 % | -- |

Q24: Homelessness Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--Without a subsidy | 54 | 14 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--With the subsidy they had at project start | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project start | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy | 21 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client became homeless – moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client went to jail/prison | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client died | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client doesn't know/Client refused | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Data not collected (no exit interview completed) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 97 | 17 | 80 | 0 | 0 |

Q25a: Number of Veterans

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Chronically Homeless Veteran | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Chronically Homeless Veteran | 186 | 180 | 6 | 0 |
| Not a Veteran | 2435 | 2162 | 273 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2637 | 2358 | 279 | 0 |

Q26b: Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Chronically Homeless | 352 | 327 | 24 | 1 | 0 |
| Not Chronically Homeless | 2842 | 1972 | 778 | 92 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 47 | 35 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3265 | 2358 | 814 | 93 | 0 |